

OHIO E.P.A.

APR 15 2010

ENTERED DIRECTOR'S JOURNAL

BEFORE THE

OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



In the matter of:

Browning-Ferris Industries of Ohio, Inc.  
18500 North Allied Way  
Phoenix, AZ 85054

George and Patricia M. Kyprianou  
8640 Akron Canfield Road  
Canfield, OH 44406

And

Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine  
Horvath Revocable Trust  
3611 Tanby Road  
Richmond, VA 23235

Respondents

For the Site known as:  
Hilltop/Toth Landfill Site

Director's Final  
Findings and Orders  
For Remedial Investigation  
and Feasibility Study

I certify this to be a true and accurate copy of the  
official documents as filed in the records of the Ohio  
Environmental Protection Agency.

By: Joseph L. Cassella Date: 4-15-10

**Findings & Orders for RI/FS - Table of Contents**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
Preamble .....	3
I. <u>Jurisdiction</u> .....	3
II. <u>Parties Bound</u> .....	3
III. <u>Definitions</u> .....	3
IV. <u>Findings</u> .....	6
V. <u>General Provisions</u> .....	14
VI. <u>Performance of the Work by Respondent</u> .....	15
VII. <u>Land Use and Conveyance of Title</u> .....	17
VIII. <u>Additional Work</u> .....	18
IX. <u>Sampling and Data Availability</u> .....	19
X. <u>Access</u> .....	20
XI. <u>Designated Site Coordinators</u> .....	21
XII. <u>Progress Reports and Notice</u> .....	22
XIII. <u>Review of Submissions</u> .....	23
XIV. <u>Dispute Resolution</u> .....	25
XV. <u>Unavoidable Delays</u> .....	26
XVI. <u>Reimbursement of Costs</u> .....	26
XVII. <u>Access to Information</u> .....	27
XVIII. <u>Modifications</u> .....	28
XIX. <u>Indemnity</u> .....	28
XX. <u>Other Claims</u> .....	29
XXI. <u>Reservation of Rights</u> .....	29
XXII. <u>Contribution Protection and Rights</u> .....	30
XXIII. <u>Termination</u> .....	30
XXIII. <u>Waiver and Agreement</u> .....	30
XXIV. <u>Effective Date</u> .....	31
XXV. <u>Signatory Authority</u> .....	31

Attachment A - RI/FS SOW

Attachment B - List of Relevant Guidance Documents

Attachment C - Deed Notice Template

Attachment D - Example of Land Use Self-Reporting Letter

Table 1 - Chemicals Detected in Landfill Leachate

## PREAMBLE

It is agreed to by the Parties hereto as follows:

### I. JURISDICTION

1. These Director's Final Findings and Orders ("Orders") are issued to, Browning-Ferris Industries of Ohio, Inc., George and Patricia M. Kyprianou, and Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine Horvath Revocable Trust, pursuant to the authority vested in the Director of Ohio EPA under Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") §§ 3734.13, 3734.20, 6111.03, and 3745.01.

### II. PARTIES BOUND

2. These Orders shall apply to and be binding upon Respondents and their successors in interest liable under Ohio law.

3. No change in ownership or corporate status of the Respondents including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property shall in any way alter Respondents' obligations under these Orders.

4. Work Respondent shall provide a copy of these Orders to all contractors, subcontractors, laboratories and consultants retained to conduct any portion of the Work performed pursuant to these Orders, within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of these Orders or upon date of retention. Work Respondent shall ensure that all contractors, subcontractors, laboratories and consultants retained to perform the Work pursuant to these Orders also comply with the applicable provisions of these Orders.

### III. DEFINITIONS

5. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, all terms used in these Orders or in any appendices shall have the same meaning as defined in ORC Chapters 3734 and 6111, CERCLA, and the rules promulgated thereunder. Whenever the terms listed below are used in these Orders or in any appendices, attached hereto and incorporated herein, the following definitions shall apply:

a. "1990 Orders" means the Director's Final Findings and Orders entered into by

Ohio EPA, General Motors Corporation, General Electric Company, and George Kyprianou on September 7, 1990 for the purpose of conducting an investigation

and implementing interim corrective measures to mitigate leachate discharge from the facility to surface waters of the State.

- b. "CERCLA" means the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.
- c. "Contaminant" and "Contamination" means (1) any "hazardous waste" under ORC § 3734.01(J); (2) any "industrial waste" under ORC § 6111.01(C); and (3) any "other wastes" under ORC § 6111.01(D), including any release of one or more of the same.
- d. "Day" means a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a business day. "Business day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday. In computing any period of time under these Orders, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday, the period shall run until the close of the next business day.
- e. "Feasibility Study" ("FS") means a study undertaken to develop and evaluate options for remedial action and is more fully described in the SOW. The FS is generally performed concurrently and in an interactive fashion with the Remedial Investigation. The term also refers to a report that describes the results of the study.
- f. "Landowner Respondents" means George and Patricia M. Kyprianou (Kyprianous) and the Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine Horvath Revocable Trust (Horvath Trust).
- g. "NCP" means the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (1990), as amended.
- h. "Ohio EPA" means the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and its designated representatives.
- i. "Orders" means these Director's Final Findings and Orders and all attachments hereto.
- j. "Paragraph" means a portion of these Orders identified by an Arabic numeral or an uppercase or lowercase letter.
- k. "Parties" means Respondents and the Ohio EPA.

- l. "Pre-Investigation Evaluation Report" ("PER") means the document prepared by Respondents and submitted to Ohio EPA on June 3, 2009. The PER is subject to approval by Ohio EPA once it is incorporated into the RI/FS Work Plan.
- m. "Remedial Investigation" ("RI") means a process undertaken to determine the nature and extent of the Contamination at the Site. The RI emphasizes data collection and Site characterization, and is generally performed concurrently and in an interactive fashion with the Feasibility Study. The RI includes sampling and monitoring, as necessary, and includes the gathering of sufficient information to determine the necessity for remedial action and to support the evaluation of remedial alternatives. The term also refers to a report that describes the results of the investigation.
- n. "Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Work Plan" ("RI/FS Work Plan") means the document submitted by Respondent pursuant to the Performance of Work Section of these Orders and approved by Ohio EPA pursuant to the Review of Submittals Section of these Orders.
- o. "Respondents" means Browning-Ferris Industries of Ohio, Inc. (BFIOH), Work Respondent, and the Kyprianous and the Horvath Trust, the Landowner Respondents.
- p. "Response Costs" means all costs including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, direct costs, overhead costs, legal and enforcement related costs, oversight costs, laboratory costs, and the costs of reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other items pursuant to these Orders, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing or enforcing these Orders.
- q. "Section" means a portion of these Orders identified by a roman numeral.
- r. "Site" means the former Hilltop Landfill, aka Old Toth Landfill, located off of Akron Canfield Road in Canfield, Ellsworth Township, Mahoning County, Ohio where the treatment, storage, and/or disposal of hazardous waste, and/or the discharge to waters of the state of industrial waste or other wastes have occurred, including any other area where such hazardous wastes, industrial wastes, and/or other wastes have migrated or threaten to migrate.
- s. "Statement of Work" ("SOW") means the "Generic Statement of Work for Conducting Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Studies" for the implementation of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study at the Site, as set forth in Attachment A of these Orders. The SOW is not specific to any Site.

- t. "Supporting Documents" means the field sampling plan ("FSP"), quality assurance project plan ("QAPP") and health and safety plan ("HASP") developed concurrently with the RI/FS Work Plan pursuant to these Orders and Section 2 of the SOW.
- u. "Transferee" means any future owner of any interest in the Site, including but not limited to, owners of an interest in fee simple, mortgagors, easement holders, and lessees.
- v. "Work" means all activities Respondents are required to perform under the Performance of Work and Additional Work Sections of these Orders.
- w. "Work Respondent" means BFIOH.

#### **IV. FINDINGS**

- 6. The Director of Ohio EPA has determined the following findings:
  - a. Hilltop Landfill, a.k.a. Old Toth Landfill, is located in Canfield, Ellsworth Township, Mahoning County, on approximately twenty to twenty-five acres of land covering portions of three Mahoning County parcels. Parcel number 25-044-0-011.00-0 totals approximately 31 acres and is addressed at 8640 Akron Canfield Road in Canfield, Ohio. Parcel numbers 25-044-0-014.02-0 and 26-010-0-001.03-0 total approximately 92 acres and are addressed at Akron Canfield Road, Canfield, Ohio.
  - b. The Hilltop Landfill property was leased to Toth and Company, Inc., by Maurice Jones and Steven Jasecko. Upon Jasecko's death, the land was divided and sold.
  - c. Arthur A. and Margaret C. Horvath, currently residing at 3611 Tanby Road in Richmond, Virginia, purchased the property comprising parcels 25-044-0-014.01-0 and 26-010-0-001.03-0 on March 3, 1971. The Horvaths transferred the property via quit-claim deed on February 13, 2003 to the Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine Horvath Revocable Trust, with Arthur Horvath serving as trustee. Parcel 25-044-0-014.01-0 was replatted on July 13, 2009 to exclude the landfill area. A new parcel number, 25-044-0-014.02-0, was assigned to the landfill portion of the property. Parcel 25-044-0-011.00-0 was sold on June 3, 1977 to George and Patricia M. Kyprianou, currently residing at 8640 Akron Canfield Road in Canfield, Ohio.

- d. Purchase of the land owned by Mr. Horvath was made while the landfill was in operation. At the time Mr. Horvath purchased the land, it was subject to the pre-existing lease with Toth and Company, Inc. executed by Maurice Jones and Steven Jasecko. Mr. Horvath retained the lease agreement with Toth and Company, Inc. until it expired in 1972, and declined requests from Toth and Company, Inc. to renew the lease. The land presently owned by Mr. and Mrs. Kyprianou was purchased subsequent to the closing of the Hilltop Landfill.
- e. The Hilltop Landfill was first licensed in 1969 by the Mahoning County Board of Health and ceased acceptance of waste before July 29, 1976; therefore, is governed under applicable solid waste laws and regulations prior to July 29, 1976. The landfill was formerly a coal strip mine and was mined to a depth of approximately sixty (60) feet.
- f. The Hilltop Landfill is a facility as the term is defined in Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Section 3734.01(N).
- g. Inspection reports completed by the Mahoning County Board of Health in the late 1960's and early 1970's indicated the presence of water in the landfill pit. These same reports indicated a lack of daily cover.
- h. From 1969 until before July 29, 1976 the landfill accepted dead animals, household, commercial, agricultural, industrial, institutional, and construction waste; therefore, the landfill falls under applicable solid waste laws and regulations prior to July 29, 1976.
- i. In addition to the materials stated above, reports and documents written during the time the landfill was licensed to operate indicated that paint sludges, drainings from paint pits, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other solid and liquid hazardous wastes may have been accepted and/or disposed of at the Hilltop Landfill.
- j. Based on site observations in 1990, the landfill appeared to have a cover comprised of silty clays to clayey sand which supported grassy vegetation. Several non-vegetated areas indicated the possible migration of landfill gas through the cap. No access restrictions were in place. The landfill surface is used for the grazing of livestock.
- k. Located along the east/northeast border of the site is an unnamed tributary which flows north into a private pond, owned by Mr. Mark Yelic, 8677 Palmyra Road, Canfield, Ohio. The pond is located approximately  $\frac{3}{4}$  of a mile downstream of the landfill. From the pond, water flows into Palmyra Lake. Approximately two

(2) river miles downstream from the landfill is Meander Creek reservoir, the water supply source for the City of Youngstown.

- i. On August 2, 1985, Ohio EPA investigated a water pollution complaint from Mr. Yelic. Ohio EPA sampled a leachate outbreak from the northeast corner of the landfill, on Mr. Horvath's property. The leachate was black and foul smelling and covered an area about 15 feet wide. High levels of phenolics (8360 ug/L) and ammonia (170 mg/L) were detected in the leachate.
- m. Leachate samples were collected by Ohio EPA on February 23, 1990. Several chemicals, including ethylbenzene, toluene, xylenes, diethylphthalate, barium and zinc were detected above surface water quality standards.
- n. Director's Final Findings and Orders ("1990 Orders") were issued on September 7, 1990 pursuant to Sections 3734.13, 3734.20 and 6111.03 of the Ohio Revised Code (ORC). Mr. George Kyprianou, GM and General Electric Company (GE) entered into the 1990 Orders to investigate and implement interim corrective measures to mitigate leachate discharge from the facility to surface waters of the State. Specific tasks in the 1990 Orders included submitting a Conceptual Design for the Investigation Work, a schedule for implementation of the work and a report of the data generated as a result of the investigative work.
- o. Between February 1991 and September 1991, a Corrective Measures Study (CMS) was conducted by Geo-Mechanics, Inc. on behalf of GM and GE. Seventeen ground water monitoring wells in the overburden and in the bedrock were installed around the perimeter of the landfill. Surface water samples were collected along the unnamed tributary to Meander Creek, including immediately before the stream entered Yelic's Pond and Lake Palmyra. Based on deficiencies identified in the September 1991 report, including inadequate definition of the hydrogeological units, Ohio EPA determined that the CMS was unacceptable.
- p. Ground water samples were collected from monitoring wells installed around the perimeter of the landfill in December 1991. Organics, including bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, were detected above the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) in ground water samples collected from monitoring well (MW) 6, 7, 17 and 18.
- q. In March 1992, Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA) submitted an Interim Corrective Measures Plan on behalf of GM and GE. The document outlined the design of a proposed leachate collection system to mitigate leachate discharges to the unnamed tributary adjacent to the landfill. Ohio EPA agreed with the

general provisions of the conceptual design, but requested additional details. In the interim, the Agency required that two point source leachate collection systems be installed concurrent with investigative activities.

- r. In November 1992 Ohio EPA approved the October 1992 Interim Corrective Measures, Phase I—Additional Investigations Work Plan to install a temporary leachate collection system, two point source leachate collection systems at the major visual seeps and a holding tank. Test trenches were also excavated to delineate the areal limits of landfill waste.
- s. On January 6, 1993 Ohio EPA noted that leachate was beginning to break through the cap system and trenches.
- t. On April 29, 1993 Ohio EPA conducted a Site visit and noted extensive leachate outbreaks on the north and east side of the landfill, as well as numerous smaller outbreaks on the western side. Ponding on the landfill surface was observed. Leachate spillage was noted in three areas where tank piping was coupled and/or uncoupled to pump leachate. Leachate was also emanating from one of the closed delineation trenches on Horvath property which had been dug to determine the limits of waste placement on the southeastern side of the landfill.
- u. In May 1993, CRA submitted the Phase I—Additional Investigations and Interim Corrective Measures Report detailing the activities conducted under the October 1992 Interim Corrective Measures, Phase I Additional Investigations Work Plan. Delineation test trenches were excavated along the north, northwest and northeast sides of the landfill. Depth to waste in the delineation trenches was a minimum of 2 feet below ground surface (bgs). Based on test pit data, bedrock was typically encountered 8 to 15 feet bgs in the southern portion and 5 to 13 feet bgs in the northern portion. See Table 1 for a list of constituents detected in leachate and the associated Site-specific water quality criteria (WQC).
- v. In a communication dated June 28, 1993, Ohio EPA reiterated noting leachate seeps on the western perimeter and ponding on the landfill surface during Site visits on April 29, 1993 and again on May 12, 1993. The Agency also observed that "subsequent to a precipitation event, the leachate would enter a small drainage swale and be discharged to a small stream." GM and GE installed an additional collection trench between the two point source leachate systems and repaired the south point source leachate collection system to address leachate seeps and outbreaks in the area.
- w. In October 1993, a temporary gravel access road was constructed from U.S. Route 224 to the two point source leachate collection systems at the north end of

the landfill, to eliminate the need to pump leachate to the highway for pickup. The road was constructed directly on the surface of the landfill, on a compacted fill base overlain by geotextile filter fabric.

- x. Composite leachate samples were collected from the north and south point source collection systems in March 1994, July 1994, October 1994 and January 1995. See Table 1 for a list of constituents detected in leachate, their associated Site-specific water quality criteria, and the constituents above the water quality criteria.
- y. During a Site visit by Ohio EPA on April 15, 1994, leachate outbreaks were observed along the western, eastern and northern boundaries of the landfill perimeter. Leachate was also observed flowing into the unnamed tributary along the northern side.
- z. Leachate samples were collected from the south holding tank in March 1996 and analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). See Table 1 for a list of constituents detected in leachate, their associated Site-specific water quality criteria, and the constituents above the water quality criteria.
- aa. Ground water samples were collected in April and May of 1996 from monitoring wells MW-6, MW-7, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-18. Organics, including benzene, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethane, vinyl chloride, and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate were detected from both overburden and bedrock monitoring wells at levels above the MCLs.
- bb. Leachate samples were collected from the south holding tank in April 1997, April 1998, January 1999 and December 1999 and analyzed for metals, VOCs and semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs). See Table 1 for a list of constituents detected in leachate, their associated Site-specific water quality criteria, and the constituents above the water quality criteria.
- cc. In January 1999, CRA, on behalf of GM and GE presented a plan to install a wetland to treat leachate emanating from the landfill. Ohio EPA's technical staff reviewed the proposal and provided comments on the proposed treatment system. CRA subsequently submitted a permit to install (PTI) on June 9, 1999. Ohio EPA's Division of Surface Water reviewed the PTI application, but declined to recommend approval based on the deficiencies identified in Ohio EPA's letter dated June 21, 2001.
- dd. Ground water sampling was conducted in November 2001, February 2002 and May 2002. VOCs including benzene, 1,2-dichloroethene, trichloroethane and

vinyl chloride were detected above the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) in ground water samples collected from MW 17 screened in the overburden. Organics were not detected in MW-6 and MW-7, and were not detected above groundwater screening standards in MW-15 and MW-18.

- ee. Leachate samples were collected from the holding tank and the north and south tanks during the November 2001, February 2002 and May 2002 sampling effort. See Table 1 for a list of constituents detected in leachate, their associated Site-specific water quality criteria, and the constituents above the water quality criteria.
- ff. Starting in 2002, leachate generated from the Site has been shipped to the City of Alliance waste water treatment plant for disposal.
- gg. In September 2002, the Mahoning County Health Department with assistance from Ohio EPA sampled surface water and sediment in the unnamed tributary adjacent to the landfill. Ammonia was detected at 14.6 mg/L, above Site-specific water quality criteria, in the surface water sample closest to the leachate collection system area.
- hh. In May 2004, wet areas were noted by Ohio EPA in the immediate vicinity of the leachate collection system; vegetation was denuded in one of the areas. Wet areas were also noted on the surface of the landfill, along the eastern and western edges of the landfill where the leachate collection system did not extend, and on the Horvath property. In June 2004 Ohio EPA sampled the soil/sediment in six wet areas, to determine whether they were leachate outbreaks. VOCs including benzene, chlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, isopropylbenzene, toluene, and xylenes were detected in all the wet areas sampled. Organic chemicals detected in the wet areas were similar to those that had been detected in the leachate.
- ii. In November 2004 GM proposed to fill low areas on the existing cover and re-grade as necessary to promote positive drainage off and away from the landfill. In October 2005, CRA submitted a Soil Cover Enhancements Work Plan behalf of GM, supported by a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan to perform this task. The Work Plan was approved by Ohio EPA on October 25, 2005, and was completed in December 2006.
- jj. In June 2006 CRA submitted and Ohio EPA approved a MW-17 Groundwater Investigation Work Plan to investigate the potential for contaminant migration in the overburden groundwater in the vicinity of MW-17. Three additional wells, MW-19, MW-20 and MW-21 were installed down-gradient and side-gradient of

MW-17 in the overburden. All 4 wells were sampled in July to August 2006 to determine if there were VOCs above MCLs and/ or screening standards. Trichloroethylene and vinyl chloride were detected at concentrations above MCLs in MW-17. VOCs below screening standards were detected in MW-19, MW-20 and MW-21.

- kk. Given the proximity of MW-17 to the Kyprianou property boundary, supplementary samples were collected from MW-17, MW-19, MW-20 and MW-21 in June 2007 to evaluate contaminant trends. VOCs detected in MW-17 were generally lower in concentration than in the August 2006 sampling. However vinyl chloride increased slightly, and was again above the MCL. VOCs were detected in MW-19, MW-20 and MW-21 at lower concentrations than in the August 2006 sampling and were again below site screening standards.
- ll. Leachate and surface water samples were collected in October 2006 and June 2007, respectively. Leachate data from the south and north tanks indicated that certain VOCs and pesticides including ethylbenzene, xylenes, isopropylbenzene, 4,4-DDE, heptachlor, and beta-BHC were above WQC. Ammonia was detected at 68 mg/L and 72 mg/L, above the Site-specific standard of 1.1 to 5.6 mg/L.
- mm. Section (IV)(5)(b) of the 1990 Orders states that the conceptual design should include "a landfill gas investigation including the determination of the presence or absence of methane generation at the landfill and its possible migration offsite". Ohio EPA does not believe that the landfill gas investigation requirement of the Order has been adequately fulfilled by shallow punch bars and periodic monitoring when drilling monitor wells on-Site. The Agency acknowledges that a March 1992 Interim Corrective Measures Plan states that a methane gas migration problem does not exist at the landfill.
- nn. Over the years, spills and releases from the leachate collection system have been noted including but not limited to: a January 12-13, 1994 spill from the south point source leachate collection system; a July 3, 2003 leak from the north storage tank; and a release from Tank 1 in October 2007.
- oo. In a letter dated August 6, 1998, Michael L. Miller, Director of CERCLA Activities for BFI stated in response to a letter from Ohio EPA, "...BFIOH is willing to participate in Consent Order negotiations regarding a remedial action for the Hilltop Landfill."
- pp. In a letter dated June 12, 2005, from BFIOH to Ohio EPA, BFIOH stated, "BFIOH's investigation indicates that the entity allegedly involved at the Site is Trumbull Sanitary Land Fill, Inc. (Trumbull). Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc.

acquired all of the outstanding stock of Trumbull on February 23, 1973. On October 1, 1973, Trumbull was merged into BFIOH."

