Waste: What is it really costing you?

The true costs of wastes are often not recognized by traditional accounting systems which may result in a company underestimating the negative impact of waste on a company’s bottom line. Not addressing these waste costs can reduce your company’s profit margins.

A business may dismiss waste costs as an unavoidable cost of doing business, possibly lumping the unspecified costs into overhead in their business accounting. However, doing this reduces the ability of a company to recognize that the generation of wastes often can be reduced to the benefit of the company and that there are waste management costs that can also be reduced through the more efficient use of resources. Recognizing the true cost of waste at a facility helps management identify opportunities to become more efficient reducing waste management costs.

The costs of waste are not simply the costs of disposing the various waste materials. There are labor costs to manage waste materials, labor to complete the paperwork documentation, permitting fees, potential waste treatment chemicals, equipment and labor, the effect on your workers’ health, and the use of a facility’s limited space to store waste until it is removed from the facility.

Painting Case Study

An Ohio automotive manufacturing company illustrates how much hidden waste costs can add up. Looking only at the company’s painting operation revealed significant waste costs besides just disposal costs. Each part receives a base coat of paint. The company uses conventional paint spray guns with a transfer efficiency of 40 percent (meaning 60 percent of the base coat is lost). Transfer efficiency is the percentage of sprayed paint that actually ends up on the part. The company uses 700,000 gallons of base coat a year at a cost of $30 per gallon. The value of the lost base coat alone is more than $12.5 million. An improvement in transfer efficiency of only 10 percent would yield a cost savings of $2 million in just raw material savings. Other cost savings would include reduced wastewater treatment costs, reduced sludge generation and disposal costs, reduced paint booth clean-up costs and reduced volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions.

The analysis also examined the company’s repainting of parts due to inferior paint quality. In paint raw material cost alone, the company spent more than $2.5 million per year to re-paint parts. Obviously, reducing the number of parts to be re-painted will reduce raw material costs directly. Other savings would include labor, masking and sanding materials, purge solvents, wastewater treatment, sludge generation and disposal and paint booth cleanup.

In the case study and in manufacturing, it is important to recognize how much material or resources purchased by the company does not end up in a product or service provided by the company and the costs of those lost resources. Paint overspray can be reduced through improved operating practices and more efficient equipment, resulting in less waste being generated and cost savings for the business.

Why should you know your company’s total waste costs?

The same reason you should know any other business cost: to manage and reduce costs. Only when the total cost of waste is known can it be managed accordingly — what gets measured, gets managed.

Unfortunately, too many companies only consider their disposal costs as their total waste costs. By focusing their resources and basing their business decisions on reducing disposal costs, companies are ignoring larger waste cost drivers, missing significant cost saving opportunities, and possibly maintaining burdensome regulatory requirements.
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Waste costs can be direct or indirect. Direct costs are easily seen and tracked, including waste collection and disposal costs. However, a much greater amount of the costs are indirect (hidden) costs and harder to track. The indirect costs include:

- Raw material costs
- Energy Consumption
- Water Consumption
- Effluent Generation
- Packaging
- Factory and Office consumables
- Wasted time and effort (labor costs)

How can you determine your company’s total waste costs?

Understanding your company’s processes is the crucial first step in determining your total waste costs. An effective technique to ensure this understanding is process mapping. Process mapping is an analytical and communication tool designed to help employee teams improve processes by enhancing the efficient use of resources and eliminating losses (wastes). This understanding includes identifying the raw materials, labor and losses (wastes) of the different process steps with their associated amounts (weight, volume) and costs.

The table below is a simple tool to help a business identify a need to adjust their manufacturing processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculating Waste from Raw Material Losses for a Year</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of raw material used last year (tons, etc.)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of product produced last year</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of materials per unit of product manufactured</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity of raw material in product units last year = BxC</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasted raw material = A-D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase cost of raw material</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of waste raw material = ExF</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More and more companies are realizing that the best way to improve, simplify, or eliminate losses (waste costs) from their processes is through cross functional employee teams. Production, accounting, environmental and maintenance departments are typically part of these cross functional teams. A company’s total waste costs can consist of many different costs depending on the waste. These costs can typically be grouped into raw material, labor and waste management costs. Raw materials and labor are the most overlooked waste costs.

Metalworking Fluids — Oil Mist Waste

The loss of metalworking fluids, as oil mist from eight lathe machines, was creating both a safety and a waste management issue for an Ohio manufacturer of machined parts. Oil filters were installed on the lathe machines to help capture and reduce the oil mist. Unfortunately, even with the oil filters, the oil mist still collected on equipment and the floor. Absorbent materials were used to collect the oil on the equipment and floor. Additionally, the shop floors were frequently mopped to remove the oil.

What is the oil mist waste costing the manufacturer per year? The raw materials costs, including the oil mist (metalworking fluid), filters and absorbent materials are $25,570. Labor costs, including those related to mopping the floor, changing the filters, managing the absorbent materials, are $11,020. Disposal costs, including the spent filters and spent absorbent materials, are $8,000. In this case study, they did not consider the cost of mop water disposal.

What's the annual cost of the oil mist? Not the disposal cost of $8,000, but $44,590.
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**Wastewater**

An Ohio manufacturer of rubber automotive parts uses water in its manufacturing process. The company purchases city water and treats its waste water (32,000 gallons per day) prior to discharging to the city’s wastewater treatment plant. The treatment system consists of neutralization, metal precipitation, and sludge filtering.

What is wastewater costing the manufacturer per year? The raw material (water) cost is $196,000 (this includes sewer fees). Treatment and disposal costs (including chemicals, labor and energy) are $67,000. Sludge disposal cost is $10,000.

What’s the annual cost of the wastewater? Not the water costs of $196,000, but $273,000.

**Rubber Waste**

The same rubber parts manufacturer creates scrap rubber waste, 1,400 tons per year. Most of the rubber waste comes from their injection molding machines as edge/trim scrap. Many different types of rubber are used to manufacture products. The manufacturer has tried to find a market to recycle their scrap rubber, but the various types of rubber wastes are co-mingled, making recycling difficult. Therefore, the rubber waste is disposed in a solid waste landfill.

What is the waste rubber costing the manufacturer per year? The disposal cost is $80,000, but the production costs (including raw materials costs) of the rubber are $450,000.

What’s the annual cost of the rubber waste? Not the disposal cost of $80,000, but $530,000.

These examples clearly illustrate that raw material and labor costs are larger than waste management costs. These examples also show the importance of identifying all the costs that contribute to the total waste costs.

It is not necessary to quantify all the costs down to the nearest penny. The goal is to identify the main waste costs to improve your company’s management decision making. A company simply needs to determine the raw material costs, labor costs and waste management costs. Once these are understood, possible cost reduction efforts can be identified.

**How can you save money by using the total cost of waste information?**

Once you have an accurate understanding of your total waste costs, you can decide if they are high enough to justify efforts to reduce them. Like most other costs, those who create the waste should be accountable for its costs. Once key losses (costs) are identified, you can analyze the factors contributing to the losses. Then solutions to eliminate the losses can be developed. Those who are responsible for the process can make changes that will lead to less waste and reduced costs.

**Where to Get More Help**

Contact the Office of Compliance Assistance and Pollution Prevention (OCAPP) at (800) 329-7518 for more information or check the Division of Environmental and Financial Assistance website at [epa.ohio.gov/defa/](http://epa.ohio.gov/defa/). OCAPP provides free and non-regulatory assistance to companies on cost-effective measures to reduce the amount of waste generated.