- qq. BFIOH is considered a "person," as that term is defined in ORC §§ 3734.01(G) and 6111.01(I).
- rr. Barium; Cadmium; Nickel; Lead; Zinc; Phenol; Vinyl Chloride; 1,1 Dichlorethane; 1,2 Dichloroethane; Trichloroethane; Benzene; Tetrachloroethane; Toluene; Ethylbenzene; and Xylenes, among other substances found, are "Contaminants" or "Contamination."
- ss. The discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, leaking, spilling, or placing of Barium; Cadmium; Nickel; Lead; Zinc; Phenol; Vinyl Chloride; 1,1 Dichloroethane; 1,2 Dichlorethane; Trichloroethene; Benzene; Tetrachloroethane; Toluene; Ethyl Benzene; Xylenes and/or other substances into or onto the soil, ground water, and surface water at or from the facility constitutes "disposal" of hazardous waste as defined in ORC Section 3734.01(F).
- tt. The Work Respondent either generated, transported and/or disposed of hazardous waste at the Site such that conditions are causing a substantial threat to public health or safety or are causing or contributing to or threatening to cause or contribute to air or water pollution or soil contamination, as provided in ORC § 3734.20(B).
- uu. The Work required pursuant to these Orders will contribute to the prohibition or abatement of the discharge of Contaminants to waters of the State.
- vv. In issuing these Orders, the Director has given consideration to, and based his determination on, evidence relating to technical feasibility and economic reasonableness of complying with these Orders, and to evidence relating to conditions calculated to result from compliance with these Orders, and their relation to the benefits to the people of the State to be derived from such compliance.
- ww. The actions to be taken pursuant to these Orders are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health or safety or the environment as provided in ORC § 3734.20.
- xx. A reasonable time for beginning and completing the action required by these Orders has been provided herein.

- yy. Ohio EPA completed a review of the project file in March 2008 and provided a letter to GM dated April 8, 2008, which outlined a list of data that needed to be addressed in order to complete the RI/FS process.
- zz. CRA, GM's consultant, responded to the April 8, 2008 letter from Ohio EPA with a letter dated April 30, 2008. In that letter, CRA provided a summary of the planned work activities to address the data gaps identified by Ohio EPA.
- aaa. In correspondence date May 13, 2008, Ohio EPA acknowledged receipt of additional information provided by CRA to address the data gaps identified in earlier correspondence.
- bbb. On April 8, 2009, CRA submitted the Pre-Investigation Evaluation Report (PER) for the Site. The PER summarizes work completed and the planned work to address the data gaps identified by Ohio EPA and forms the basis for the RI/FS Workplan required under the Orders. Ohio EPA reviewed and commented on the PER, and the PER was updated and provided to Ohio EPA on June 3, 2009. The PER is subject to approval by Ohio EPA once it is incorporated into the RI/FS Work Plan.
- ccc. On June 1, 2009, General Motors Corporation filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Since the filing of the bankruptcy, GM has ceased to participate in the negotiation of these Orders. Ohio EPA intends to file a proof of claim for the Site in the bankruptcy court.
- ddd. Ohio EPA is willing to evaluate information related to additional potentially responsible parties (PRPs), other than the Respondents, associated with the Site while the Work under these Orders is being undertaken.
- eee. The 1990 Orders remain in full force and effect.

## **V. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### **7. Objectives of the Parties**

The objectives of the Parties in entering into these Orders are to protect public health and safety and the environment from the disposal, discharge, or release of Contaminants through performance of an RI/FS by Work Respondent to:

- a. Investigate the nature and extent of releases of Contaminants at the Site;
- b. Assess risk to human health and the environment;

- c. Implement interim actions if necessary to address substantial threats; such interim actions shall not include work required by the 1990 Orders;
- d. Collect sufficient data to support decisions regarding a remedial action for the Site; and
- e. Develop and evaluate potential remedial alternatives.

8. Commitment of Work Respondent

Work Respondent agrees to perform the Work in accordance with these Orders including but not limited to the SOW, all relevant guidance documents, and all standards, specifications, and schedules as approved by Ohio EPA pursuant to these Orders. Work Respondent also agrees to reimburse Ohio EPA for Response Costs and perform all other obligations of these Orders.

9. Compliance With Law

- a. All activities undertaken by Respondents pursuant to these Orders shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations, and in a manner consistent with the NCP.
- b. Ohio EPA expects that activities conducted pursuant to these Orders, if approved by Ohio EPA, would be considered necessary and consistent with the NCP.
- c. Where any portion of the Work requires a permit, license or other authorization from Ohio EPA or any other state, federal or local government agency, Work Respondent shall submit applications in a timely manner and take all other actions necessary to obtain such permit, license or other authorization. These Orders are not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit, license or other authorization issued pursuant to any statute or regulation.

**VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY WORK RESPONDENT**

10. Supervising Contractor

All Work performed pursuant to these Orders shall be under the direction and supervision of a contractor with expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and remediation. Prior to the initiation of the Work, Work Respondent shall notify Ohio EPA in writing of the name of the supervising contractor and any subcontractor to be used in performing the Work under these Orders.

11. Performance of Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study

- a. Project initiation meeting / Site visit. Within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of these Orders, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, Work Respondent shall:
  - i. meet with Ohio EPA to discuss, as described in Section 1.1 of the SOW, Respondent's performance of the Work required under these Orders; and
  - ii. coordinate with Ohio EPA to establish a date for a Site visit.
- b. Submission of RI/FS Work Plan. Within forty-five (45) days after the effective date of these Orders, unless otherwise specified in writing by Ohio EPA, Work Respondent shall submit to Ohio EPA the RI/FS Work Plan and the Supporting Documents for the Site. The RI/FS Work Plan shall incorporate the PER, revised in accordance with Ohio EPA's comments. Paragraph 11.c. herein refers to the criteria for development of the RI/FS Work Plan.
- c. Criteria for document development. The RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents and any other deliverables required under the approved RI/FS Work Plan, shall be developed in conformance with the SOW contained in Attachment A of these Orders and the guidance documents listed in Attachment B of these Orders. The RI/FS Work Plan shall include a proposed schedule that includes a completion date for each task. If Ohio EPA determines that any additional or revised guidance documents affect the Work to be performed in implementing the RI/FS, Ohio EPA will notify Work Respondent, and the RI/FS Work Plan and other affected documents, if any are affected, shall be modified by Work Respondent accordingly.
- d. Handling of any inconsistencies. Should Work Respondent identify any inconsistency between any of the laws and regulations and guidance documents which they are required to follow by these Orders, Work Respondent shall notify Ohio EPA in writing of each inconsistency and the effect of the inconsistencies upon the Work to be performed. Work Respondent shall also recommend, along with a supportable rationale justifying each recommendation, the requirement Work Respondent believe should be followed. Work Respondent shall implement the affected Work as directed in writing by Ohio EPA.
- e. Review by Ohio EPA. Ohio EPA will review the RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Review of Submissions Section of these Orders.

- f. Implementation of RI/FS Work Plan. Upon Ohio EPA's approval of the RI/FS Work Plan, Work Respondent shall implement the RI/FS Work Plan as approved. Work Respondent shall submit all plans, reports, or other deliverables required under the approved RI/FS Work Plan, in accordance with the approved schedule, for review and approval pursuant to the Review of Submissions Section of these Orders.

## VII. LAND USE AND CONVEYANCE OF TITLE

### 12. Deed Notice

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of these Orders or such later date as agreed to by the Parties, Landowner Respondents shall record with the County Recorder's Office for Mahoning, County, Ohio, a deed notice for the real property owned by Landowner Respondents that is part of the Site. The deed notice shall be consistent with the template attached in Attachment C and shall be approved by Ohio EPA. The deed notice shall reference the existence of these Orders and the need to contact the Landowner Respondents and the Work Respondent before any construction or excavation is undertaken at the Property. A copy of the recorded deed notice shall be submitted to Ohio EPA within thirty (30) days of recording the notice. Thereafter, if Landowner Respondents convey any interest in the property included in the Site, each deed, title, or other instrument shall contain a notice stating that the Property is subject to these Orders and shall reference the potential for any security, monitoring, treatment, or containment systems present on the Property as a result of these Orders. Landowner Respondents shall record a new deed notice for the Property to reflect the subsequent construction of any security, monitoring, treatment or containment systems at the Property.

### 13. Land Use Self-Reporting Requirement

Landowner Respondents shall ensure that no portion of the Site that is owned by the Landowner Respondents will be used in any manner that would adversely affect the integrity of any security, containment, treatment, or monitoring systems at the Site. Landowner Respondents shall submit on an annual basis, written documentation verifying that they have not used that portion of the Site that they own in any manner that would adversely affect the integrity of any security, containment, treatment, or monitoring systems that are located on the Site. The written documentation required in this section shall be in conformance with Attachment D, which is a template letter to Ohio EPA verifying that any security, containment, treatment or monitoring systems have not been adversely affected by the Landowner Respondents.

14. Notice of Transfer of Property

Prior to each conveyance by Landowner Respondent of an interest in any portion of the Site, including but not limited to easements, deeds, leases and mortgages, Landowner Respondents shall notify Transferee of the existence of the security, containment, treatment, or monitoring systems and shall provide a copy of these Orders to Transferee. Landowner Respondents shall notify Ohio EPA at least thirty (30) days in advance of each conveyance of an interest in any portion of the Site that is owned by Landowner Respondents. In any transaction that will result in a portion of the Site being transferred, the Landowner Respondents shall make provision for continued access to the Property for the purposes of implementing or overseeing the Work under these Orders. Landowner Respondents' notice shall include the name and address of the Transferee and a description of the provisions made for the continued access to and maintenance of the security, containment, treatment, and monitoring systems.

15. Confirmation of Conveyance

Within thirty (30) days after each conveyance of an interest in any portion of the Site that is owned by Landowner Respondents, Landowner Respondents shall submit to Ohio EPA, via certified mail, the following information:

- a. A copy of the deed or other documentation evidencing the conveyance;
- b. The name, address, and telephone number of the new Property owner and the name, address, and telephone number of the contact person for the Property owner;
- c. A legal description of the Property, or the portion of the Property, being transferred;
- d. A survey map of the Property, or the portion of the Property, being transferred; and
- e. The closing date of the transfer of ownership of the Property, or portion of the Property.

**VIII. ADDITIONAL WORK**

16. Ohio EPA or Work Respondent may determine that in addition to the tasks defined in the approved RI/FS Work Plan, additional Work may be necessary to accomplish the Objectives of the Parties as provided in the General Provisions Section of these Orders.

Additional Work may also include actions pursuant to ORC § 3734.20 or other applicable law.

17. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of written notice from Ohio EPA that additional Work is necessary, unless otherwise specified in writing by Ohio EPA, Work Respondent shall submit a proposed addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan ("RI/FS Work Plan Addendum"), which contains (a) a work plan for the implementation of the additional Work, (b) any revisions to the Supporting Documents and other RI/FS deliverable, as appropriate, (c) a schedule for the performance of the additional Work, and (d) revisions to other schedules impacted by the additional Work, if any. If Work Respondent dispute the necessity of additional Work, Work Respondent shall initiate the procedures for dispute resolution set forth in the Dispute Resolution Section of these Orders within fourteen (14) days after receipt of Ohio EPA's notification of the need for additional Work. The RI/FS Work Plan Addendum shall conform to the standards and requirements set forth in the documents attached to these Orders as Attachments A and B (RI/FS SOW and list of relevant guidance documents). Upon approval of the RI/FS Work Plan Addendum by Ohio EPA pursuant to the Review of Submissions Section of these Orders, Work Respondent shall implement the approved RI/FS Work Plan Addendum in accordance with the schedules contained therein.

18. If Work Respondent determines that additional Work is necessary, Work Respondent shall submit a proposal to Ohio EPA to explain what the additional Work is, why the additional Work is necessary, and what impact, if any, the additional Work will have on the RI/FS Work Plan and schedule. If Ohio EPA concurs with the request to perform additional Work, Work Respondent shall submit a RI/FS Work Plan Addendum, as described above, for the performance of additional Work. The RI/FS Work Plan Addendum shall conform to the standards and requirements set forth in the documents attached to these Orders as Appendices A and B. Upon approval of the RI/FS Work Plan Addendum by Ohio EPA pursuant to the Review of Submissions Section of these Orders, Work Respondent shall implement the approved RI/FS Work Plan Addendum in accordance with the schedules contained therein. Additional Work does not include any activity performed in response to an emergency at the Site for which Work Respondent submits to Ohio EPA written notice of the performed activity.

#### **IX. SAMPLING AND DATA AVAILABILITY**

19. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Site Coordinators, Work Respondent shall notify Ohio EPA not less than ten (10) days in advance of all sample collection activity. Upon request, Work Respondent shall allow split and/or duplicate samples to be taken by Ohio EPA or its designated contractor. Ohio EPA shall also have the right to take any additional samples it deems necessary. Upon request, Ohio EPA shall allow Work

Respondent to take split and/or duplicate samples of any samples Ohio EPA takes as part of its oversight of Work Respondent's implementation of the Work.

20. Within seven (7) days of Work Respondent's receipt of a request by Ohio EPA, Work Respondent shall submit to Ohio EPA copies of the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data, including raw data and original laboratory reports, generated by or on behalf of Work Respondent with respect to the Site and/or the implementation of these Orders. An electronic copy shall also be provided in a format approved by Ohio EPA. Work Respondent may submit to Ohio EPA any interpretive reports and written explanations concerning the raw data and original laboratory reports. Such interpretive reports and written explanations shall not be submitted in lieu of original laboratory reports and raw data. Should Work Respondent subsequently discover an error in any report or raw data, Work Respondent shall promptly notify Ohio EPA of such discovery and provide the correct information.

#### **X. ACCESS**

21. Ohio EPA and its contractors and the Work Respondent and its contractors shall have access at all reasonable times to the Site and any other property to which access is required for the implementation of these Orders, to the extent access to the property is controlled by Landowner Respondents. Access under these Orders shall be for the purposes of conducting any activity related to these Orders including but not limited to the following:

- a. Monitoring the Work;
- b. Conducting sampling;
- c. Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, and other documents related to the implementation of these Orders;
- d. Conducting investigations and tests related to the implementation of these Orders; and
- e. Verifying any data and/or other information submitted to Ohio EPA.

22. To the extent that the Site or any other property to which access is required for the implementation of these Orders is owned or controlled by persons other than Landowner Respondents, Work Respondent shall use their best efforts to secure from such persons access for Work Respondent and Ohio EPA and its contractors as necessary to effectuate these Orders. Copies of each access agreement obtained by Work Respondent shall be provided to Ohio EPA upon execution of the access

agreement. If any access required to implement these Orders is not obtained prior to Work Respondent's submission of the RI/FS Work Plan, Work Respondent shall promptly notify Ohio EPA in writing of the steps Work Respondent has taken to attempt to obtain access. Ohio EPA may, as it deems appropriate, assist Work Respondent in obtaining access.

23. Notwithstanding any provision of these Orders, the State of Ohio retains all of its access rights and authorities, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under any applicable statute or regulation including but not limited to ORC §§ 3734.20 and 6111.05.

#### **XI. DESIGNATED SITE COORDINATORS**

24. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of these Orders, Work Respondent shall notify Ohio EPA, in writing, of the name, address, telephone number and email address of their designated Site Coordinator and Alternate Site Coordinator.

25. As used in these Orders, the term "Site Coordinator" refers interchangeably to the Site Coordinator and the Alternate Site Coordinator designated for a named party. If any designated Site Coordinator is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Party at least seven (7) days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made.

26. To the maximum extent practicable, except as specifically provided in these Orders, communications between Work Respondent and Ohio EPA concerning the implementation of these Orders shall be made between the Site Coordinators. Work Respondent's Site Coordinator shall be available for communication with Ohio EPA regarding the implementation of these Orders for the duration of these Orders. Each Site Coordinator shall be responsible for ensuring that all communications from the other Party are appropriately disseminated and processed. Work Respondent's Site Coordinator shall be present on the Site or on call during all hours of Work at the Site.

27. Without limitation of any authority conferred on Ohio EPA by statute or regulation, Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator's authority includes but is not limited to the following:

- a. Directing the type, quantity and location of samples to be collected by Respondent pursuant to an approved Work Plan;
- b. Collecting samples;

- c. Observing, taking photographs, or otherwise recording information related to the implementation of these Orders, including the use of any mechanical or photographic device;
- d. Directing that the Work stop whenever Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator determines that the activities at the Site may create or exacerbate a threat to public health or safety, or threaten to cause or contribute to air or water pollution or soil contamination;
- e. Conducting investigations and tests related to the implementation of these Orders;
- f. Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts and/or other documents related to the implementation of these Orders; and
- g. Assessing Respondents' compliance with these Orders.

## **XII. PROGRESS REPORTS AND NOTICE**

28. Unless otherwise directed by Ohio EPA, Work Respondent shall submit a written progress report to the Ohio EPA by the tenth (10) day of every month describing activities conducted during the previous month. At a minimum, the progress reports shall include that information designated in Section 10 of the SOW. Monthly reports may not be used to propose modifications to approved plans; Work Respondent shall submit such requests to Ohio EPA in a separate written correspondence.

29. Progress reports (one copy only) shall be sent either by e-mail with confirmed receipt or by hard copy to the address listed below. All other documents (two copies) required to be submitted pursuant to these Orders to Ohio EPA shall be sent to the following agency address(s):

Sheila Abraham, or her successor  
Ohio EPA  
Northeast District Office  
2110 East Aurora Road  
Twinsburg, OH 44087

Email address: *sheila.abraham@epa.state.oh.us*

And

Steve Love, or his successor  
Ohio EPA  
Northeast District Office  
2110 East Aurora Road  
Twinsburg, OH 44087

Email address: *steve.love@epa.state.oh.us*

All written (including electronic) correspondence to Respondents shall be directed to:

Joe Montello  
Hydrogeology Manager  
Browning-Ferris of Ohio, Inc.  
190 Chadwick Drive  
Aurora, OH 44202

Email address: *jmontello@republicservices.com*

A Party may designate an alternative contact name or address upon written notification to the other Party and in accordance with the Designated Site Coordinator Section of these Orders, as applicable.

### **XIII. REVIEW OF SUBMISSIONS**

30. Ohio EPA shall review any work plan, report, or other item required to be submitted pursuant to these Orders.

31. Upon review, Ohio EPA may in its sole discretion: (a) approve the submission in whole or in part; (b) approve the submission with specified conditions; (c) modify or, modify and approve, the submission; (d) disapprove the submission in whole or in part; or (e) any combination of the above. The results of Ohio EPA's review shall be provided to Work Respondent in writing and shall identify any conditions, modifications and/or deficiencies. Excluded from Ohio EPA approval pursuant to this Section, are the health and safety plan (HASP) and progress reports.

32. In the event that Ohio EPA approves an initial submission, Work Respondent shall proceed to take such action as required by Ohio EPA. In the event that Ohio EPA approves with condition or modification an initial submission, Work Respondent shall either (a) proceed to take such action as required by Ohio EPA, or (b) initiate the procedures for dispute resolution set forth in the Dispute Resolution Section of these Orders, within fourteen (14) days of receipt of Ohio EPA's written response to Work Respondent's submission. Work Respondent shall proceed to take any action required

by an unmodified or unconditioned portion of the submission, as those portions are considered approved.

33. In the event that Ohio EPA disapproves an initial submission in whole or in part, and notifies Work Respondent in writing of the deficiencies, Work Respondent shall within fourteen (14) days, or such longer period of time as specified by Ohio EPA in writing, correct the deficiencies and submit the revised submission to Ohio EPA for approval. The revised submission shall incorporate all of the changes, additions, and/or deletions specified by Ohio EPA in its notice of disapproval. Revised submissions shall be accompanied by a letter indicating how and where each of Ohio EPA's comments was incorporated into the revised submission. To facilitate review of the revised submission, those portions of the document not affected by the Ohio EPA comments should remain unchanged. The letter accompanying the submission should indicate, however, any indirect changes necessitated by Ohio EPA's comments.

34. To the extent that Work Respondent disputes any of Ohio EPA's changes, additions, and/or deletions to an initial submission, Work Respondent shall initiate the procedures for dispute resolution set forth in the Dispute Resolution Section of these Orders, within fourteen (14) days after receipt of Ohio EPA's notice of disapproval. Notwithstanding the disapproval, Work Respondent shall proceed to take any action required by a portion of the submission that is not specified as disapproved in the notice of disapproval.

35. In the event that Ohio EPA disapproves or modifies a revised submission, in whole or in part, and notifies Work Respondent in writing of the deficiencies or modifications, Work Respondent shall within fourteen (14) days, or such longer period of time as specified in writing by Ohio EPA, correct the deficiencies and incorporate all changes, additions, and/or deletions, and submit the revised submission to Ohio EPA for approval. If Work Respondent fails to submit a revised submission incorporating all changes, additions, modifications and/or deletions within fourteen (14) days, or such longer period of time as specified by Ohio EPA in writing, Work Respondent shall be considered in breach and/or violation of these Orders. If Work Respondent is in breach and/or violation of these Orders, Ohio EPA retains the right to terminate these Orders, perform any additional investigation, conduct a complete or partial Remedial Investigation or Feasibility Study and/or enforce the terms of these Orders as provided in the Reservation of Rights Section of these Orders.

36. All work plans, reports, or other items required to be submitted to Ohio EPA under these Orders shall, upon approval by Ohio EPA, be deemed to be incorporated in and made an enforceable part of these Orders. In the event that Ohio EPA approves a portion of a work plan, report, or other item, the approved portion shall be deemed to be incorporated in and made an enforceable part of these Orders.

#### **XIV. DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

37. The Site Coordinators shall, whenever possible, operate by consensus.

38. In the event of a disapproval or an approval with condition(s) or modification(s) by Ohio EPA of a submission by Work Respondent, or a disagreement regarding the Work performed under these Orders, Work Respondent's Site Coordinator shall notify Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator in writing that Work Respondent wishes to invoke an informal dispute pursuant to this Section. The notification to invoke an informal dispute shall occur prior to the submission deadline.

39. The Parties shall have ten (10) days from the date written notice of the informal dispute is received by Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator to negotiate in good faith to resolve the dispute. This informal dispute resolution period may be extended by agreement of the Site Coordinators for up to twenty (20) additional days.

40. In the event that the dispute is not resolved during the informal dispute resolution period, Work Respondent's Site Coordinator shall notify Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator in writing by the end of the informal dispute resolution period that Work Respondent wishes to invoke a formal dispute pursuant to this Section. This notice shall include a brief description of the item(s) in dispute. Within twenty (20) days of receipt of the written notice invoking the formal dispute resolution procedure, the Site Coordinators shall exchange written positions, including technical rationale supporting their positions. The Site Coordinators shall have ten (10) days from the date they have exchanged written positions to negotiate in good faith to resolve the formal dispute. This formal dispute period may be extended by agreement of the Site Coordinators for up to twenty (20) additional days.

41. In the event the dispute is not resolved in the formal dispute resolution period, Work Respondent's Site Coordinator shall notify Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator in writing by the end of the formal dispute resolution period whether Work Respondent wishes to submit final written positions to a DERR District Manager for review and resolution. The Site Coordinators shall have ten (10) days from the end of the formal dispute resolution period to submit their written positions. The DERR District Manager will resolve the dispute based upon and consistent with these Orders, the SOW, the RI/FS Work Plan, and other appropriate federal and state laws and regulations. The decision of the DERR District Manager is considered final for the purposes of these Orders.

42. The pendency of a dispute under this Section shall extend only the time period for completion of the item(s) in dispute, except that upon mutual agreement of the Site Coordinators, any time period may be extended as is deemed appropriate under the circumstances. Such agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld by Ohio EPA.

Elements of the Work not affected by the dispute shall be completed in accordance with the applicable schedules and time frames.

#### **XV. UNAVOIDABLE DELAYS**

43. Work Respondent shall cause all Work to be performed in accordance with applicable schedules and time frames set forth in these Orders or any approved work plan unless any such performance is prevented or delayed by an event that constitutes an unavoidable delay. For purposes of these Orders, an "unavoidable delay" shall mean an event beyond the control of Work Respondent that prevents or delays performance of any obligation required by these Orders and that could not be overcome by due diligence on the part of Work Respondent. Increased cost of compliance, among other circumstances, shall not be considered an event beyond the control of Work Respondent for the purposes of these Orders.

44. Work Respondent shall notify Ohio EPA in writing within thirty (30) days after the occurrence of an event that Work Respondent contends is an unavoidable delay. Such written notification shall describe the anticipated length of the delay, the cause or causes of the delay, the measures taken and to be taken by Work Respondent to minimize the delay, and the timetable under which these measures will be implemented. Work Respondent shall have the burden of demonstrating that the event constitutes an unavoidable delay. If Work Respondent does not discover the event that constitutes an unavoidable delay within 30 days after its occurrence, Work Respondent shall provide written notification to Ohio EPA as soon as Work Respondent becomes aware of such occurrence. Ohio EPA shall accept notification beyond 30 days if good cause is shown.

45. If Ohio EPA does not agree that the delay has been caused by an unavoidable delay, Ohio EPA will notify the Work Respondent in writing of that finding and of the noncompliance with these Orders. If Ohio EPA agrees that the delay is attributable to an unavoidable delay, Ohio EPA will notify Work Respondent in writing of the length of the extension for the performance of the obligations affected by the unavoidable delay.

#### **XVI. REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS**

46. Ohio EPA has incurred and continues to incur Response Costs in connection with the Site. Work Respondent shall reimburse Ohio EPA for Response Costs in accordance with paragraphs 47, 48 and 49 of these Orders.

47. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of these Orders, Work Respondent shall remit a check to Ohio EPA in the amount of \$57,856.17, which amount represents past Response Costs associated with the negotiation of these Orders owed up until and including September 15, 2009.

48. Work Respondent shall reimburse Ohio EPA for all Response Costs incurred in association with these Orders after September 15, 2009. Ohio EPA will submit to Work Respondent on an annual basis an itemized invoice of its Response Costs for the previous year. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of such itemized invoice, Work Respondent shall remit payment for all of Ohio EPA's Response Costs for the previous year. In the event that Work Respondent does not remit payment of Response Costs within sixty (60) days after receipt of such invoice, Work Respondent shall remit payment for unpaid balance and the interest accrued on the unpaid balance. Interest shall accrue beginning thirty (30) days from the date of the invoice until the date payment is remitted, and shall be calculated at the rate specified by ORC § 5703.47(B) or any subsequent rate adjustments. The Work Respondent may apply the provisions of Section XIV, Dispute Resolution, to this section in only the following situations: 1) if the Work Respondent disputes the accuracy of the request for payment of the Response Costs; or 2) if the Work Respondent does not agree that a Response Cost is not inconsistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (1990), as amended or 3) if the Work Respondent does not agree that a Response Cost is within the scope of the Objectives of the Parties as provided in Paragraph 7 of this Order.

49. Work Respondent shall remit payments to Ohio EPA pursuant to this Section as follows:

- a. Payment shall be made by bank check payable to "Treasurer, State of Ohio / Hazardous Waste Special Cleanup Account" and shall be forwarded to Office of Fiscal Administration, Attn: Brenda Case, Ohio EPA, Lazarus Government Center, P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049;
- b. A copy of the transmittal letter and check shall be sent to the Fiscal Officer, DERR, Ohio EPA, P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049, and to the Site Coordinator; and
- c. Each payment shall identify the name and address of the party making payment, the Site name, and Ohio EPA's revenue number identified on the associated invoice.

## **XVII. ACCESS TO INFORMATION**

50. Upon request, Respondents shall provide to Ohio EPA within fourteen (14) days, copies of all documents and information within its possession or control or that of its contractors or agents relating to events or conditions at the Site including but not limited to manifests, reports, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the

Work. This provision shall not be a limitation on any request for information to the Respondents by Ohio EPA made under state or federal law for information relating to events or conditions at the Site.

51. Respondents may assert a claim that documents or other information submitted to Ohio EPA pursuant to these Orders are confidential under the provisions of OAC 3745-50-30(A) or ORC § 6111.05(A). If no such claim of confidentiality accompanies the documents or other information when it is submitted to Ohio EPA, it may be made available to the public without notice to Respondents.

52. Respondents may assert that certain documents or other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by state law. If Respondents make such an assertion, it shall provide Ohio EPA with the following: (1) the title of the document or information; (2) the date of the document or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a general description of the contents of the document or information; and (6) the privilege being asserted by Respondents.

53. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data or reports, including but not limited to laboratory or interpretive reports, and all sampling, analytical, and monitoring data.

54. Respondents shall preserve for the duration of these Orders and for a minimum of ten (10) years after termination of these Orders, all documents and other information within its possession or control, or within the possession or control of its contractors or agents, which in any way relate to the Work notwithstanding any document retention policy to the contrary. Respondents may preserve such documents by microfiche or other electronic or photographic device. At the conclusion of this document retention period, Respondents shall notify Ohio EPA at least sixty (60) days prior to the destruction of these documents or other information; and upon request, shall deliver such documents and other information to Ohio EPA.

#### **XVIII. MODIFICATIONS**

55. These Orders may be modified by agreement of the Parties. Modifications shall be in writing, signed by the authorized representative of the Respondents and by the Director, and shall be effective on the date entered in the Journal of the Director of Ohio EPA.

#### **XIX. INDEMNITY**

56. Work Respondent agrees to indemnify, save, and hold harmless Ohio EPA from any

and all claims or causes of action arising from, or related to, the implementation of these Orders, including any acts or omissions of Respondents, their officers, employees, receivers, trustees, agents, or assigns. Said indemnification shall not apply to acts or omissions of the State of Ohio, its employees, agents or assigns at, on, upon, or related to the Site if said acts are negligent, performed outside the scope of employment or official responsibilities, or performed with malicious purpose, in bad faith, or in a wanton or reckless manner. Ohio EPA shall not be considered a party to and shall not be held liable under any contract entered into by Respondents in carrying out the activities pursuant to these Orders. Ohio EPA agrees to provide notice to Respondents within thirty (30) days after receipt of any claim that may be the subject of indemnity as provided in this Section, and to cooperate with Respondents in the defense of any such claim or action against Ohio EPA.

#### **XX. OTHER CLAIMS**

57. Nothing in these Orders shall constitute or be construed as a release from any claim, cause of action, or demand in law or equity against any person, firm, partnership, or corporation not a Party to these Orders, for any liability arising from, or related to, events or conditions at the Site

#### **XXI. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

58. Ohio EPA reserves the right to seek legal and/or equitable relief to enforce the terms and conditions of these Orders, including penalties against Respondents for noncompliance with these Orders. Except as provided herein, Respondents reserve any rights it may have to raise any legal or equitable defense in any action brought by Ohio EPA to enforce the terms and conditions of these Orders.

59. Ohio EPA reserves the right to terminate these Orders and/or perform all or any portion of the Work or any other measures in the event that the requirements of these Orders are not wholly complied with within the time frames required by these Orders.

60. Ohio EPA reserves the right to take any action, including but not limited to any enforcement action, action to recover costs, or action to recover damages to natural resources, pursuant to any available legal authority as a result of past, present, or future violations of state or federal laws or regulations or the common law, and/or as a result of events or conditions arising from, or related to, the Site. Upon termination pursuant to the Termination Section of these Orders, Respondents shall have resolved their liability to Ohio EPA only for the Work performed pursuant to these Orders.

## **XXII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION AND RIGHTS**

61. With respect to matters addressed in these Orders, the Parties hereto agree that these Orders constitute an administrative settlement for purposes of CERCLA sections 113(f)(2) and 113(f)(3)(B), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(f)(2) and 9613(f)(3)(B), pursuant to which Respondents have resolved their liability to the State, and that Respondents are entitled to contribution protection and contribution rights as of the effective date of these Orders as to any liable persons who are not parties to these Orders, as provided by CERCLA sections 113(f)(2) and 113(f)(3)(B), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(f)(2) and (f)(3)(B), provided that Respondents comply with these Orders. The "matters addressed" in these Orders include all Work required by these Orders, all investigative actions taken or to be taken, all interim actions taken or to be taken, and all Response Costs incurred or to be incurred by Ohio EPA or any other person with respect to this Site, under the terms of these Orders.

62. With respect to matters addressed in these Orders, the Parties agree that Respondents may have a right of contribution, as to any liable persons who are not parties to these Orders, under ORC § 2307.25(A).

## **XXIII. TERMINATION**

63. Respondents' obligations under these Orders shall terminate upon approval in writing of Work Respondent's written certification to Ohio EPA that all Work required to be performed under these Orders including payment of Response Costs has been completed. The Work Respondent's certification shall contain the following attestation: "I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this certification is true, accurate, and complete." This certification shall be submitted by Work Respondent to Ohio EPA and shall be signed by a responsible official of the Work Respondent. The termination of Respondents' obligations under these Orders shall not terminate the Respondents' obligations under the Reservation of Rights, Access to Information, Indemnity, Other Claims and Land Use and Conveyance of Title Sections of these Orders.

## **XXIV. WAIVER AND AGREEMENT**

64. In order to resolve disputed claims, without admission of fact, violation, or liability, Respondents consent to the issuance of these Orders, and agrees to comply with these Orders.

65. Respondents hereby waive the right to appeal the issuance, terms and conditions, and service of these Orders and Respondents hereby waive any and all rights that it may have to seek administrative or judicial review of these Orders either in law or

equity.

66. Notwithstanding the limitations herein on Respondents' right to appeal or seek administrative or judicial review, Ohio EPA and Respondents agree if these Orders are appealed by any other party to the Environmental Review Appeals Commission, or any court, Respondents retain the right to intervene and participate in such appeal. In such event, Respondents shall continue to comply with these Orders notwithstanding such appeal and intervention unless these Orders are stayed, vacated or modified.

**XXV. EFFECTIVE DATE**


67. The effective date of these Orders shall be the date these Orders are entered in the Journal of the Director of Ohio EPA.

**XXVI. SIGNATORY AUTHORITY**

68. Each undersigned representative of a Party to these Orders certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into these Orders and to legally bind such Party to these Orders.

**IT IS SO ORDERED AND AGREED:**


**OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chris Korleski, Director  
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

4/14/10  
Date

**IT IS SO AGREED:**

Browning-Ferris Industries of Ohio, Inc.  
BY:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

3/10/2010  
Date

Tim M. Benter, Vice President  
Printed Name & Title

George and Patricia M. Kyrianiou

BY: George Kyrianiou  
George Kyrianiou/Signature

3-25-10  
Date

Patricia M. Kyrianiou  
Patricia M. Kyrianiou/Signature

Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine Horvath Revocable Trust

BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name & Title

RECEIVED  
THO ECR

2010 MAR 29 PM 1:03

LEGAL OFFICE

George and Patricia M. Kyprianou

BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
George Kyrianou/Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Patricia M. Kyrianou/Signature

Arthur A. and Margaret Catherine Horvath Revocable Trust

BY:

Arthur A. Horvath  
Signature

March 12, 2010  
Date

Arthur A. Horvath - Trustee  
Printed Name & Title

Margaret C. Horvath

3/12/10

Margaret C. HORVATH

3/12/10

RECEIVED  
DINO 2010  
2010 MAR 29 AM 8:55  
LEGAL OFFICE

*Place Holders - Each appendix is a separate document with the appendix letter typed or labeled on the first page.*

**Attachment A**

*Attach copy of RI/FS SOW*

**Attachment B**

*Attach copy of List of Relevant Guidance Documents*

**Attachment C**

*Deed Notice Template*

**Attachment D**

*Example of Land Use Self-Reporting Letter*

**Table 1 – Chemicals Detected in Landfill Leachate**

ATTACHMENT A

# Generic Statement of Work for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies

Ohio EPA  
Division of Emergency and Remedial Response  
Remedial Response Program

September 1, 2006

## GENERIC STATEMENT OF WORK REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY

### **Purpose:**

This Statement of Work (SOW) sets forth the generic requirements for conducting a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) of the Site. The purpose of the RI is to characterize the nature and extent of any releases or potential releases of contaminants at or from the Site, assess potential risks to human health and the environment posed by such releases, and collect the information needed to support the development and evaluation of remedial alternatives. The purpose of the FS is to develop and evaluate remedial alternatives to provide the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) with the information needed to select a site remedy. The RI and FS are conducted in an iterative manner to allow the information gathered during the RI to influence the development of remedial alternatives, which in turn affects data needs and the scope of the RI.

The RI/FS shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of the consensual Director's Final Findings and Orders for the Site, referred to herein as "Orders", and this SOW, and in a manner consistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), Final Rule (40 CFR Part 300). Respondent shall refer to U.S. EPA's *Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA* (EPA/540/G-89/004, October 1988) (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance) and other guidance that the Ohio EPA may use in conducting an RI/FS. A partial list of guidance is included as the Guidance List attached to the Orders. Sections of relevant guidance which further describe the RI/FS tasks are referenced throughout this SOW and appendices. Respondent shall furnish all personnel, materials, and services needed or incidental to performing the RI/FS except as otherwise specified in the Orders.

At the completion of the RI/FS, Ohio EPA shall be responsible for the selection of a site remedy and shall memorialize the selected remedy in a Decision Document. The site remedy selected by Ohio EPA shall be protective of human health and the environment, comply with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of federal and state environmental laws and regulations (ARARs), be cost-effective, utilize permanent solutions and treatment technologies or resource recovery technologies to the maximum extent practicable, and address the preference for treatment as a principal element. The final RI and FS Reports, as approved by Ohio EPA, shall, with the administrative record, form the basis for selection of the site remedy and provide the information needed to support development of a Decision Document.

**Generic Statement of Work  
for Conducting  
Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies**

**Contents**

	Purpose.....	<u>Page 1</u>
Section 1	RI/FS Project Scoping.....	<u>Page 1</u>
Section 2	RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents.....	<u>Page 2</u>
	Field Sampling Plan.....	<u>Page 3</u>
	Quality Assurance Project Plan.....	<u>Page 3</u>
	Health and Safety Plan.....	<u>Page 4</u>
Section 3	Site Characterization.....	<u>Page 4</u>
	Environmental Setting.....	<u>Page 4</u>
	Source Characterization.....	<u>Page 4</u>
	Nature and Extent of Contamination.....	<u>Page 5</u>
Section 4	Risk Assessment.....	<u>Page 5</u>
	Risk Assessment Assumptions Document.....	<u>Page 5</u>
	Human Health Risk Assessment.....	<u>Page 6</u>
	Ecological Risk Assessment.....	<u>Page 6</u>
Section 5	Site-specific Preliminary Remediation Goals.....	<u>Page 7</u>
Section 6	Remedial Investigation Report.....	<u>Page 8</u>
Section 7	Alternatives Array Development.....	<u>Page 8</u>
Section 8	Treatability Studies.....	<u>Page 9</u>
Section 9	Feasibility Study Report.....	<u>Page 10</u>
Section 10	Progress Reports.....	<u>Page 10</u>

**Appendices**

A	Pre-Investigation Evaluation Report.....	<u>Page A-1</u>
B	Field Sampling Plan Format.....	<u>Page B-1</u>
C	Quality Assurance Project Plan Elements.....	<u>Page C-1</u>
D	Health and Safety Plan.....	<u>Page D-1</u>
E	Environmental Setting.....	<u>Page E-1</u>
F	Source Characterization.....	<u>Page F-1</u>
G	Nature and Extent of Contamination.....	<u>Page G-1</u>
H	Human Health Risk Assessment.....	<u>Page H-1</u>
I	Ecological Risk Assessment.....	<u>Page I-1</u>
J	Draft RI Report Format.....	<u>Page J-1</u>
K	Development and Screening of Remedial Alternatives.....	<u>Page K-1</u>
L	Treatability Studies.....	<u>Page L-1</u>
M	Feasibility Study Report.....	<u>Page —1</u>
N	RI/FS Submittals.....	<u>Page —1</u>
O	Acronym List.....	<u>Page O-1</u>

Ohio EPA shall provide oversight of Respondent's activities throughout the RI/FS, including field activities. Respondent shall support Ohio EPA's conduct of oversight activities.

## **Section 1 - RI/FS Project Scoping**

### **Scoping the RI/FS**

Scoping is the planning process for the RI/FS. Ohio EPA developed and included in the Orders a general management approach for the Site and preliminary remedial action objectives (RAOs) for the RI/FS. Consistent with the general management approach and preliminary RAOs, and in consultation with Ohio EPA, Respondent shall plan the specific project scope and prepare and submit for review and comment a Pre-investigation Evaluation Report (PER).

Respondent shall document in the PER the performance and results of the scoping tasks identified in this Section 1 and Appendix A of this SOW, thus establishing the framework for subsequent development of the RI/FS Work Plan. Respondent shall address in the PER each RI/FS SOW task by one of the following three methods: 1) indicating that the task has already been performed and providing the results of the task and supporting documentation; 2) indicating that the task is not relevant to the Site and providing the technical justification for omitting the task; or 3) indicating that the task is relevant to the Site and will be addressed in the RI/FS Work Plan.

Respondent shall include in the PER a Level 1 Scoping Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) meeting the requirements outlined in Appendix I of this SOW and the Ohio EPA Division of Emergency and Remedial Response (DERR) *Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance Document*, February, 2003 (DERR ECO Guidance). Respondent shall also include an annotated bibliography of existing reports relevant to the RI/FS. Upon request, Respondent shall provide copies of the reports to Ohio EPA

Scoping is continued, repeated as necessary, and refined throughout the RI/FS process as data become available. Appendix A of this SOW summarizes the RI/FS project scoping requirements and provides the format for the PER.

#### **1.1 Project Initiation Meeting and Site Visit**

Respondent shall contact Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator to set up a Project Initiation Meeting, which is to be held prior to Respondent's submittal of the PER. The purpose of the meeting is to afford Respondent and Respondent's contractors an opportunity to review with Ohio EPA the technical requirements of the Orders and this SOW and seek

clarification regarding the performance of the required work and/or preparation of deliverables, and to establish a date for a site visit as discussed in A. 2. of Appendix A of this SOW. Topics of discussion may include, but need not be limited to, the site management strategy, preliminary RAOs, data quality objectives (DQOs), preparation of the baseline human health risk assessment (HHRA), ERA, initiation and/or integration of emergency or interim actions, involvement and coordination with other Ohio EPA programs and other agencies, community relations activities, performance of the FS, and communication between Respondent and Ohio EPA. The meeting will be attended by Ohio EPA's Site Coordinator and agency staff providing support to the Site Coordinator in overseeing Respondent's conduct of the RI/FS. Ohio EPA also encourages meeting attendance by those persons providing support to Respondent.

## **Section 2.0 - RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents**

### **RI/FS Work Plan (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Section 2.3.1)**

Following receipt of Ohio EPA's comments on the PER, Respondent shall prepare and submit for review and approval an RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents, including a Field Sampling Plan (FSP) and a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). A Health and Safety Plan (HASP) shall also be submitted, but for review and comment only. Respondent shall incorporate the PER, revised in accordance with Ohio EPA's comments, into the RI/FS Work Plan to document the initial RI/FS scoping activities.

The RI/FS Work Plan shall detail the methods and procedures for performing the remaining RI/FS tasks (Sections 3 through 10 of this SOW) and shall be developed in conjunction with the FSP, QAPP, and HASP although each may be delivered under separate cover. The RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents shall provide a detailed description of the tasks to be performed, the technical rationale for performing the work in the manner proposed, the information needed for each task, the information to be produced during and at the conclusion of each task, and a description of the work products that will be submitted to Ohio EPA. This includes the deliverables set forth in the Orders and this SOW, including Interim Technical Memoranda produced during the field investigation and at the conclusion of each major phase of the RI/FS and meetings and presentations to Ohio EPA.

If Respondent intends to rely on modeling to satisfy any RI/FS task, Respondent shall identify the models Respondent proposes to use and, in a manner consistent with U.S. EPA's *Guidance for Quality Assurance Plans for Modeling*, EPA QA/G-5M, fully explain their application in the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents, including model assumptions and operating conditions, input parameters, and verification and calibration procedures. If Respondent identifies the need to conduct modeling following approval

of the RI/FS Work Plan, Respondent shall submit for review and approval an addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan.

The RI/FS Work Plan shall reflect coordination with any identified treatability study requirements (Section 8 and Appendix L of this SOW) and shall include a process for refining and/or identifying additional ARARs and to be considered (TBC) criteria, conducting the HHRA and ERA, refining the conceptual site model (CSM), and submitting monthly progress reports and ITMs to Ohio EPA. The RI/FS Work Plan shall include a comprehensive RI/FS project schedule indicating critical path dependencies and including dates for the initiation, duration, and completion of each RI/FS task. The schedule shall also include field work and development and submittal of required deliverables. The RI/FS Work Plan, FSP, and QAPP must be approved by Ohio EPA prior to the initiation of field activities.

Due to the potentially unknown nature of the Site and the iterative nature of the RI/FS, additional RI/FS tasks may be identified following approval of the RI/FS Work Plan. Ohio EPA may require or Respondent may propose additional RI/FS tasks in accordance with the provisions of the Additional Work Section of the Orders.

## **2.1 Field Sampling Plan**

Respondent shall submit for review and approval a FSP describing the field activities to be performed and defining the procedures and methods that must be used to collect field measurements and samples. Activities and procedures include collection of geophysical data, drilling of soil borings, installation of ground water monitoring wells, collection of multimedia samples, field control samples, and any field measurements. The FSP shall also address sample packaging and shipping requirements, proper testing, handling and disposal of investigation-derived wastes, field documentation procedures, and corrective action procedures.

The FSP shall detail the methods and procedures for each field activity. A field activity includes any task which involves the collection of environmental media or data. The FSP shall discuss the purpose of each task and how it will fulfill the DQOs provided in the associated QAPP. Respondent shall prepare the FSP in a manner consistent with Sections 3.3.4.1 through 3.3.4.12 of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' guidance *Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling and Analysis Plans*, EM 200-1-3, February, 2001, using the FSP outline provided in Appendix B of this SOW.

## **2.2 Quality Assurance Project Plan**

Respondent shall submit for review and approval a site-specific QAPP. The QAPP shall address all relevant elements of U. S. EPA's *Guidance for Quality Assurance Project*

Plans, QA-G-5, EPA/240/R-02-009, December 2002, including DQOs developed in a manner consistent with the DQO guidance identified in the Guidance List attached to the Orders. Some QAPP elements may already be provided in the FSP, in which case, Respondent shall clearly cross-reference in the QAPP to the section and page number in the FSP where such information may be located. See Appendix C of this SOW for the QAPP elements included in the referenced U.S. EPA guidance.

Respondent shall include an electronic version of the laboratory(ies) QAPP on disc in PDF format. Upon request, Respondent shall provide to Ohio EPA any other records, documents, or other information generated or stored by the laboratory(ies) as a result of the work Respondent is required to perform by the Orders or this SOW.

### **2.3 Health and Safety Plan (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Section 2.3.3)**

Respondent shall submit for review and comment a HASP that complies with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations and protocols outlined in Title 29 CFR, Part 1910 or as OSHA may otherwise require. See Appendix D of this SOW for the major elements of a HASP. Further, the HASP shall include all other monitoring, procedures, and protocols needed to protect the health and safety of those persons conducting site activities, visiting the Site, and residing or working in the surrounding community.

## **Section 3 - Site Characterization**

### **Site Investigation**

Respondent shall conduct such investigations as necessary to obtain data of sufficient quality and quantity to support the RI/FS. All sampling, analyses, and measurements shall be conducted in accordance with the approved QAPP and FSP. All sampling and measurement locations shall be documented in a project-specific field log and identified on site maps.

#### **3.1. Environmental Setting**

Respondent shall collect information to supplement and verify existing information on the environmental setting of the Site and surrounding the Site. Characterization of the environmental setting shall include but not be limited to regional hydrogeology, site hydrogeology, subsurface soil and rock units, surface soils, surface water and sediment, land use, land cover, and local climate. Appendix E of this SOW summarizes the requirements for characterizing the environmental setting at the Site.

### **3.1.1. Source Characterization**

Respondent shall conduct an investigation to locate and characterize any known or potential source(s) of contaminant releases at the Site, including areas where wastes have been placed, collected, come to be located or removed. Methods for source characterization shall include but not be limited to test pits, trenches, and/or borings to characterize buried source areas; determine source area depth, thickness, and volume; and identify and investigate the integrity of any existing natural or engineered containment that may be present. Geophysical characterization methods, such as ground penetrating radar, magnetometry, tomography, or other electromagnetic methods shall be used as appropriate to assist in delineation and characterization of potential contaminant source areas. The source area investigation shall also include, as appropriate, leaching tests and/or modeling to assess the potential leaching of contaminants from source areas, and ground water investigations where potential source areas may exist in a saturated zone. Appendix F of this SOW summarizes the requirements for conducting the source characterization.

### **3.1.2. Nature and Extent of Contamination**

Respondent shall collect analytical data to determine the nature and extent of contamination in all potentially affected media at the Site (see Section 3.2.4 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance). Data collected shall be sufficient to support determination of the origin, extent, direction, and rate of movement of contaminants. Data shall also be collected to support determination of background concentrations for contaminants in accordance with the background guidance identified in the Guidance List attached to the Orders. Respondent shall collect the data in accordance with the approved RI/FS Work Plan and shall document the methods and procedures used during the investigation in the RI Report. Appendix G of this SOW summarizes the requirements for determining the nature and extent of contamination at the Site.

## **Section 4 - Risk Assessment**

Risk assessment is the process used to evaluate current and reasonably anticipated future site conditions in an effort to quantify risks or hazards to human health and the environment in the absence of any remedial action. Respondent shall collect all data necessary to support the assessments, and include the assessments in the RI Report.

### **4.1 Risk Assessment Assumptions Document**

Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Risk Assessment Assumptions Document (RAAD) prior to performing the HHRA. The RAAD shall provide all

assumptions, inputs, and supporting information required to complete the assessment, including:

- a) refined CSM;
- b) all current and reasonably anticipated receptors to be evaluated;
- c) all exposure scenarios to be evaluated;
- d) all exposure media to be evaluated;
- e) all screening values and sources for values used in the reduction of the contaminants of potential concern (toxicity-based and/or background). Respondent shall derive background concentrations in accordance with the background guidance, and shall include the methods and data used;
- f) list of all contaminants of potential concern per medium;
- g) all risk assessment exposure assumptions needed to complete the HHRA;
- h) all exposure point concentrations and the supporting equations; and,
- i) methods and input values that Respondent proposes to use to evaluate specific contaminants, such as lead, or environments, such as surface waters or wetlands.

Following Ohio EPA approval of the RAAD, Respondent shall prepare the HHRA in accordance with the approved RAAD.

## **4.2 Human Health Risk Assessment**

Respondent shall prepare a baseline HHRA which evaluates current and potential future threats to human health in the absence of any remedial action. The HHRA shall focus on current and reasonably anticipated future risks or hazards to persons coming into contact with site-related contaminants or environmental media containing one or more contaminants (e.g., ground water, soils, sediments, surface water, air, subsurface gases, contaminated organisms).

The HHRA relies upon information gathered at the Site. Respondent shall ensure that the site investigations and resultant data are sufficient in both quality (e.g., DQOs, sample detection limits, quality assurance procedures) and quantity to fully describe the current and potential future threats to human health. Respondent shall plan and

conduct the HHRA in manner consistent with U.S. EPA's *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A)* EPA/540/1-89/002 (RAGS, Part A, 1989) and other relevant state and federal guidance as identified in this SOW and the Guidance List attached to the Orders.

The HHRA shall organize and present the results and data from all site investigations such that relationships between and among environmental media and receptors are clear (see Exhibit 9-1 in RAGS Part A for a suggested outline for the baseline risk assessment report; RAGS Part D may also be followed for a suggested format). The HHRA shall project the potential risk of health problems occurring if no cleanup action is taken at the Site and identify areas and media where risks exceed a cumulative excess lifetime cancer risk of  $1E-5$  and/or a hazard index of 1. Appendix H of this SOW summarizes the requirements for conducting the baseline HHRA.

#### **4.3 Ecological Risk Assessment**

Respondent shall prepare an ERA which evaluates current or potential future adverse effects in the absence of any remedial action to flora and fauna at the population, community, ecosystem, and/or individual level as appropriate. The ERA shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the DERR ECO Guidance, U.S. EPA's guidance as referenced therein, and other relevant guidance as identified in the Guidance List attached to the Orders.

The ERA is generally conducted in an iterative or phased approach as data are gathered during the RI and decisions are made regarding the need, or lack thereof, for more comprehensive ecological assessment. Respondent shall conduct a Level I Scoping ERA during the preparation of the PER discussed in Section 1 and Appendix A of this SOW, and include the Level I ERA Report in the PER. If a Level II Screening ERA is needed, Respondent shall describe in detail the tasks necessary to complete the Level II ERA in the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents, and include a date for submittal of the Level II ERA Report in the RI/FS project schedule. If during the RI it is determined that additional ecological assessment is needed, Respondent shall, as necessary, submit addendum(s) to the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents detailing the tasks necessary to complete each subsequent level of assessment, including a revised RI/FS project schedule with dates for related deliverables. Respondent shall submit an ERA Report for review and approval at the conclusion of each level of the ERA. The ERA Report shall summarize the methodology and results of the assessment, include a recommendation and supporting rationale regarding the need for additional assessment, and provide all data and other site-specific information Respondent relied upon in conducting the assessment. The final ERA Report shall also provide all information necessary to evaluate the environmental impact of proposed

remedial alternatives in the FS. Appendix I of this SOW summarizes the requirements for conducting the ERA.

## **Section 5 - Site-Specific Preliminary Remediation Goals**

Following the completion of the HHRA and the final level of ERA, Respondent shall revisit the preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) initially identified in the PER and develop site-specific PRGs for inclusion in the RI Report. Site-specific PRGs are interim remediation goals generally developed on a media specific basis to assist with risk management and engineering considerations during the development and screening of remedial alternatives (see Section 7.0 below). They do not consider potential cross-media exposures, and therefore, may not account for all exposures a given receptor may potentially experience at a Site absent remediation.

Site-specific PRGs are generally calculated by rearranging the risk assessment equations to derive single chemical, single pathway remediation goals based on a hazard quotient (HQ) of 1 or an excess lifetime cancer risk of  $1E-5$  for receptors identified to be at risk due to actual or potential site-related exposures. Site-specific PRGs for protection of human health are then adjusted as necessary to account for multiple chemical and/or multiple routes of exposures within a given medium (e.g., soil, ground water, air) so as not to exceed a cumulative  $1E-5$  excess lifetime cancer risk and a hazard index (HI) as appropriate, of 1 for the same receptor population.

Site-specific PRGs for potential ecological hazards are derived in the same manner using an HQ or HI of 1 as appropriate, or other appropriate ecological evaluation (e.g., toxicity test, bioassay, biosurvey, water quality standard, or screening value). Where site-specific ecological PRGs are developed based on multiple receptors, it may be possible to reduce the list of PRGs by selecting the lowest PRG for a given chemical/receptor combination.

Adjustment of PRGs for the protection of human health to account for possible exposures to multiple chemicals and/or multiple routes of exposure is site-specific and dependent on the exposures and associated risks at the Site. Generally, PRGs are calculated for each chemical that individually exceeds or significantly contributes to risk above the cumulative excess lifetime cancer risk of  $1E-5$  and the non-cancer HI of 1. Adjustment of the PRGs based on a cancer disease endpoint to account for multiple chemical exposures is completed by dividing each PRG by the total number of chemicals of concern. For PRGs based on a non-cancer disease endpoint, the same procedure is followed. However for PRGs based on non-cancer effects, adjustments or groupings may be made to account for specific toxicological effects of the chemical contaminants. These groups and considerations should be consistent with those used

in the baseline risk assessment. See Section 2.8 of RAGS, Part B for additional information on development of site-specific PRGs.

Some site-specific PRGs may depend on Contaminant and/or site-specific circumstances, such as PRGs for lead, or leach-based values for soils or wastes for the protection of ground and surface waters. PRGs may also be based on background concentrations where the use of background concentrations is determined to be appropriate based on the guidance included in the Guidance List attached to the Orders. These PRGs are stand-alone values and are not generally adjusted to account for exposure to multiple contaminants.

Further adjustment of the site-specific PRGs is dependent on the risk management approach and configuration of each of the remedial alternatives subjected to detailed analysis in the FS. This analysis may include the concept of driver chemicals and other specific attributes of the Site and or contamination. Each alternative must be able to maintain protection of human health and the environment during implementation and achieve a residual site-wide cumulative excess lifetime cancer risk of 1E-5 and a non-cancer HI of 1 following implementation. Final remediation goals are determined by Ohio EPA as part of the remedy selection process and are not part of the AOC or this SOW. See Chapter 2 of RAGS, Part C for additional information on the risk evaluation of remedial alternatives.

## **Section 6 - Remedial Investigation Report**

### **RI Report**

Respondent shall submit for Ohio EPA review and approval a RI Report detailing the methods and results of the remedial investigation and the risk assessments. The format for the RI Report is provided in Appendix J of this SOW.

## **Section 7 - Alternatives Array Development**

### **Developing and Screening of Remedial Alternatives (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Chapter 4)**

Respondent shall begin to develop and evaluate a range of remedial alternatives during RI/FS scoping (Section 1.0 and Appendix A of this SOW; Section 2.2.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance). Respondent shall continue to develop and evaluate the remedial alternatives initially developed during project scoping as RI data become available. With the exception of the "no action" alternative, all alternatives under consideration

must, at a minimum, ensure protection of human health and the environment and comply with the applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of state and federal laws and regulations.

### **7.1 Refine Remedial Action Objectives (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Section 4.2.1)**

Respondent shall further refine the preliminary RAOs identified during project scoping. RAOs for protection of human health should specify a site-specific PRG, an exposure pathway and receptor, and preliminary points of compliance. RAOs for protecting environmental receptors should seek to preserve or restore a resource (e.g., as ground water) and should be expressed in terms of the medium of interest and target remediation goals whenever possible (see U.S. EPA's RI/FS Guidance, Table 4-1). The refined RAOs shall be based on the results of the RI and the risk assessments, and shall be consistent with Section 300.430 of the NCP. Respondent shall prepare and submit for review an ITM identifying the refined RAOs for protection of human health and the environment and detailing the methods and procedures used to refine them. Respondent shall revise the refined RAOs per Ohio EPA's comments, if any, and include the refined RAOs in the Alternatives Array Document described in 7.2 below.

### **7.2 Alternatives Array Document (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Chapter 4)**

Respondent shall prepare an Alternatives Array Document (AAD) which documents the methods, rationale, and results of the technology, process option, and alternatives development and the screening process. Respondent shall include an evaluation of whether the amount and type of data existing for the Site will support the subsequent detailed analysis of the alternatives. Respondent shall modify the alternatives based on Ohio EPA's comments, if any, to assure identification of an appropriate range of viable alternatives for consideration in the detailed analysis. The AAD, as revised by Respondent to incorporate Ohio EPA comments, shall be combined with the detailed analysis of alternatives to form the FS Report described in Section 9 and Appendix M of this SOW. Appendix K of this SOW summarizes the requirements for conducting the alternatives screening process and provides the required contents of the AAD.

## **Section 8 - Treatability Studies**

### **Determining the Need for Treatability Studies**

Treatability studies are laboratory or field tests designed to provide critical data needed to evaluate one or more treatment technologies. These studies generally involve characterizing untreated waste and evaluating the performance of the technology under different operating conditions. These results may be qualitative or quantitative,

depending on the level of treatability testing. Treatability studies conducted during the RI/FS to support remedy selection are generally used to determine whether the technology can achieve the RAOs and to provide information needed to support the detailed analysis of alternatives in the FS.

Potential remedial technologies and associated treatability study needs are initially evaluated by Respondent during RI/FS scoping activities (Section 1 and Appendix A of this SOW). Due to the iterative nature of the scoping process throughout the conduct of the RI/FS, potential remedial technologies and the need for treatability studies may be reevaluated as data from the RI becomes available. Regardless of when a potential remedial technology is identified, it is incumbent upon Respondent to identify the need for treatability studies as early in the RI/FS process as possible such that treatability studies are substantially completed prior to performing the detailed analysis of alternatives (Section 9 of this SOW). Ohio EPA may also identify the need for treatability studies during the course of the RI/FS and communicate that need to Respondent. Respondent shall conduct treatability studies in a systematic fashion to ensure that the data generated can support the detailed analysis of alternatives during the FS.

Should the need for treatability studies be identified, Respondent shall submit to Ohio EPA a Treatability Study Work Plan for review and approval. Appendix L of this SOW summarizes the requirements for treatability studies.

## **Section 9 - Feasibility Study Report**

### **Detailed Analysis of Alternatives**

Once it has been determined that sufficient data exist to proceed, Respondent shall conduct a detailed analysis of the alternatives surviving the screening process to provide Ohio EPA with the information needed for selection of a site remedy. The detailed analysis shall consist of an individual analysis of each alternative against eight evaluation criteria followed by a comparative analysis of the alternatives using the same evaluation criteria as the basis for comparison.

#### **9.1 Feasibility Study Report (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Section 6.5)**

Respondent shall prepare and submit a FS Report for review and approval. The AAD, revised based on comments received from Ohio EPA, shall be incorporated into the FS as it is prepared. Respondent will refer to Table 6-5 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance for an outline of the FS Report format and required report content. Appendix M of this

SOW summarizes the process and criteria for conducting the detailed analysis of alternatives and provides additional information on the content of the FS Report.

## **Section 10 - Progress Reports**

Respondent shall submit written monthly progress reports in accordance with Section XII of the Orders, Progress Reports and Notice. The Progress Reports shall include the following information:

- a) A description of the Work performed during the reporting period. For field activities, include boring logs, drilling and sampling locations, depths, and descriptions, and field notes;
- b) A description of any deviations from approved work plans or schedules during the reporting period and the date of Ohio EPA's approval of any such deviations;
- c) A summary of all field and laboratory analytical data generated or received during the reporting period;
- d) Summaries of all contacts during the reporting period with representatives of the local community, public interest groups or government agencies related to conducting the Work;
- e) Summaries of problems or potential problems encountered during the reporting period and any actions taken to rectify or prevent problems;
- f) Changes in project personnel or contractors during the reporting period;
- g) Tasks scheduled for the next two reporting periods;
- h) Copies of daily reports, inspection reports, or other reports as may be required by an approved work plan;
- i) Identification of the sources, types, quantities, test results, and disposition of investigation derived and other project wastes generated or disposed of during the reporting period.

In addition, Respondent shall provide all laboratory data within the Progress Reports and in no event later than 60 days after samples are shipped for analysis for raw analytical data and 90 days after samples are shipped for validated analytical data.

## Appendix A

### Preinvestigation Evaluation Report

Respondent shall prepare and submit for Ohio EPA review and comment a Preinvestigation Evaluation Report (PER) which documents Respondent's performance of the scoping tasks identified in Section 1 and Appendix A of this SOW. The PER shall also include a Level 1 Scoping ERA as described in Appendix I of this SOW and Chapter 2 of the DERR ECO Guidance.

#### PER Tasks

##### I. Description of Current Conditions

Respondent shall collect and analyze existing information available for the Site to develop a preliminary CSM to assist in assessing the nature and the extent of contamination, identifying potential exposure pathways and potential human and ecological receptors, preliminarily evaluating ARARs, developing general response actions and preliminary remedial alternatives, and gathering and analyzing existing Site background information. Sources of information include a review of Ohio EPA and other public files (including analytical results obtained from prior site investigations and assessments conducted by Ohio EPA and others relative to the Site) and interviews with employees, officers and agents (past and present) associated with the Site. Additional sources of existing information are described in Table 2.1 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance and Chapter 2 of the DERR ECO Guidance.

##### A. Existing Analytical Data (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Section 2.2.2)

Respondent shall compile existing analytical data relating to contamination at the Site, and summarize the results in terms of physical and chemical characteristics, contaminant concentrations, and media affected. Data relating to soil, ground water, surface water, sediment, air, or biotic contamination shall be included as available. Use of any data that was not collected and analyzed pursuant to a QAPP approved by Ohio EPA must be supported by inclusion of all relevant quality assurance and quality control information. Consistent with the DQO guidance listed in the Guidance List attached to the Orders, Respondent shall identify the DQOs for all existing data on which Respondent intends to rely.

B. Conduct Site Visit

Respondent shall coordinate a site visit with Ohio EPA to assist in developing a conceptual understanding of sources and areas of contamination, potential exposure pathways, and potential human and ecological receptors. Respondent shall also observe the Site's physiography, hydrology, geology, demographics, natural resources, and ecological and cultural features.

C. Site Background

Respondent shall prepare and include in the PER a summary of the regional location, pertinent area boundary features, and physical geography at and near the Site. The summary shall be based on existing information and shall include characteristics such as surface hydrology, hydrogeology, geology (including cross-sections if available), and the total area of the Site. The summary shall also include the general nature of the problem, particularly with respect to the historic use of the Site relative to disposal or release of contaminants. Respondent shall also include background information on land use, natural resources, and climatology. Respondent may reference applicable existing reports. Respondent shall, at a minimum, provide the following:

1. Map(s) depicting;
  - a. General geographic location;
  - b. Property lines, with the owners of all adjacent property clearly indicated;
  - c. Topography and surface drainage with appropriate contour interval and scale depicting all waterways, wetlands, flood plains, water features, drainage patterns, and surface water containment areas;
  - d. All tanks, buildings, utilities, paved areas, easements, rights-of-way, and other features;
  - e. All known active or past waste treatment, storage or disposal areas and the dates of their operation;
  - f. All known past and present product and waste underground tanks and/or piping;

- g. All known past or present locations of spills or other releases of contaminants or any other potential contaminant source areas;
- h. Surrounding land uses (residential, commercial, agricultural, recreational) including zoning designations;
- i. Wetlands and surface water bodies;
- j. Previous sampling locations and dates of sampling for all media;
- k. The location of all wells, including monitoring and public and private water supply wells. These wells shall be clearly labeled and ground and top of casing elevations and construction details shall be included where available (elevations and construction details may be included as an appendix to the PER). Respondent shall determine whether any of the identified wells are currently being used, particularly as a source of potable water;
- l. Federal Sole Source Aquifer designations and Drinking Water Source Water Protection Areas for public water supplies.

Maps shall be of sufficient detail and accuracy to locate and depict current and future work performed at the Site. Maps shall be submitted as hard copy and in a digital format, using either a shapefile (\*.shp) or drawing exchange format file (\*.dxf) in a known coordinate system (e.g., Ohio State Plane South Zone, Datum = NAD83, units = feet)<sup>1</sup>. Significant features will be created using standard survey techniques or with a global positioning system unit capable of sub-meter accuracy horizontal data capture.

- 2. A history and description of ownership and operation (past and current), including: generation of wastes and any treatment, storage and/or disposal activities at the Site;

---

<sup>1</sup> The term "shapefile" (\*.shp) refers to the electronic file format used by the ArcGIS software systems produced by the ESRI Company, a major supplier of geographic information system products. The term "dxf" means "drawing exchange format" (\*.dxf), a standard electronic file format used by AutoCad® and other graphics software systems.

3. Approximate dates or periods of past product and waste spills or discharges, identification of the materials spilled or discharged, the amount spilled or discharged, the location where spilled or discharged, and a description of any response actions conducted at the time (local, state, or federal response units or private parties), including any inspection reports or technical reports generated as a result of the response;
  4. A summary of past and present permits requested and/or received and a list of permit related documents and studies;
  5. A summary of past and present enforcement actions and a list of related documents and studies;
  6. Identification of any violations of past or present discharge permit limitations and related documents;
  7. A summary of any previous response actions conducted by either local, state, federal, or private parties, a summary of the data generated as a result of the response actions, and a list of response related documents and studies; and
  8. A summary of known or suspected source areas and other areas of known or suspected contamination, and a list of related documents and studies.
- D. Nature and Extent of Contamination (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance, Section 2.2.2)

Respondent shall prepare a summary of the nature and extent of contamination at the Site based on the review of existing information. The summary shall include, but not be limited to, descriptions of the types, physical states, and amounts of contaminants known or suspected to be associated with the Site; the type and volume of environmental media affected or potentially affected by the contaminants; any known or suspected contaminant source areas; the presence and condition of any drums, tanks, lagoons, landfills, or other forms of containment; the potential pathways of contaminant migration; and any actual or potential human and/or ecological exposure to contaminants. Emphasis should be placed on describing the threat or potential threat that may exist to public health and/or the environment. The summary shall include tables

displaying the minimum and maximum levels of detected contaminants for Site areas and media, and identification of areas where additional information is necessary.

E. Develop a Conceptual Site Model (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance, Figure 2-2)

Based on the results of the above tasks, Respondent shall develop a preliminary CSM to evaluate potential threats to human health and the environment. The CSM shall include known and suspected sources of contamination, types of contaminants and affected media, known and potential routes of contaminant migration, and known or potential human and environmental receptors.

II. Review and Integration of Emergency or Interim Actions

Respondent shall evaluate any previous response actions that may have been undertaken at the Site for consistency with the preliminary CSM and to determine if the initial response objectives are being met. Respondent shall include this evaluation and proposals to address identified issues, if any, in the PER.

III. Pre-investigation Evaluation of Remedial Action Technologies, Process Options, and Broadly Defined Remedial Alternatives

Following the review of existing information and development of the preliminary CSM, Respondent shall refine the preliminary RAOs identified in the Orders to specify the contaminants of potential concern, the actual or potential exposure pathways, and the preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) for each exposure pathway (see the Guidance List attached to the Orders, DERR-00-RR-038, *Use of Risk-based numbers in the Remedial Response Process, Overview*, and Section 4.2.1 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance). The refined RAOs shall be consistent with the preliminary CSM.

Based on the preliminary CSM and refined RAOs, Respondent shall develop, evaluate and screen a preliminary range of potential remedial technologies and associated process options, and develop broadly defined remedial alternatives (Sections 4.2.2 through 4.2.6 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance). The screening of technologies and process options shall be based on their effectiveness, implementability, and cost as these terms are defined and used in Sections 4.2.5.1 - 4.2.5.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance.

Respondent shall consider the following during development of a preliminary range of potential remedial alternatives:

- A. Technologies and process options that may be appropriate for treating, containing, or disposing of wastes shall be identified, along with sources of literature on the technologies' effectiveness, application, and cost. Innovative technologies and resource recovery options will be included if they appear feasible.
- B. A preliminary list of broadly defined remedial alternatives that reflect the goal of preserving a range of alternatives in which treatment that significantly reduces the toxicity, mobility, or volume of waste is a principal element; one or more alternatives that involve containment with little or no treatment; a limited number of ground-water alternatives that attain site-specific remediation levels within differing time frames, and a no action alternative.
- C. For alternatives involving treatment, the need for treatability studies shall be evaluated as early in the RI/FS process as possible. The need for such studies shall be discussed in the Pre-investigation Evaluation Report.

Respondent shall also preliminarily identify potential ARARs and TBC criteria which may influence potential remedial alternatives and/or site characterization activities (Section 2.2.5 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance).

Respondent will revise and refine the preliminary CSM and supporting information (RAOs, contaminants of concern, routes of exposure, receptors, preliminary remedial alternatives, ARARs, and TBC criteria) throughout the RI/FS process as data become available and uncertainties are reduced.

#### IV. Identification of Data Needs and Data Usage

Based on the results of the above scoping tasks, Respondent shall identify the types of data that will need to be collected during the RI. At a minimum, data shall be collected sufficient to:

- A. Define Source Areas of Contamination;
- B. Define the Nature and Vertical and Horizontal Extent of Contamination;
- C. Define the Environmental Setting at the Site;
- D. Define Potential Pathways of Contaminant Migration;

- E. Define Hot Spots (see: U.S. EPA 1991 *A Guide to Principal Threat and Low Level Threat Wastes*) within source areas;
- F. Define Potential Receptors;
- G. Support the HHRA and ERA; and
- H. Support the Development and Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives (support development of the AAD and the FS).

Identification of data needs shall be coordinated with the expected uses for the data and the DQOs. Respondent shall identify the intended uses for the data and its adequacy in meeting the DQOs.

V. Pre-investigation Evaluation Report Format

- A. Introduction
- B. Project Initiation Meeting - summary of discussion and conclusions
- C. Description of Current Conditions
  - 1. Site Background
  - 2. Existing Data Analysis
  - 3. Site Visit
  - 4. Nature and Extent of Contamination
  - 5. Potential Receptor Identification
- D. Conceptual Site Model
- E. Level I Ecological Risk Assessment
- F. Pre-investigation Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives
  - 1. Preliminary Remediation Goals
  - 2. Remedial Action Objectives

3. Federal ARARs, state requirements, and TBCs
  4. Preliminary Remedial Alternatives
    - a. Preliminary Screening of Remedial Technologies
    - b. Preliminary Screening of Process Options
    - c. Development of Preliminary Remedial Alternatives
- G. Identification of Data Needs and Data Usage
1. Analysis of RI/FS SOW Tasks
  2. Data Needs
  3. Data Quality Objectives

Appendix B

**Field Sampling Plan Format**

Respondent shall prepare the FSP consistent with Sections 3.3.4.1 through 3.3.4.12 of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' guidance *Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling and Analysis Plans*, EM 200-1-3, February, 2001, using the following format:

Title Page

Table of Contents

1.0 Project Background

1.1 Site History and Contaminants

1.2 Summary of Existing Site Data

1.3 Site-Specific Definition of Problems

2.0 Project Organization and Responsibilities

3.0 Project Scope and Objectives

3.1 Task Description

3.2 Applicable Regulations/Standards

3.3 Project Schedule

4.0 Nonmeasurement Data Acquisition

5.0 Field Activities by Area of Concern (AOC)

5.1 Geophysics

5.1.1 Rationale/Design

5.1.1.1 Method

5.1.1.2 Study Area Definition and Measurement Spacing

5.1.2 Field Procedures

5.1.2.1 Equipment

5.1.2.2 Preliminary Method Testing and Early Termination  
Procedures

5.1.2.3 Instrument Calibration and QC Procedures

5.1.2.4 Field Progress/Interpretation Reporting

5.1.2.5 Measurement Point/Grid Surveying

5.1.2.6 Data Processing

5.1.2.7 Potential Interpretation Techniques

5.2 Soil Gas Survey

5.2.1 Rationale/Design

5.2.1.1 Soil Gas Sample Locations

5.2.1.2 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis

5.2.1.3 Background, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency

5.2.2 Field Procedures

5.2.2.1 Drilling Methods and Equipment

5.2.2.2 Materials (Casing, screen, etc.)

5.2.2.3 Installation

5.2.2.4 Sampling Methods

5.2.2.5 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria

5.2.2.6 Documentation

5.3 Ground Water

5.3.1 Rationale/Design

5.3.1.1 Monitoring Well Location and Installation

5.3.1.2 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis

5.3.1.3 Upgradient, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency

5.3.2 Monitoring Well Installation

5.3.2.1 Drilling Methods and Equipment

5.3.2.2 Materials

5.3.2.2.1 Casing/Screen/Centralizers

5.3.2.2.2 Filter Pack, Bentonite Seal, Cement/Bentonite Grout

5.3.2.2.3 Surface Completion

5.3.2.2.4 Water Source

5.3.2.2.5 Delivery, Storage, and Handling of Materials

5.3.2.3 Installation

5.3.2.3.1 Test Holes

5.3.2.3.2 Soil Sampling and Rock Coring During Drilling

5.3.2.3.3 Geophysical Logging

5.3.2.3.4 Borehole Diameter and Depth

5.3.2.3.5 Screen and Well Casing Placement

5.3.2.3.6 Filter Pack Placement

5.3.2.3.7 Bentonite Seal

5.3.2.3.8 Cement/Bentonite Grout Placement

5.3.2.3.9 Concrete/Gravel Pad Placement

5.3.2.3.10 Protective Cover Placement

5.3.2.3.11 Well Identification

5.3.2.3.12 Well Development

5.3.2.3.13 Well Survey

5.3.2.3.14 Alignment Testing

5.3.2.3.15 In Situ Permeability Testing

- 5.3.2.4 Documentation
  - 5.3.2.4.1 Logs and Well Installation Diagrams
  - 5.3.2.4.2 Development Records
  - 5.3.2.4.3 Geophysical Logs
  - 5.3.2.4.4 Decommission/Abandonment Records
  - 5.3.2.4.5 Photographs
  - 5.3.2.5 Well Decommission/Abandonment
  - 5.3.2.6 Water Level Measurement
- 5.3.3 Determine Free Product Presence and Sampling
- 5.3.4 Aquifer Testing
- 5.3.5 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria
- 5.3.6 Sampling Methods for Ground Water - General
- 5.3.7 Sample Handling Methods for Ground Water - Filtration
- 5.3.8 Sample Containers and Preservation Techniques
- 5.3.9 Field Quality Control Sampling Procedures
- 5.3.10 Decontamination Procedures
- 5.4 Subsurface Soil
  - 5.4.1 Rationale/Design
    - 5.4.1.1 Soil and Rock Boring Locations
    - 5.4.1.2 Discrete/Composite Soil Sampling Requirement
    - 5.4.1.3 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis
    - 5.4.1.4 Background, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency
  - 5.4.2 Field Procedures
    - 5.4.2.1 Drilling Methods
    - 5.4.2.2 Boring Logs
    - 5.4.2.3 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria
    - 5.4.2.4 Sampling for Physical/Geotechnical Analyses
    - 5.4.2.5 Sampling for Chemical Analyses
    - 5.4.2.6 Sample Containers and Preservation Techniques
    - 5.4.2.7 Field Quality Control Sampling Procedures
    - 5.4.2.8 Decontamination Procedures
- 5.5 Surface Soil and Sediment
  - 5.5.1 Rationale/Design
    - 5.5.1.1 Surface Soil Sample Locations
    - 5.5.1.2 Sediment Sample Locations from Onsite and/or Offsite Drainage Channels
    - 5.5.1.3 Sediment Sample Locations from Ponds, Lakes, and Lagoons
    - 5.5.1.4 Discrete/Composite Soil and/or Sediment Sampling Requirements
    - 5.5.1.5 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis

- 5.5.1.6 Upgradient, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency
- 5.5.2 Field Procedures
  - 5.5.2.1 Sampling Methods for Surface Soil/Dry Sediment
  - 5.5.2.2 Sampling Methods for Underwater Sediments from Ponds, Lakes, and Lagoons
  - 5.5.2.3 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria
  - 5.5.2.4 Sampling for Physical/Geotechnical Analyses
  - 5.5.2.5 Sampling for Chemical Analyses
  - 5.5.2.6 Sample Containers and Preservation Techniques
  - 5.5.2.7 Field QC Sampling Procedures
  - 5.5.2.8 Decontamination Procedures
- 5.6 Surface Water
  - 5.6.1 Rationale/Design
    - 5.6.1.1 Surface Water Sample Locations
    - 5.6.1.2 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis
    - 5.6.1.3 Upgradient, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency
  - 5.6.2 Field Procedures
    - 5.6.2.1 Sampling Methods for Surface Water - General
    - 5.6.2.2 Sample Handling Methods for Surface Water - Filtration
    - 5.6.2.3 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria
    - 5.6.2.4 Sample Containers and Preservation Techniques
    - 5.6.2.5 Field Quality Control Sampling Procedures
    - 5.6.2.6 Decontamination Procedures
- 5.7 Other Matrices
  - 5.7.1 Rationale/Design
    - 5.7.1.1 Sample Locations
    - 5.7.1.2 Discrete/Composite Sampling Requirements
    - 5.7.1.3 Sample Collection and Field and Laboratory Analysis
    - 5.7.1.4 Background/Upgradient, QA/QC, and Blank Samples and Frequency
  - 5.7.2 Field Procedures
    - 5.7.2.1 Sampling Methods
    - 5.7.2.2 Field Measurement Procedures and Criteria
    - 5.7.2.3 Sample Containers and Preservation Techniques
    - 5.7.2.4 Field Quality Control Sampling Procedures
    - 5.7.2.5 Decontamination Procedures
- 6.0 Field Operations Documentation
  - 6.1 Daily Quality Control Reports (QCR)
  - 6.2 Field Logbook and/or Sample Field Sheets
  - 6.3 Photographic Records

6.4 Sample Documentation

6.4.1 Sample Numbering System

6.4.2 Sample Labels and/or Tags

6.4.3 Chain-of-Custody Records

6.5 Field Analytical Records

6.6 Documentation Procedures/Data Management and Retention

7.0 Sample Packaging and Shipping Requirements

8.0 Investigation-Derived Wastes (IDW)

9.0 Field Assessment/Three-Phase Inspection Procedures

9.1 Contractor Quality Control (CQC)

9.2 Sampling Apparatus and Field Instrumentation Checklist

10.0 Nonconformance/Corrective Actions

Appendices

A. References

Appendix C

Quality Assurance Project Plan Elements

Group A: Project Management	Group B: Data Generation and Acquisition	Group C: Assessment and Oversight
A1 Title and Approval Sheet	B1 Sampling Process Design (Experimental Design)	C1 Assessments and Response Actions
A2 Table of Contents	B2 Sampling Methods	C2 Reports to Management
A3 Distribution List	B3 Sample Handling and Custody	
A4 Project/Task Organization	B4 Analytical Methods	<b>Group D: Data Validation and Usability</b>
A5 Problem Definition and Background	B5 Quality Control	D1 Data Review, Verification, and Validation
A6 Project/Task Description	B6 Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance	D2 Verification and Validation Methods
A7 Quality Objectives and Criteria	B7 Instrument/Equipment Calibration and Frequency	D3 Reconciliation with User Requirements
A8 Special Training/Certifications	B8 Inspection/Acceptance of Supplies and Consumables	
A9 Documentation and Records	B9 Non-direct Measurements	
	B10 Data Management	

## Appendix D

### Health and Safety Plan (HASP) - see also SOW Section 2.3

- I. Respondent shall submit a HASP that at a minimum addresses the following:
  - A. Facility or site description including availability of resources such as roads, water supply, electricity and telephone service;
  - B. Description of the known hazards and an evaluation of the risks
  - C. Listing of key personnel (including the site safety and health officer) and alternates responsible for site safety, response operations, and for protection of public health;
  - D. Delineation of work area, including a map;
  - E. Description of levels of protection to be worn by personnel in the work area, including a description of the personal protective equipment to be used for each of the site tasks and operations being conducted;
  - F. Description of the medical monitoring program;
  - G. Description of standard operating procedures established to assure the proper use and maintenance of personal protective equipment;
  - H. The establishment of procedures to control site access;
  - I. Description of decontamination procedures for personnel and personal protective equipment;
  - J. Establishment of site emergency procedures, including a contingency plan that meets the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.120(I)(1) and (I)(2);
  - K. Availability of emergency medical care for injuries and toxicological problems;
  - L. Description of requirements for an environmental monitoring program. (This should include a description of the frequency and type of air and personnel monitoring, environmental sampling techniques and a

description of the calibration and maintenance of the instrumentation used.);

- M. Specification of any routine and special training required for site personnel;
- N. Entry procedures for confined spaces; and
- O. Establishment of procedures for protecting workers from weather-related problems.

II. The HASP shall be consistent with:

- A. NIOSH Occupational Safety and Health Guidance Manual for Hazardous Waste Site Activities (1985);
- B. Section 111©)(6) of CERCLA;
- C. U.S. EPA Order 1440.3 -- Respiratory Protection;
- D. U.S. EPA Order 1440.2 -- Health and Safety Requirements for Employees Engaged in Field Activities;
- E. U.S. EPA Occupational Health and Safety Manual;
- F. U.S. EPA Standard Operating Safety Guides (Publication 9285.1-03, PB92-963414, June 1992);
- G. OSHA regulations particularly in 29 CFR 1910 and 1926;
- H. State and local regulations; and
- I. Site or facility conditions.

Although Ohio EPA will review and may provide comment on the draft HASP, Ohio EPA will not approve the HASP. It is Respondent's responsibility to comply with applicable rules and regulations and to ensure that site workers, site visitors, and the surrounding community are protected from any hazards or potential hazards associated with the Site throughout the conduct of the RI/FS.

## Appendix E

### Environmental Setting

Respondent shall characterize the environmental setting of the Site. Characterization shall include discussion of regional and site hydrogeology, surface water and sediment, local climate, and human and ecological receptors. Components to be addressed include but are not limited to:

#### I. Regional Hydrogeology

Respondent shall characterize the regional hydrogeology surrounding the facility, including:

- A. Depth to bedrock;
- B. Hydrostratigraphic unit correlation (both map and profile view);
- C. Aquifer and aquitard delineation;
- D. Active and inactive residential, public, industrial, agricultural, and other production well locations within a four (4) mile radius of the Site;
- E. Well logs, with well construction details and average yield;
- F. Average pumping rates for production wells;
- G. Ambient ground water quality characterization;
- H. Average depth to water;
- I. Seasonal variation in ground water flow direction;
- J. Recharge and discharge area identification;
- K. Source water protection area identification;
- L. Aquifer designation (*i.e.*; federal Sole Source Aquifer; Drinking Water Source Water Protection Area);

- M. Regional geomorphology and topography, including locations of surface water bodies and floodways. This description should include an analysis of any features that may influence the ground water flow system; and
- N. Structural feature delineation, including bedding planes and fold, joint, and fracture trace orientation.

## II. Site Hydrogeology

Respondent shall characterize site-specific hydrogeology based on data collected from bore holes, monitoring wells, piezometers, and laboratory and field tests. Characterization shall include but not be limited to the following:

- A. An accurate classification and description of the consolidated and unconsolidated stratigraphic units beneath the Site, including:
  - 1. Hydraulic conductivity (vertical and horizontal);
  - 2. Porosity, effective porosity, and bulk density;
  - 3. Rock and soil (ASTM 2488 and 2487) classification;
  - 4. Grain size distribution (sieve and hydrometer) curves;
  - 5. Moisture content;
  - 6. The attenuation capacity and mechanisms of attenuation of the natural earth material and/or fill (*i.e.*, ion exchange capacity, base saturation, organic carbon content, mineral content, soil sorptive capacity, storage capacity); and
  - 7. pH;
- B. Surface soils, including:
  - 1. Soil Conservation Service soil classification;
  - 2. Surface soil distribution;
  - 3. Depth and profile;

4. Organic carbon;
  5. pH;
  6. Porosity (total, air-filled);
  7. Bulk density;
  8. Gravimetric soil moisture content;
  9. Fraction of vegetative cover (of contaminated areas);
  10. ion exchange capacity;
  11. Infiltration; and
  12. Evapotranspiration.
- C. A description of the local ground water flow regime, including:
1. Identification of all aquitards and aquifer systems (hydrogeologic formations wholly or partially saturated and capable of transmitting flow);
  2. Identification of saturated zones;
  3. Identification of water table and potentiometric surface depth with degree of seasonal fluctuation;
  4. Identification of seasonal ground water flow direction for each aquifer system including water table and/or potentiometric surface contour maps for each significant zone of saturation;
  5. Quantification of flow rate throughout each aquifer system;
  6. Quantification of horizontal and vertical gradients;
  7. Quantification of infiltration rates through the unsaturated zone;

8. Quantification of flow across and lateral to hydrostratigraphic units, including the degree of seepage and upward leakage;
  9. Quantification of flow budget across the Site with identification of recharge and discharge areas;
  10. Location of nearest hydraulic boundaries;
  11. Characterization of ambient ground water chemistry both upgradient and downgradient of the Site;
  12. Hydrostratigraphic cross sections depicting horizontal and lateral extent, depth, and thickness of units. Cross sections shall be developed both longitudinally and transverse to the dominant direction of flow across the Site. Cross sections shall include flow nets distinguishing vertical and horizontal components of flow across stratigraphic units; and
  13. Delineation of structural features, including orientation, density, and distribution.
- D. A description of man-made influences that may affect the hydrogeology of the Site, identifying:
1. Active and inactive water supply and production wells with pumping schedules; and
  2. Man-made structures such as injection wells, pipelines, french drains, ditches, unlined and lined ponds, lagoons, septic tanks, NPDES permitted out falls, retention areas and utility lines.
- E. An area-specific description of the geomorphology at the Site. At a minimum this shall include;
1. An analysis of any topographic feature that may influence the ground water flow system;
  2. A surface topography map depicting (at a minimum) streams, wetlands, topographic depressions and springs. The topographic map shall be constructed by a qualified professional and shall provide contour intervals at a level of detail appropriate for the site-

specific hydrogeologic investigation (e.g., two-foot intervals). The map shall depict the location of all borings, monitoring wells and cross sections.

- F. The RI Report shall document the methods and procedures used to gather and evaluate the hydrogeologic data. These methods and procedures shall be in accordance with the approved RI/FS Work Plan. Field methods may include but are not limited to:
1. Borehole characterization;
  2. Ground water level measurements;
  3. Ground water sampling;
  4. Monitoring well and piezometer installation;
  5. Aquifer testing (e.g., pump and slug testing) to determine the degree of hydraulic communication between hydrostratigraphic units and subsurface structure;
  6. Remote sensing, including geophysical techniques to identify zones of saturation, hydrostratigraphic units, and subsurface structure;
  7. Ground water tracer testing to assist in determining migration pathways and hydraulic conductivity; and
  8. Isotopic age dating of ground water to assist in migration pathway identification.

### III. Surface Water and Sediment

Respondent shall conduct a program to characterize any surface water bodies in the vicinity of the Site. Such characterization shall include, but is not limited to:

- A. Description of the perennial and ephemeral surface water bodies including:
1. For lakes and estuaries: location, elevation, surface area, inflow, outflow, depth, temperature stratification and volume;

2. For impoundments: location, elevation, surface area, depth, volume, freeboard and purpose of impoundment;
  3. For streams, ditches, drains, wetlands, and channels: location, hydraulic gradient, flow velocity, base flow, depth, width, bank height and slope, gaining and losing stream sections, seasonal fluctuations, stabilization of stream bed; description of stream banks; flood plain areas, and flood zones (*i.e.*, 50 and 100 year events); area of drainage basin;
  4. Drainage patterns/storm water runoff;
  5. Degree of ground water seepage and/or recharge to surface waterbodies;
  6. Any known discharges including those permitted by NPDES; and.
- B. Description of the chemical, physical and biological/biochemical characteristics of the surface water and sediments. This includes but is not limited to:
1. Chemical (surface water and/or sediment)
    - a. Total organic carbon (TOC);
    - b. pH;
    - c. total dissolved solids;
    - d. total suspended solids;
    - e. biochemical oxygen demand (BOD);
    - f. conductivity; and
    - g. dissolved oxygen.
  2. Physical (surface water and/or sediment)
    - a. temperature;
    - b. particle/grain size;
    - c. appearance/texture/odor/color;
    - d. organic matter deposition;
    - e. Deposition area, patterns, and rates; and
    - f. Thickness profile.

3. Biological/Biochemical
  - a. Aquatic life use designation based on Ohio's Water Quality Standards<sup>2</sup>;
  - b. Attainment status of water body; and
  - c. Ohio wetland classification.

The RI Report shall document the methods and procedures used to gather and evaluate the surface water and sediment data. These methods and procedures shall be in accordance with the approved RI/FS Work Plan. Field methods may include but are not limited to:

- a. drain tracer studies;
- b. seepage meter installation and data acquisition;
- c. stream piezometer installation and water level acquisition; and
- d. stream weir gauge installation and data acquisition.

#### IV. Local Climate

Respondent shall provide information characterizing the climate in the vicinity of the Site in general, and at the time of the investigation(s). Such information shall include, but not be limited to:

- A. A description of the following parameters:
  1. Annual and monthly rainfall averages;
  2. Monthly temperature averages and extremes;
  3. Wind speed and direction;
  4. Relative humidity/dew point;
  5. Atmospheric pressure;

---

<sup>2</sup> Ohio Water Quality Standards, OAC Chapter 3745-1

6. Evaporation data;
  7. Development of inversions; and
  8. Climate extremes that have been known to occur in the vicinity of the facility, including frequency of occurrence.
- B. A description of topographic or manmade features which may affect air flow or emission patterns, including:
1. Ridges, hills or mountain areas;
  2. Canyons or valleys;
  3. Surface water bodies;
  4. Wind breaks and forests;
  5. Buildings; and
  6. Any other features that may affect air flow or emission patterns.
- V. Human receptors potentially exposed to Site-related contaminants, including:
- A. human population data including demographics;
  - B. sensitive sub-populations;
  - C. populations served by surface water intakes or ground water wells; and
  - D. land use (e.g., residential, commercial, recreational).
- VI. Ecological receptors potentially exposed to site-related contaminants, including:
- A. terrestrial receptors;
  - B. aquatic receptors; and
  - C. special interest species (including Threatened and Endangered species).

## Appendix F

### Source Characterization

Respondents shall characterize the source or sources of site contamination, including the unit/disposal area and physical and chemical characteristics of source area contaminants. The source characterization shall include but not be limited to the following:

- I. Unit/Disposal Area:
  - A. Location;
  - B. Type;
  - C. Design features;
  - D. Operating practices (past and present);
  - E. Period of operation;
  - F. Age;
  - G. General physical conditions;
  - H. Methods used to closure and monitoring; and
  - I. Estimation of initially disposed contaminant mass.
- II. Waste/Contaminant Characteristics
  - A. Type of waste
    1. Waste types and classification (*e.g.*, hazardous due to listed, flammable, reactive, corrosive, oxidizing or reducing agent; Toxic Substances Control Act wastes, solid, municipal, and/or industrial);
    2. Quantity; and
    3. General chemical class (*e.g.*, acid, base, solvent).

B. Waste/Contaminant Physical and chemical characteristics

1. Phase (e.g., solid, liquid, gas);
2. Physical description (e.g., powder, oily sludge);
3. Temperature;
4. pH;
5. Molecular weight;
6. Density;
7. Boiling point;
8. Viscosity;
9. Solubility in water;
10. Cohesiveness of the wastes;
11. Vapor pressure;
12. Henry's law constant;
13.  $K_{ow}$ ;
14.  $K_d$ ; and
15. Flash point.

C. Waste/Contaminant migration and dispersal characteristics

1. Retardation;
2. Biodegradation rates;
3. Photodegradation rates;
4. Hydrolysis rates;

5. Chemical transformation rates and degradation products;
6. Chemical interactions;
7. Products of all such reactions or processes;
8. Leachate infiltration rates and contaminant mass loading to aquifer systems; and
9. Soil screening concentrations.

Respondent shall document the procedures used in making the above determinations.

## Appendix G

### Nature and Extent of Contamination

#### I. Ground water Contamination

Respondent shall conduct a ground water investigation to characterize the nature and extent of any ground water contamination at the Site. The investigation shall include a description and quantification of ground water quality in the aquifer systems and all zones of saturation or permeable zones that may act as pathways for contaminant migration. The investigation shall include but not be limited to the following:

- A. Characterization of the horizontal and vertical extent of any immiscible or dissolved phase contaminant plume(s), including sampling of ground water potentially discharging contaminants to surface waters for compliance with Water Quality Standards;
- B. Delineation of contaminant specific flow velocity vectors in map and profile view;
- C. Construction of contaminant specific isopleths in map and profile view. Isopleths should be superimposed over map and profile views for each aquifer system, including significant zones of saturation above the water table;
- D. Extrapolation of future contaminant migration rates and distribution;
- E. Identification and sampling of ground water production wells, including residential, public, industrial, agricultural, and other production wells within or in the vicinity of the contamination; and
- F. Determination of the degree of seasonal variation in ground water contaminant concentrations.

#### II. Surface and Subsurface Soil Contamination

Respondent shall conduct an investigation to characterize the nature and extent of surface and subsurface soil contamination at the Site. This includes areas where contaminants may have migrated due to airborne deposition or transport

with surface water runoff. The investigation shall include but not be limited to the following information:

- A. A description of the vertical and horizontal extent and pattern of contamination;
- B. A description of contaminant and soil chemical, biological, and physical properties, including contaminant solubility, speciation, adsorption, leachability, exchange capacity, biodegradation, hydrolysis, photolysis, oxidation and other factors that might affect contaminant migration and transformation;
- C. Delineation of contaminant specific concentrations;
- D. Description of mechanisms and patterns of soil contaminant migration; and
- E. An extrapolation of future soil contaminant movement.

### III. Surface Water and Sediment Contamination

Respondent shall conduct an investigation to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in or discharging to surface waters and sediments. The investigation shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

- A. Characterization of the horizontal and vertical extent of any immiscible or dissolved phase contamination in surface waters, sediments, and seeps, including sampling of seeps potentially discharging contaminants to surface waters for compliance with Water Quality Standards;
- B. Delineation of the horizontal and vertical distribution of any immiscible, dissolved, or suspended surface water contamination in map and profile view;
- C. Delineation of the horizontal and vertical distribution of any sediment and sediment pore water contamination in map and profile view;
- D. The velocity and direction of contaminant migration in surface water and sediment;

- E. An evaluation of the physical, biological and chemical factors influencing contaminant migration; and
- F. An extrapolation of future contaminant migration.

#### IV. Subsurface Gas Contamination

Respondent shall conduct an investigation to characterize the nature and extent of subsurface gases emitted from contaminants in soil, wastes, or ground water. Respondent shall investigate and evaluate the soil vapor intrusion exposure pathway to determine whether soil vapor poses an unacceptable threat to human health, including the potential for the generation of flammable or explosive gases such as methane.

The subsurface gas investigation shall include the following information:

- A. A description of the extent of subsurface gas contamination, including horizontal and vertical contaminant concentration profiles;
- B. An evaluation of preferential subsurface gas migration pathways;
- C. The chemical composition of subsurface gases;
- D. The rate, amount, and density of the subsurface gases being emitted;
- E. Subsurface gas contaminant fate and transport;
- F. A survey of inhabitable structures (residential and commercial/industrial) and land use;
- G. An investigation and evaluation of the indoor air vapor intrusion pathway;
- H. An investigation and evaluation of the threat of fire or explosive conditions as a result of subsurface gas migration; and
- I. Determination of the degree of seasonal variation in subsurface gas contaminant concentrations, migration rates, and distribution.

Respondent shall refer to the vapor intrusion guidance included in the Guidance List attached to the Orders when planning and conducting the vapor intrusion component of the subsurface gas investigations.

## V. Air Contamination

Respondent shall investigate the extent of atmospheric contamination resulting from contaminants found to be present at the Site. The investigation shall include an assessment of the potential for the contaminants to enter the atmosphere, description of local wind patterns, and the anticipated fate of airborne contaminants. The investigation shall provide the following information:

- A. A description of the horizontal and vertical direction and velocity of contaminant movement;
- B. The rate and amount of the release;
- C. Ambient (outdoor) air contaminant concentrations;
- D. Indoor air contaminant concentrations resulting from ambient releases;
- E. The chemical and physical nature of contaminated particulates including respirable portion, source emission rates, and contaminant concentrations in respirable portions;
- F. The chemical and physical composition of the contaminants released, including vertical and horizontal concentration profiles; and
- G. Environmental factors that affect fate and transport of contaminants in the atmosphere.

## VI. Other Media

Respondent shall conduct additional investigations as necessary to support the HHRA and/or ERA with respect to other media that may be contaminated. This may include tissue contaminant concentrations in vegetation, crops, home grown produce, meats, prey, macroinvertebrates, fish, shellfish or other tissues for which exposure is reasonably anticipated by human and/or ecological receptors.

## Appendix H

### Human Health Risk Assessment

Respondent shall conduct a baseline HHRA, which includes, but not limited to:

#### I. Revise the Conceptual Site Model

Prior to preparing the baseline HHRA, Respondent shall revise the CSM prepared during scoping based on the data collected during the RI and include the revised CSM in the Risk Assessment Assumptions Document (RAAD) discussed in Section 4.1 of this SOW. See Section 4.2 of RAGS, Part A and Section 2.2.2.2 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance for specific details on the development of the CSM. The revised CSM shall identify all potential or suspected sources of contamination, types and concentrations of contaminants, potential exposure pathways, and all current and potential receptors. Based upon the revised RAAD, Respondent shall prepare a baseline HHRA as outlined below to be included in the RI/FS Report.

#### II. Data Collection and Evaluation Process

The purpose of data collection and evaluation is to obtain reliable chemical release and exposure data for quantitative human health risk assessment. The data collection and evaluation process is accomplished via the completion of the approved work plans. It should be noted that the evaluation of risk to human health is an iterative process as data are gathered during the RI. See Chapters 4 and 5 of RAGS Part A for specific details on the data collection and evaluation process. The following is a general outline of the data collection and evaluation step in the HHRA:

##### A. Data Collection

1. collect existing data;
2. collect background data; and
3. collect data per the work plan(s)

##### B. Data Evaluation

1. combine data from site investigations;

2. evaluate analytical methods;
3. evaluate quantitation limits;
4. evaluate qualified and coded data;
5. evaluate blanks;
6. evaluate tentatively identified compounds; and
7. identify chemicals of potential concern (based on):
  - a. Background concentrations derived in accordance with the background guidance, and;
  - b. Contaminant toxicity (including as appropriate, toxicologically-based screening values).

### III. Exposure Assessment

The objective of the exposure assessment is to estimate the type and magnitude of exposures of potential receptors to chemicals of potential concern. The results of the exposure assessment are combined with chemical-specific toxicity information to characterize potential health risks. See Chapter 6 of Part A for specific details on conducting an acceptable exposure assessment.

Respondent shall:

- A. Combine site data and environmental modeling results to:
  1. identify potentially exposed populations;
  2. identify potential exposure pathways; and
  3. estimate exposure point concentrations.
- B. Estimate of Chemical Intakes. Respondent shall provide estimates of chemical intakes as appropriate from:
  1. Air (atmospheric and indoor air);
  2. Soil;

3. Ground water;
4. Surface water;
5. Sediment; and
6. Other exposure pathways as appropriate (e.g., food-stuffs, fish and game (see Chapter 6 of RAGS, Part A for exposure assessment information regarding intake of contaminated food items)).

#### IV. Toxicity Assessment

The purpose of the toxicity assessment is to weigh evidence regarding the potential for particular contaminants to cause adverse effects in exposed individuals and to provide, where possible, an estimate of the relationship between the extent of exposure to a contaminant and the increased likelihood and/or severity of adverse effects.

Respondent shall evaluate critical toxicity values (e.g., numerical values describing a chemical toxicity) and review general toxicological information for the indicator chemicals. Chapter 7 of RAGS, Part A provides specific details for conducting an acceptable toxicity assessment. DERR's *Assessing Compounds without Formal Toxicity Values for Use in Human Health Risk Assessment* identifies sources for obtaining acceptable toxicity criteria. Respondent shall:

- A. Gather qualitative and quantitative toxicity information for substances being evaluated;
- B. Identify exposure periods for which toxicity values are necessary;
- C. Determine toxicity values for non-carcinogenic effects;
- D. Identify, if possible, mechanism or mode of action of toxicity and/or target organ(s) for all non-carcinogenic potential contaminants of concern; and,
- E. Determine toxicity values (e.g., slope factors) for all carcinogenic chemicals.

V. Risk Characterization

A. Respondent shall provide a detailed characterization of the risks or hazards posed by releases from the Site. See Chapter 8, RAGS Part A for specific information on completing the risk characterization process. The characterization shall include the following elements:

1. Review outputs from toxicity and exposure assessments;
2. Quantify risks/hazards from individual chemicals;
3. Quantify risks/hazards from multiple chemicals where appropriate;
4. Combine risks/hazards across exposure pathways where appropriate;
5. Assess present uncertainty; and
6. Consider site-specific human studies where appropriate.

B. Potential non-carcinogenic adverse effects are evaluated using the Hazard Quotient or Hazard Index approach, where:

For individual non-cancer chemical evaluations, the Hazard Quotient (HQ) methodology is used:

$$HQ = E/RfV$$

where:

E = exposure level (or intake) for the toxicant

RfV = reference dose (RfD) or concentration (RfC) for the toxicant;  
and,

E and RfV are expressed in the same units and represent the same exposure period (*i.e.*, chronic, sub-chronic, or shorter term) and route of exposure (*i.e.*, inhalation, ingestion, or, dermal absorption).

Exposures to multiple non-cancer toxicants are evaluated using the Hazard Index (HI) approach, where:

$$HI = E_1/RfV_1 + E_2/RfV_2 + \dots E_i/RfV_i$$

where:

$E_i$  = exposure level (or intake) for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  toxicant

$RfV_i$  = reference dose for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  toxicant

$E$  and  $RfV$  are expressed in the same units and represent the same exposure period (*i.e.*, chronic, sub-chronic, or shorter term) and route of exposure (*i.e.*, inhalation, ingestion, or, dermal absorption)

Hazards for the various exposure pathways are to be summed as appropriate based on reasonable exposure pathway combinations and receptor exposure. See Section 8.2.2 of Chapter 8 of RAGS Part A for details on the aggregation of hazards. Non-cancer hazard estimates should be expressed using one significant figure only.

- C. Potential carcinogenic effects are estimated using the predicted risk approach, where:

$$\text{Risk} = \text{CDI} \times \text{SF}$$

where:

Risk = a unitless probability (*e.g.*,  $1 \text{ E-}5$ ) of an individual developing cancer;

CDI = chronic daily intake averaged over 70 years ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}.\text{day}^{-1}$ );  
and,

SF = slope factor, expressed in  $(\text{mg.kg}^{-1}.\text{day}^{-1})^{-1}$ .

Exposure to multiple carcinogens are evaluated using the following equation:

$$\text{Risk}_T = \sum \text{Risk}_i$$

where:

$Risk_T$  = the total cancer risk, expressed as a unitless probability; and,

$Risk_i$  = the risk estimate for the  $i^{th}$  substance.

It is assumed that risks are additive when receptors are exposed to multiple carcinogenic compounds. Risks for the various exposure pathways are to be summed as appropriate based on reasonable exposure pathway combinations and receptor exposure. Resulting cancer risk estimates should be expressed using one significant figure only.

D. Uncertainties

Respondent shall provide a discussion of the uncertainties and assumptions made in the assessment process. See Section 8.4 in Chapter 8 of RAGS Part A for specific details regarding the assessment and presentation of uncertainty.

## Appendix I

### Ecological Risk Assessment

The DERR ECO Guidance follows a phased approach for ecological risk assessment. Specifically, the DERR ECO Guidance is divided into 4 levels:

#### I. Level I Scoping ERA

The purpose of the Level I Scoping ERA is to determine whether there exists any potential for site contamination to impact or adversely effect any important ecological resource at or in the vicinity of the Site. Respondent shall complete a Level I Scoping ERA during the RI/FS scoping phase (Section 1 and Appendix A of this SOW) and incorporate the Level I ERA Report into the Preinvestigation Evaluation Report (PER). The major tasks of the Level I Scoping ERA consist of:

##### A. Site Characterization

Based on a review of existing data and a habitat evaluation of the Site and its surroundings, Respondent shall consider the following:

1. Site Background/Site History;
2. Identification of any Important Ecological Resource potentially impacted by site-related contamination (see: page 6-2 of DERR ECO Guidance for the definition of Important Ecological Resource); and
3. Known or suspected releases of contamination in any medium present at the Site.

##### B. Decision to complete additional ecological assessment

Respondent shall:

1. Summarize the completed risk assessment and, based on the results, determine if additional risk assessment is warranted.

Specific requirements for conducting the Level I Scoping ERA are described in Chapter 2 of the DERR ECO Guidance. Respondent shall address each of these requirements, including the check sheets, and include the results in the PER.

## II. Level II Screening ERA

If the approved Level I Scoping ERA identifies an important ecological resource that may potentially be exposed to contamination from the Site, Respondent shall include in the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents all tasks necessary to conduct a Level II Screening ERA. The purpose of the Level II Screening ERA is to use the data generated during the RI to refine the list of detected contaminants per medium, identify chemicals of potential ecological concern (COPECs) and non-chemical stressors, evaluate potentially impacted aquatic habitats for attainment of Water Quality Standards, complete the list of ecological receptors, and refine the CSM. The major tasks of the Level 2 Screening ERA consist of:

- A. Description of the Site:
  - 1. Describe the physical and chemical factors that impact site ecology (e.g., fate and transport of contaminants, bioavailability, etc.);
  - 2. Describe past or current practices, disturbances, or stressors that may have impact(ed) site ecology;
  - 3. Describe the areal extent of environmental assessment; and
  - 4. Describe current and projected land use in and around the Site as relevant to site ecology.
- B. Identify all impacted and potentially impacted exposure media (e.g., soil, sediment, surface water, and tissue).
- C. Identify/list important ecological resources and potentially impacted site-specific ecological receptors.
- D. Perform semi-quantitative surveys of flora and fauna that are or may be exposed to contamination, including but not limited to:
  - 1. Vegetative strata;
  - 2. Flora and fauna in all contaminated media;

3. Population parameters (e.g., density, frequency, age distribution); and
4. Community parameters (e.g., diversity, structure, stability).

Seasonal effects can impart a profound influence on the results of biological or ecological sampling. Respondent shall address seasonal requirements for sampling or testing of terrestrial flora and fauna in the RI/FS Work Plan and RI/FS project schedule.

- E. List chemicals of potential ecological concern (COPECs) (contaminants remaining following the screening process; full documentation of the screening process is required).
- F. Evaluate site-specific chemical concentrations and attainment Water Quality Standards. Both chemical-specific and biological criteria may apply to the water body. Respondent shall address seasonal requirements for biological sampling for the demonstration of full attainment of surface water criteria in the RI/FS Work Plan and RI/FS project schedule.
- G. Identify complete exposure pathways and refine the CSM.
- H. Define ecologically appropriate assessment endpoints, measurement endpoints, and endpoint selection criteria.
- I. Propose one of the following decisions based on the results of the Level II Screening ERA:
  1. Unacceptable actual or potential hazards identified (e.g., concentrations above screening levels and/or surface waters fail to meet Water Quality Standards), ERA completed;
  2. Continued evaluation (Level III Baseline ERA), or

3. No unacceptable actual or potential hazard identified (e.g., concentrations below screening levels and surface waters meet Water Quality Standards), ERA completed.

J. Summarize the completed risk assessment and the decision for additional risk assessment if warranted.

K. Specific requirements for conducting the Level II Screening ERA are further described in Chapter 3 of the DERR ECO Guidance. At the conclusion of the Level II ERA, Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Level II Screening ERA addressing each of the tasks in Chapter 3 of the DERR ECO Guidance. If the approved Level II Screening ERA Report concludes that performance of a Level III Baseline ERA is appropriate and additional site characterization is necessary to support the Level III ERA, Respondent shall submit for review and approval an addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents, including a revised RI/FS project schedule, describing in detail the tasks necessary to conduct the Level III Screening ERA. If the approved Level II ERA concludes the performance of a Level III Baseline ERA is appropriate but additional site characterization is not necessary to support the Level III Baseline ERA, Respondent shall submit a revised RI/FS project schedule for review and approval which includes the date for submittal of the Level III ERA Report.

### III. Level III Baseline ERA

If the approved Level II Screening ERA concludes that additional assessment is necessary, Respondent shall complete a Level III Baseline ERA which includes an exposure assessment, toxicity assessment, risk characterization, and uncertainty analysis. The major tasks of the Level III Baseline ERA consist of:

#### A. Exposure Assessment

The exposure assessment is a quantitative evaluation of the magnitude, frequency, duration, and route of exposure for ecological receptors to site-related ecological stressors identified in the screening ERA. The exposure assessment may consist of direct contact evaluations of more sessile organisms (e.g., plants, soil invertebrates), or food web models to estimate exposure of chemicals of potential ecological concern (COPECs) to more mobile ecological receptors (e.g., short-tailed shrew, meadow

vole, red fox etc.) via ingestion of soil, and/or food items. See chapter 4 of DERR ECO Guidance for additional details.

B. Toxicity Assessment

The toxicity assessment shall evaluate the appropriate toxicity data for all COPECs and develop an ecologically-based reference dose (ERfD) for each COPEC to be used in assessing possible harm to ecological receptors. Respondent shall perform a literature review of toxicity information for the toxicity of each COPEC, and apply the appropriate uncertainty factors or other approved methods (e.g., allometric scaling) to derive the corresponding ERfD values. See chapter 4 of DERR ECO Guidance.

C. Risk Characterization

Risk characterization estimates the potential hazards to endpoint species under a specific set of circumstances. Risk characterization involves a quantitative and, when necessary, qualitative estimation of potential harm and includes a narrative description of the harm.

1. For all quantitative assessments, hazard is assessed with the use of a quotient methodology. The environmental hazard quotient (EHQ) = (exposure point concentration) (EPC) (*i.e.*, dose or medium concentration as appropriate) / ERfD. An environmental hazard index (EHI) is derived by summing all appropriate EHQs per receptor ( $EHI = \sum EHQ$ ).
2. Hazard description is a qualitative narrative of the potential hazards presented by the Site and includes a discussion of any toxicological and ecological factors beyond those embodied in the quantitative estimates (e.g., COPECs without toxicity data). Hazards must be described for each COPEC-pathway-receptor combination and each assessment endpoint.

3. Uncertainty Analysis

The uncertainty analysis summarizes assumptions made for each element of the assessment, evaluates their validity, strengths and weaknesses of the analyses, and quantifies to the extent possible the uncertainties associated with each potential hazard. Both qualitative and quantitative assessment results shall be described and discussed. If additional data or more certainty in the assessment process or results is needed, Respondent shall conduct a field-baseline ERA (Level IV).

- D. Respondent shall propose one of the following decisions based on the results of the Level II Screening ERA:
1. Unacceptable actual or potential hazards identified (e.g., concentrations above screening levels and/or surface waters fail to meet Water Quality Standards), ERA completed;
  2. Continued evaluation (Level IV Field-Baseline ERA), or
  3. No unacceptable actual or potential hazard identified (e.g., concentrations below screening levels and surface waters meet Water Quality Standards), ERA completed.
- E. Summarize the completed risk assessment and the decision for additional risk assessment if warranted.

Specific requirements for conducting the Level III Baseline ERA are further described in Chapter 4 of the DERR ECO Guidance. At the conclusion of the Level III Baseline ERA, Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Level III Baseline ERA Report consistent with Chapter 4 of the DERR ECO Guidance. If the approved Level III Baseline ERA Report concludes that performance of a Level IV Field-Baseline ERA is appropriate, Respondent shall submit for review and approval an addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents, including a revised RI/FS project schedule, describing in detail all tasks necessary to conduct the Level IV Filed-Baseline ERA.

IV. Level IV Field-Baseline ERA

A. If the approved Level III Baseline ERA concludes that additional assessment is necessary, Respondent shall complete a Level IV Field-Baseline ERA consistent with the requirements of Chapter 5 of the DERR ECO Guidance. The objective of the Level IV Field-Baseline ERA is to quantify, based on field observations, potential adverse impacts to populations of representative species based on the hazard calculations developed in the Level III Baseline ERA. Respondent shall evaluate the information generated during the Level IV Field-Baseline ERA as additional lines of evidence to support a more robust weight-of-evidence conclusion regarding the potential adverse effects identified and quantified in the Level III Baseline ERA. Given the nature of field measurements, it should be noted that results from the Level IV Field-Baseline ERA are likely to be less than definitive in the identification of actual adverse ecological impact(s). Field-baseline assessments may consist of but are not limited to the following methods:

1. Tissue analysis/bioaccumulation studies;
2. Population/community assays (using appropriate reference sites);
3. Laboratory Toxicity tests (bioassays); and
4. In situ Toxicity Tests.

B. At the conclusion of the level IV Field-Baseline ERA, propose one of the following decisions based on the results:

1. Unacceptable hazards identified (e.g., concentrations above screening levels and/or surface waters fail to meet Water Quality Standards), ERA completed; or
2. No unacceptable hazard identified (e.g., concentrations below screening levels and surface waters meet Water Quality Standards); ERA completed.

C. Respondent shall summarize the completed risk assessment and the decision for additional risk assessment if warranted.

D. Specific requirements for conducting the Level IV Field-Baseline ERA are further described in Chapter 5 of the DERR ECO Guidance. At the conclusion of the Level IV Field-Baseline ERA, Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Level IV Field-Baseline ERA Report consistent with Chapter 5 of the DERR ECO Guidance.

V. Final ERA Report(s)

Respondent shall include all approved ERA Report(s) in the RI Report. Respondent shall ensure that the ERA Report for the highest level of ERA completed also contains all of the information necessary to evaluate the environmental impact of proposed remedial alternatives in the FS. Format for the RI Report is provided below, in Appendix J of this SOW.

## Appendix J

### I. Draft RI Report Format

#### A. RI Report Format

The RI Report shall organized as follows:

Executive Summary

1. Introduction
2. Purpose of the Report
3. Site Background
  - a. Site Description
  - b. Site History
  - c. Previous Investigations
  - d. Previous Emergency or Interim Actions
4. Report Organization

#### B. Study Area Investigation

1. Includes field activities associated with site characterization, including as appropriate physical and chemical monitoring of the following:
  - a. Surface Features (e.g.; topographic mapping, natural and manmade features)
  - b. Contaminant Source Investigations
  - c. Meteorological Investigations
  - d. Surface-water and Sediment Investigations
  - e. Geological Investigations
  - f. Soil and Vadose Zone Investigations
  - g. Ground water Investigations
  - h. Human Population Surveys
  - i. Ecological Investigations

2. Interim Technical Memoranda related to field investigations as revised by Ohio EPA comments, if any, shall be included in an appendix and summarized in this section.

C. Physical Characteristics of the Study Area

1. Includes the results of field activities to determine physical characteristics, including as appropriate the following:
  - a. Surface Features
  - b. Meteorology
  - c. Surface water hydrology
  - d. Geology
  - e. Soils
  - f. Hydrogeology
  - g. Demography and Land use
  - h. Ecology

D. Nature and Extent of Contamination

1. Presents the results of site characterization, both natural and chemical components and contaminants as appropriate in the following media:
  - a. Sources (e.g.; lagoons, sludges, tanks)
  - b. Soils and Vadose Zone
  - c. Ground Water
  - d. Surface Water and Sediments
  - e. Air
  - f. Subsurface Gases

E. Contaminant Fate and Transport

1. Potential Routes of Migration (e.g.; air, ground water, soils)
2. Contaminant Persistence
  - a. As applicable, describe estimated persistence in the study area environment and physical, chemical, and/or biological factors of importance for the media of interest.

3. Contaminant Migration
  - a. Discuss factors affecting contaminant migration for the media of interest (e.g.; sorption onto soils, solubility in water, movement of ground water, etc.).
  - b. Discuss modeling methods and results if applicable.
- F. Baseline Risk Assessments
  1. Human Health Risk Assessment
    - a. Exposure Assessment
    - b. Toxicity Assessment
    - c. Risk Characterization
  2. Final Ecological Risk Assessment
    - a. Level I Scoping ERA Report (included in PER)
    - b. Level II Screening ERA Report (if required)
    - c. Level III Baseline ERA Report (if required)
    - d. Level IV Field-Baseline ERA Report (if required)
- G. Site-Specific PRGs
  1. Site-specific PRGs for protection of human health
  2. Site-Specific PRGs for protection of ecological receptors
- H. Summary and Conclusions
  1. Summary
    - a. Nature and Extent of Contamination
    - b. Fate and transport
    - c. Risk Assessment
  2. Conclusions
    - a. Data Limitations and Recommendations for Future Work
    - b. Revised Remedial Action Objectives

- I. References
- J. Tables and Figures  
(At least one set of figures shall be no larger than 11" x 17")
- K. Appendices
  - 1. Log Books
  - 2. Soil Boring Logs
  - 3. Test Pit/Trenching Logs
  - 4. Soil Gas Probe Construction Diagrams
  - 5. Monitoring Well Construction Diagrams
  - 6. Sample Collection Logs
  - 7. Private and public Well Records
  - 8. Technical Memoranda on Field Activities
  - 9. Analytical Data and QA/QC Evaluation Results
  - 10. Human Health Risk Assessment Information
  - 11. Detailed Modeling Reports

## Appendix K

### Development and Screening of Remedial Alternatives

Respondent shall develop and screen remedial alternatives to arrive at an appropriate range of waste management options for detailed analysis. The range of alternatives shall include: a) options in which treatment is used to reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of wastes, but varying in the types of treatment, the amount treated, and the manner in which long-term residuals or untreated wastes are managed; b) options involving containment with little or no treatment; c) options involving both treatment and containment; and d) a no-action alternative. The following activities are to be performed by Respondent during the development and screening of remedial alternatives.

I. Technologies Screening (Section 4.2.2 through 4.2.5.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance)

A. Develop General Response Actions (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance 4.2.2)

Respondent shall refine the general response actions initially identified during project scoping. General response actions shall be identified for each medium of interest, describing containment, treatment, excavation, pumping, or other actions, singly or in combination, to satisfy the RAOs.

B. Identify Areas and/or Volumes of Media (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance 4.2.3)

Respondent shall identify areas or volumes of media to which general response actions may apply, taking into account requirements for protectiveness as identified in the RAOs, site conditions, and the nature and extent of contamination (Section 4.2.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance).

C. Identify, Screen, and Document Remedial Technologies (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance 4.2.4)

Respondent shall identify, screen and evaluate remedial technologies applicable to each general response action to eliminate those that cannot be technically implemented at the Site based on contaminant types and concentrations and/or site characteristics. Decisions made during the remedial technology screening shall be documented for inclusion in the Alternatives Array Document.

D. Evaluate and Document Process Options (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance 4.2.5)

Process options for each surviving technology type shall be identified and evaluated on the basis of effectiveness, implementability, and cost as those criteria are defined in Section 4.2.5 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance. Respondent shall select and retain, wherever possible, one or more representative process options for each implementable technology type. The evaluation should focus on effectiveness factors at this stage with less effort directed at the implementability and cost factors. Identifying and screening process options shall be documented for inclusion in the Alternatives Array Document described under 7.1.5 below. Respondent shall consider the NCP's preference for treatment over conventional containment or land disposal approaches.

II. Alternatives Array (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance 4.2.6)

Respondent shall submit for review and comment an AAD consisting of the following:

A. Assemble and Document Alternatives

Respondent shall assemble the selected representative technologies into remedial alternatives. Each alternative should comprehensively address the site-specific PRGs, RAOs, and ARARs. A range of remedial alternatives shall be developed which include combinations of treatment and containment technologies that will address the Site as a whole. Each alternative shall describe the locations of the Site affected; approximate volumes of media to be removed or treated; and any other information needed to adequately describe the alternative and document the logic behind each specific remedial alternative.

B. Conduct and Document the Screening Evaluation of Each Alternative

Respondent may perform, or Ohio EPA may require, that the assembled alternatives undergo a screening process based on short and long term aspects of effectiveness, implementability, and relative cost as those criteria are defined in Section 4.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance. Screening of the alternatives is generally performed when there are many feasible alternatives available for detailed analysis. The screening may be

conducted to assure that only those alternatives with the most favorable composite evaluation of all factors are retained for further analysis, while at the same time preserving an appropriate range of remedial options. Prior to conducting a screening of alternatives, Respondent shall further define the alternatives such that design considerations for technologies, remediation time frames, interactions among media, and site-wide protectiveness aspects of the alternatives are described (ability of the alternative to satisfy all of the RAOs). The purpose shall be to ensure that a basis exists for evaluating and comparing the alternatives before proceeding with the alternative screening step (Section 4.3.1 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance).

The screening shall preserve the range of treatment and containment alternatives that was initially developed. The range of remaining alternatives shall include options that use treatment technologies and permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable and minimize inter-media transfer of contaminants. Chemical and physical characterization of the Site shall also be considered by identifying relationships between source areas with ongoing releases and the media affected by the release. Where interactions among media appear to be important, the effect of source control actions on remediation levels or time frames for other media should be evaluated. Respondent shall prepare a summary of the assembled remedial alternatives and their related ARARs, and provide the reasoning employed in the alternative screening. The alternatives summary will be submitted with the Alternatives Array Document.

### III. Post-screening Considerations

- A. At the conclusion of the alternative screening phase, or if no screening is needed, Respondent shall determine if the amount and type of data existing for the Site will support the detailed analysis of the surviving remedial alternatives (Section 4.3.3.3 of the U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance). Specifically, Respondent shall consider whether any additional field investigation or treatability testing is necessary prior to proceeding with the detailed analysis of alternatives. If Respondent determines that additional site data or treatability testing is needed, Respondent shall document the determination, the specific types of data needed; and the time frame for obtaining the data in the AAD. If Ohio EPA concurs with Respondent's determinations, Respondent shall submit for review and approval an addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents and/or a

treatability study work plan for obtaining the additional data. Should Ohio EPA determine, based on review of the AAD, that additional data is needed to perform the detailed analysis of alternatives, Ohio EPA shall notify Respondent of the need for additional data, and Respondent shall submit for review and approval an addendum to the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents and/or a Treatability Study Work Plan to obtain the additional data.

## Appendix L

### Treatability Studies

#### Treatability Study Work Plan

If the need for treatability studies arises during the conduct of the RI/FS, Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Treatability Study Work Plan prepared in a manner consistent with U.S. EPA's *Guidance for Conducting Treatability Studies Under CERCLA*, EPA/540/R-92/071a, October, 1992 (Treatability Study Guidance). The Treatability Study Work Plan may incorporate by reference approved portions of the RI/FS Work Plan and supporting documents.

I. Data Quality Objectives (Section 3.2 of the Treatability Study Guidance)

Respondent shall establish DQOs for the treatability study and incorporate them into the Treatability Study Work Plan, the study design, the FSP, and the QAPP.

II. The Treatability Study Work Plan shall address the following elements:

A. Project Description

Respondent shall provide background information on the Site and summarize existing waste characterization data (matrix type and characteristics and the concentrations and distribution of the contaminants of concern). Respondent shall also specify the type of study to be conducted, *i.e.*, remedy screening; remedy selection testing; or remedy implementation.

B. Treatment Technology Description

Respondent shall briefly describe the treatment technology to be tested. Respondent may include a flow diagram showing the input stream, the output stream, and any side-streams generated as a result of the treatment process. Respondent shall also include a description of the pre- and post treatment requirements.

C. Test Objectives

Respondent shall define the objectives of the treatability study and the intended use of the data (*i.e.*, to determine potential feasibility; to develop

performance or cost data for remedy selection; or to provide detailed design, cost and performance data for implementation. Respondent shall include performance goals that are based on established cleanup criteria for the Site or, where such criteria do not exist, on contaminant levels that are protective of human health and the environment.

D. Experimental Design and Procedures

For any experimental design, Respondent shall identify the tier and the scale of the testing, the volume of waste material to be tested, the critical parameters, and the type and amount of replication. For the design of the experiment, Respondent must consider the DQOs and the costs associated with replication. Respondent shall describe the specific steps involved in the performance of the treatability study in the standard operating procedures (SOPs). The SOPs should be sufficiently detailed to allow the laboratory or field technician conducting the test to operate the equipment and to collect the samples.

E. Equipment and Materials

Respondent shall list the equipment, materials, and reagents that will be used in the performance of the treatability study, including quantity, volume/capacity, calibration or scale, equipment manufacturer and model numbers, and reagent grades and concentrations.

F. FSP and QAPP

Respondent shall describe how the existing FSP (Section 2.2 and Appendix B of this SOW) and QAPP (Section 2.3 and Appendix C of this SOW) shall be modified or amended to address field sampling, waste characterization, and sampling and analysis activities in support of the treatability study. Respondent shall describe the kinds of samples that will be collected and specify the level of QA/QC required.

G. Data Management

Respondent shall describe the procedures for recording observations and raw data in the field or laboratory. If proprietary processes are involved, Respondent shall describe how confidential information will be handled.

H. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Respondent shall describe the procedures for analyzing and interpreting data from the treatability study, including methods of data presentation and statistical evaluation.

I. Health and Safety Plan (HASP)

Respondent shall describe how the existing HASP (Section 2.4 and Appendix D of this SOW) shall be modified or amended to address the hazards associated with treatability testing.

J. Residuals Management

Respondent shall describe the management of treatability study residuals. Respondent should include estimates of both the types and quantities of residuals expected to be generated during treatability testing based on the treatment technology and the experimental design. Respondent shall also outline how treatability study residuals will be analyzed to determine if they are hazardous wastes and discuss how such wastes will be managed.

K. Reports

Respondent shall describe the preparation of interim and final reports documenting the results of the treatability study. For treatability studies involving more than one tier of testing, Respondent shall provide interim reports, which provide a means of determining whether to proceed to the next tier. Respondent shall also describe how the existing monthly progress reports (Section 11 of this SOW) shall be modified or amended to include reporting of treatability study progress.

L. Schedule

Respondent shall include a comprehensive treatability study project schedule indicating critical path dependencies and including dates for the initiation, duration, and completion of each treatability study task. The schedule shall also include field work and development and submittal of required deliverables. To the extent that the performance of the treatability study will impact the RI/FS project schedule (Section 2 of this SOW), Respondent shall submit a revised RI/FS project schedule for review and approval concurrent with the Treatability Study Work Plan.

III. Treatability Study Report Format (Section 3.12 of the Treatability Study Guidance)

Upon completion of the treatability study(ies), Respondent shall submit for review and approval a Treatability Study Report. The report shall be organized as follows:

- A. Introduction
  - 1. Site Description
    - a. Site Name and Location
    - b. History of Operations
    - c. Prior Removal and Remediation Activities
  - 2. Waste Stream Description
    - a. Waste Matrices
    - b. Pollutants/Chemicals
  - 3. Treatment Technology Description
    - a. Treatment Process and Scale
    - b. Operating Features
    - c. Treatment Residuals Management
  - 4. Previous Treatability Studies at the Site
- B. Conclusions and Recommendations
  - 1. Conclusions
  - 2. Recommendations
- C. Treatability Study Approach
  - 1. Test Objectives and Rationale
  - 2. Experimental Design and Procedures
  - 3. Equipment and Materials
  - 4. Sampling and Analysis

- a. Waste stream
- b. Treatment Process
- 5. Data Management
- 6. Deviations from the Work Plan
- D. Results and Discussion
  - 1. Data Analysis and Interpretation
    - a. Analysis of Waste Stream Characteristics
    - b. Analysis of Treatability Study Data
    - c. Comparison to Test Objectives
  - 2. Quality Assurance/Quality Control
  - 3. Costs/Schedule for Performing the Treatability Study
  - 4. Key contacts

References

Appendices

- A. Data Summaries
- B. Standard Operating Procedures

## Appendix M

### Feasibility Study (FS) Report

The FS Report consists of the revised AAD and the detailed analysis of the remedial alternatives surviving screening in the revised AAD. The detailed analysis of remedial alternatives shall consist of the following elements:

I. Detailed Description of Each Alternative (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Sections 6.2.1 to 6.2.4)

The detailed narrative description of each alternative shall include at a minimum:

- A. Description of each technology component;
- B. Refinement of the volumes and/or areas of contaminated media to be addressed;
- C. Special engineering considerations required to implement the alternative, (e.g., pilot treatment facility or additional studies needed to proceed with final remedial design);
- D. Operation, maintenance and monitoring requirements;
- E. Temporary storage requirements;
- F. Health and safety requirements related to implementation and operation and maintenance of the alternative, including on- and off-site (site worker and general public) health and safety considerations;
- G. An analysis of how the alternative could be phased into individual operations and a discussion of how these operations could best be implemented to produce significant environmental improvement;
- H. A review of any off-site treatment or disposal facilities and transportation needs to ensure compliance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, TSCA, and state requirements; and
- I. An analysis of the projected performance and expected results of the alternative with emphasis on potential for further future release of hazardous substances.

II. Environmental Impact of alternatives

Respondent shall conduct an assessment of the environmental impact of each alternative, including the impacts of residual contamination and the impact of physical/habitat alterations (e.g., loss of wetlands or riparian habitat due to filling or grading, destruction of benthic substrate, nesting areas). The assessment shall include a discussion of methods for mitigating identified environmental impacts. The environmental impact of each alternative shall then be assessed relative to the other alternatives under consideration.

III. Apply the Eight Criteria and Document the Individual Alternative Analysis

Respondent shall apply the eight evaluation criteria described below to each individual alternative. Respondent shall document the decision making process and the results of the individual analysis of alternatives.

A. Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.

Respondent shall assess the alternatives to determine if they can adequately protect human health and the environment from unacceptable risks posed by hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants present at the Site by eliminating, reducing or controlling exposures to levels established during development of remediation goals. This is a threshold requirement and the primary objective of the remediation program.

B. Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements.

Respondent shall assess the alternatives to determine if they attain applicable or relevant and appropriate standards, criteria and requirements of federal, state, and local laws. This is also a threshold requirement.

C. Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence.

Respondent shall assess the alternatives for the long-term effectiveness and permanence they afford, along with the degree of certainty that the alternative will prove successful. Factors that shall be considered include the following:

1. Nature and magnitude of residual risk; potential for exposure of human and environmental receptors; concentrations of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining after implementing the remedial alternative, considering the persistence,

toxicity, mobility and propensity to bio-accumulate such hazardous substances and their constituents (see RAGS Part C);

2. The type, degree and adequacy of long-term management required for untreated substances and treatment residuals, including engineering controls (such as containment technologies), institutional controls, monitoring and operation and maintenance;
3. Long-term reliability of the engineering and institutional controls, including uncertainties associated with land disposal of untreated hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, and treatment residuals, and;
4. Potential need for replacement of the remedy, and the continuing need for repairs to maintain the performance of the remedy.

D. Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility or Volume Through Treatment

Respondent shall assess the degree to which alternatives employ treatment that reduces toxicity, mobility or volume of contaminants. Respondent shall identify alternatives which, at a minimum, address the principal threats posed by the Site through treatment. Factors that shall be considered include the following:

1. The treatment or recycling processes the alternatives employ and materials they will treat;
2. The amount of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants that will be destroyed, treated, or recycled;
3. The degree of expected reduction in toxicity, mobility, or volume of the waste due to treatment or recycling and the specifications of which reduction(s) are occurring;
4. The degree to which the treatment is irreversible;
5. The type and quantity of residuals that will remain following treatment, considering the persistence, toxicity, mobility and propensity to bio-accumulate;
6. The degree to which treatment will reduce the inherent hazards posed by the principal threats at the Site; and

7. The degree to which the treatment processes employed reduce the transfer of contaminants between environmental media.

E. Short-term Effectiveness

Respondent shall assess the short-term impacts of the alternatives during the construction and implementation phase, and until the objectives of the remedial action have been met. Factors that shall be considered include the following:

1. Short-term risks that may be posed to the community during construction and implementation of an alternative and until the RAOs have been met;
2. Potential impacts on workers during remedial action and with the objectives of remedial action have been met, the effectiveness and reliability of protective measures;
3. Potential environmental impacts that may result from the remedial action and the effectiveness and reliability of mitigative measures during implementation and until the objectives of the remedial action have been met; and
4. Time until response action objectives are achieved.

F. Implementability.

Respondent shall assess the technical and administrative feasibility of implementing the alternatives. Factors that shall be considered include the following:

1. Technical Feasibility:
  - a. Degree of difficulty or uncertainty associated with construction and operation of the alternative;
  - b. Expected operational reliability of the alternative;
  - c. Ease of undertaking additional remedial action(s); and
  - d. Ability to monitor the effectiveness of the remedy.

2. Administrative Feasibility:

- a. Activities needed to coordinate implementation of the remedy with state, local, and federal agencies (e.g., obtaining necessary approvals and permits; right-of-way for construction) and the feasibility of obtaining needed permits; and
- b. Likelihood of property owner to enter into an environmental covenant.

3. Feasibility of Obtaining Services and Materials:

- a. Capacity and location of adequate treatment, storage, and disposal services;
- b. Availability of necessary equipment and specialists and provisions to ensure any necessary additional resources;
- c. Availability of services and materials; and
- d. Availability of prospective technologies

G. Cost

The types of costs that shall be assessed include the following:

1. Direct and indirect capital costs, including contingency and engineering fees;
2. Annual operation and maintenance costs; and
3. Net present value of capital and O&M costs.

H. Community Acceptance.

This criteria is addressed by Ohio EPA throughout the conduct of the RI/FS and during the public comment period for the Preferred Plan by determining which components of the alternatives local government and other interested persons in the community support, have reservations about, or oppose. The assessment of community acceptance of the

preferred remedy is conducted exclusively by Ohio EPA and is not part of this SOW or the Orders.

IV. Compare Alternatives Against Each Other and Document the Comparison of Alternatives (U.S. EPA RI/FS Guidance Sections 6.2.5 and 6.2.6)

At the conclusion of the individual analysis of alternatives, Respondent shall perform a comparative analysis between the alternatives. That is, each alternative will be compared against the others using the eight evaluation criteria as a basis of comparison. Respondent shall document the decision making process and the results of the comparative analysis of alternatives for inclusion in the FS.

## Appendix N

### RI/FS Submittals

- 1) Pre-investigation Evaluation Report (PER)
- 1) RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents
  - Field Sampling Plan (FSP)
  - Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)
  - Health and Safety Plan (HASP)
- 3) Human Health Risk Assessment Assumptions Document (RAAD)
- 4) ERA Report(s) (as may be required)
  - Level I ERA Report
  - Level II ERA Report
  - Level III ERA Report
  - Level IV ERA Report
- 5) Remedial Investigation Report (RI Report)
- 6) Refined Remedial Action Objectives ITM
- 7) Alternatives Array Document (AAD)
- 8) Feasibility Study Report (FS Report)
- 9) Interim Technical Memoranda (as may be required)
- 10) Treatability Study Work Plan (as may be required)
- 11) Interim Action Work Plan (Addendum to RI/FS Work Plan; as may be required)
- 12) Other addendum(s) to the RI/FS Work Plan and Supporting Documents (as may be required)
- 13) Monthly Progress Reports

## Appendix O

### Acronym List

AAD	Alternatives Array Document
AOC	Administrative Order on Consent
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
CDI	Chronic Daily Intake
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COPEC	Chemical of Potential Ecological Concern
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DQOs	Data Quality Objectives
EPC	Exposure Point Concentration
ERA	Ecological Risk Assessment
ERfD	Ecological Reference Dose
EHI	Ecological Hazard Index
EHQ	Ecological Hazard Quotient
FS	Feasibility Study
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
HHRA	Human Health Risk Assessment

Contents

HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HI	Hazard Index
HQ	Hazard Quotient
ITM	Interim Technical Memoranda
NCP	National Contingency Plan, Final Rule (40 CFR Part 300)
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
Ohio EPA	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
Orders	Director's Final Findings and Orders
PDF	Portable Document Format
PER	Preinvestigation Evaluation Report
PRGs	Preliminary Remediation Goals
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RAAD	Risk Assessment Assumptions Document
RAGS	Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund
RAOs	Remedial Action Objectives
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RfC	Reference Concentration
RfD	Reference Dose
RI	Remedial Investigation

Contents

RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SF	Slope Factor
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SOW	Statement of Work
TBC	To Be Considered criteria
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
U.S. ACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

ATTACHMENT B

LIST OF RELEVANT GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

**Statement of Purpose and Use of This Guidance Document List:**

The purpose of this list of Ohio EPA and U.S. EPA policies, directives and guidance documents is to provide a reference of the documents which provide essential direction and guidance for conducting investigations, evaluating alternative remedial actions, and designing and implementing selected remedial actions at sites for which the Division of Emergency and Remedial Response has authority over such activities. Certain sites may have contaminants or conditions which are not fully addressed by the documents in this list. There is an evolving body of policy directives, guidance and research documentation which should be utilized, as necessary, to address those conditions and contaminants not encompassed by the documents in this list. For sites where activities are conducted in response to an administrative or judicial order, this list would be an attachment to the order and would govern the work conducted pursuant to it. When entering into or issuing an order for a particular site, Ohio EPA reserves the right to modify this list to fully address the site conditions.

## References by Topic

---

Analytical Methods

ARARs

Attainment of Cleanup Goals

Background Guidance

Data Quality Objectives

Data Usability in Risk Assessment

Ecological Risk Assessment

Feasibility Studies

Developing Cost Estimates

Ground Water Investigation and  
Remediation

Health and Safety Plan

Human Health Risk Assessment

Human Health Toxicity Criteria

Landfills

Land Use and Reuse

Lead

Monitored Natural Attenuation

Quality Assurance

Remedy Performance Evaluation

RI/FS and General Program Guidance

Sampling and Analysis

Screening Values

Vapor Intrusion

Stream and Wetland Restoration

Treatability Studies

Wetland Delineation and Restoration

Contents

**Analytical Methods**

*Compendium of Methods for Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air*, second edition, Compendium Method TO-14, EPA/625/R-96/010b, U.S. EPA, January 1999  
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/airtox/tocomp99.pdf>

U.S. EPA SW-846 On-line <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/main.htm>

Contents

**ARARs**

*Use of Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) in the Ohio EPA Remedial Response Program*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-034.pdf>

*Ohio EPA/DERR ARARs Table (Adobe Acrobat file)*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-034LIST.pdf>

Ohio EPA Rules available at: <http://www.epa.state.oh.us/rules.html>

Contents

**Attainment of Cleanup Goals**

*Methods for Evaluating the Attainment of Cleanup Standards, Volume 1: Soils and Solid Media*, U.S. EPA, EPA 230/02-89-042, February 1989  
<http://www.epa.gov/tio/download/stats/vol1soils.pdf>

*Methods for Evaluating the Attainment of Cleanup Standards, Volume 2: Ground Water*, EPA 230-R-92-014, July 1992  
<http://www.epa.gov/tio/download/stats/vol2gw.pdf>

*Methods for Evaluating the Attainment of Cleanup Standards, Volume 3: Reference-Based Standards for Soils and Solid Media*, EPA 230-R-94-004, December 1992  
<http://www.epa.gov/tio/download/stats/vol3-refbased.pdf>

Contents

**Background Guidance**

*Methodology for Evaluating Site-specific Background Concentrations of Chemicals*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/Methodology.pdf>

*Background Calculation Methodology*, Ohio EPA TDC  
[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-039\\_public.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-039_public.pdf)

*Role of Background in the CERCLA Cleanup Program*, OSWER 9285.6-07P,  
April 2002  
[http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/bkgpol\\_jan01.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/bkgpol_jan01.pdf)

*Guidance for Comparing Background and Chemical Concentrations in Soil for CERCLA Sites*, EPA 540-R-01-003 OSWER 9285.7-41, September 2002  
<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/background.pdf>

## **Data Quality Objectives (DQOs)**

[Contents](#)

*Data Quality Objectives Process Summary* DERR-00-DI-32 Ohio EPA, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response (DERR), January 2002  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/DI-032.pdf>

*Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process*, EPA QA/G-4, EPA/240/B-06/001, February 2006  
<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q4-final.pdf>

*Data Quality Objectives Decision Error Feasibility Trials Software (DEFT) - USER'S GUIDE* EPA QA/G-4D, EPA/240/B-01/007, September 2001  
<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q4d-final.pdf>

## **Data Usability in Risk Assessment**

[Contents](#)

*Guidance for Data Usability in Risk Assessment (Part A)* U.S. EPA, OSWER 9285.7-09A, April 1992  
<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/datause/parta.htm>

*Guidance for Data Usability in Risk Assessment (Part B)* U.S. EPA, OSWER 9285.7-09B, May 1992  
<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/datause/partb.htm>

## **Ecological Risk Assessment**

[Contents](#)

*Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance Document*, Ohio EPA/DERR, February 2003, <http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-031.pdf>

*Guidelines for Ecological Risk Assessment*, EPA/630/R-95/002F, April 1998  
[http://oaspub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p\\_download\\_id=36512](http://oaspub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=36512)

*Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Process for Designing and Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments*, EPA/540/R-97/006, September 26, 1997  
<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ecorisk/ecorisk.htm>

*Ecological Soil Screening Levels*, U.S. EPA, available at:  
<http://www.epa.gov/ecotox/ecossl/>

*Guidance for Developing Ecological Screening Levels*, U.S. EPA, OSWER  
9285.7-55, November, 2003  
<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ecorisk/pdf/ecossl.pdf>

## Feasibility Studies

### Developing Cost Estimates

Contents

*A Guide to Developing and Documenting Cost Estimates During the Feasibility Study*, U.S. EPA and ACE, EPA 540-R-00-002, July, 2000  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/finaldoc.pdf>

*Appendix A, Internet Resources*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/app-a.pdf>

*Appendix B, Cost Adjustment Factors*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/app-b.pdf>

*Appendix C, Example Cost Templates*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/app-c.pdf>

*Appendix D, Glossary*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/app-d.pdf>

### Ground Water Investigation and Remediation

Contents

*Presumptive Response Strategy and Ex-Situ Treatment Technologies for Contaminated Ground Water at CERCLA Sites*, U.S. EPA, EPA 540/R-96/023, OSWER 9283.1-12, October, 1996, final guidance  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/gwguide/gwfinal.pdf>

*Technical Guidance Manual for Hydrogeologic Investigations and Ground Water Monitoring Programs*, Ohio EPA/DDAGW, Final, February 1995 (as updated)  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/ddaqw/tgmweb.htm>

*Guidance for Evaluating the Technical Impracticability of Ground Water Restoration*, U.S. EPA, OSWER Directive 9234.2-25  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/gwdocs/techimp.htm>

*Ground Water Sampling and Monitoring Using Direct Push Technologies*, U.S. EPA, OSWER 9200.1-51, EPA 540/R-04/005, August, 2005  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/dfa/download/directpush.pdf>

## Health and Safety Plan

Contents

*NIOSH Occupational Safety and Health Guidance Manual for Hazardous Waste Site Activities* (1985) <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/pdfs/85-115.pdf>

*NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards* (DHHS-NIOSH Publication No. 2005-149, November 2005) <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/>

*OSHA Regulations particularly in 29 CFR 1910 and 1926*  
[http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/searchresults.category?p\\_text=29%20CFR&p\\_title=&p\\_status=CURRENT](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/searchresults.category?p_text=29%20CFR&p_title=&p_status=CURRENT)

*OSHA Regulation 29 CFR 1910.120, Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response;*  
[http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=STANDARDS&p\\_id=9765](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=STANDARDS&p_id=9765)

*OSHA Regulation 29 CFR 1910.134, Respiratory Protection Standard;*  
[http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=STANDARDS&p\\_id=12716](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=STANDARDS&p_id=12716)

*U.S. EPA Standard Operating Safety Guides* (Publication 9285.1-03, PB92-963414, June 1992)  
[http://www.ert.org/products/sosg\\_1-3.pdf](http://www.ert.org/products/sosg_1-3.pdf)  
[http://www.ert.org/products/sosg\\_4-7.pdf](http://www.ert.org/products/sosg_4-7.pdf)  
[http://www.ert.org/products/sosg\\_8-11.pdf](http://www.ert.org/products/sosg_8-11.pdf);

*Section 111(c)(6) of CERCLA*  
[http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=browse\\_usc&docid=Cite:+42USC9611](http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=browse_usc&docid=Cite:+42USC9611)

## Human Health Risk Assessment

Contents

*Human Health Cumulative Carcinogenic Risk and Non-carcinogenic Hazard Goals for DERR Remedial Response and Office of Federal Facility Oversight*, Ohio EPA/DERR, April 28, 2004

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/riskgoal.pdf>

*Application of Bioavailability in the Assessment of Human Health Hazards and Cancer Risk*, Ohio EPA/DERR, March 26, 2002

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/Bio.pdf>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS) Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A)*, EPA/540/1-89/002, December 1989

<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragasa/index.htm>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals)* EPA/540/R-92/003, December 1991

<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragseb/index.htm>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual, (Part C, Risk Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives)* 9285.7-01C, October 1991

<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragsc/index.htm>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part D, Standardized Planning, Reporting and Review of Superfund Risk Assessments) Final* December 2001

<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragsd/index.htm>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment) Interim*

<http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragse/index.htm>

*Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I - Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: "Standard Default Exposure Factors,"*

OSWER Directive 9285.6-03, March 1991, interim final

[http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/oswer\\_directive\\_9285\\_6-03.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/oswer_directive_9285_6-03.pdf)

*Exposure Factors Handbook (Final)*, EPA/600/P-95/002Fa-c, August 1997,

<http://www.epa.gov/ncea/pdfs/efh/front.pdf>

## Human Health Toxicity Criteria

[Contents](#)

*Assessing Compounds without Formal Toxicity Values*

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/notaxtdc.pdf>

U.S. EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Data Base:  
<http://www.epa.gov/iris/>

## Landfills

[Contents](#)

*Conducting Remedial Investigations/Feasibility Studies for CERCLA Municipal Landfill Sites*, OSWER Directive 9355.3-11, EPA/540/P-91/001, February 1991 (# 540P91001)  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/540p-91001-s.pdf>

*Presumptive Remedy for CERCLA Municipal Landfill Sites*, Directive No. 9355.0-49FS, September 1993  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/presump/clms.htm>

*Presumptive Remedies: CERCLA Landfill Caps RI/FS Data Collection Guide*, EPA/540/F-95/009, August 1995  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/presump/finalpdf/caps.pdf>

## Land Use and Reuse

[Contents](#)

*Land Use in the CERCLA Remedy Selection Process*, U.S. EPA, OSWER 9355.7-04, May 25, 1995  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/landuse.pdf>

*Reuse Assessments: A Tool To Implement The Superfund Land Use Directive*, U.S. EPA, OSWER 9355.7-06P, June 4, 2001  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/reusefinal.pdf>

## Lead

[Contents](#)

*Superfund Lead-Contaminated Residential Sites Handbook*, August 2003, OSWER 9285.7-50  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/lead/products/handbook.pdf>

*Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Lead in Children, Windows®* version (IEUBKwin v1.0 build 263) (December, 2005) 32-bit version, available at:  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/lead/products.htm>

## Monitored Natural Attenuation

[Contents](#)

*Remediation Using Monitored Natural Attenuation*, Ohio EPA/DERR, January 17, 2001  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-032.pdf>

*Technical Protocol for Evaluating Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents In Ground Water*, EPA/600/R-98/128, September 1998

<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/gwdocs/protocol.htm>

*Use of Monitored Natural Attenuation at Superfund, RCRA Corrective Action, and Underground Storage Tanks Sites*, U.S. EPA, OSWER Directive 9200.4-17P, April 21, 1999

<http://www.epa.gov/OUST/directiv/d9200417.pdf>

*Performance Monitoring of MNA Remedies for VOCs in Ground Water*, U.S. EPA, EPA/600/R-04/027, April 2004

<http://www.epa.gov/ada/download/reports/600R04027/600R04027.pdf>

*Calculation and Use of First-Order Rate Constants for Monitored Natural Attenuation Studies*, U.S. EPA, EPA/540/S-02/500, November 2002

<http://www.epa.gov/ada/download/issue/540S02500.pdf>

## Quality Assurance

## Contents

*Laboratory and Field Data Screening for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, Ohio EPA, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response, Policy No. DERR-DI-00-034, August 19, 2005

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/DI-034.pdf>

*Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, Ohio EPA, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response, Policy No. DERR-00-RR-008, March 1990 (September 1, 1998, Final)

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-008.pdf>

*Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans*, U.S. EPA, QA-G-5, EPA/240/R-02-009, December 2002

<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q5-final.pdf>

*Guidance for Quality Assurance Plans for Modeling*, U.S. EPA, EPA QA/G-5M, EPA/240-R02/007, December, 2002

<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q5m-final.pdf>

*Data Quality Assessment: A Reviewer's Guide*, (QA/G-9R), U.S. EPA, EPA/240/B-06/002, February, 2006

<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q9r-final.pdf>

*Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* U.S. EPA, EPA/240/R-02/004, November 2002

<http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/q8-final.pdf>

*Guidance for Preparing Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) EPA QA/G-6, EPA/240/B-01/004, March 2001* <http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/g6-final.pdf>

## **Remedy Performance Evaluation**

[Contents](#)

*Performance Monitoring of MNA Remedies for VOCs in Ground Water, U.S. EPA, EPA/600/R-04/027 April 2004*  
<http://www.epa.gov/ada/download/reports/600R04027/600R04027.pdf>

## **RI/FS and General Program Guidance**

[Contents](#)

*Use of Risk-Based Numbers in the Remedial Response Process Overview, Ohio EPA DERR, DERR-00-RR-038, REVISED: June 28, 2005*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-038.pdf>

*Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA, Interim Final, OSWER 9355.3-01, EPA/540/G-89/004, October 1988*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/540g-89004-s.pdf>

*A Guide to Principle and Low-level Threat Wastes, U.S. EPA, OSWER 9380.3-06FS; November, 1991*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/gwdocs/threat.pdf>

*Investigation Derived-Waste Guidance*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/RR-011.pdf>

*Wastewater Discharges Resulting from Clean-Up of Response Action Sites Contaminated with Volatile Organic Compounds, Ohio EPA Policy No. DSW-DERR 0100.027, Final, September 22, 1994*  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/100-27.pdf>

*Technical Guidance Document: Construction Quality Assurance and Quality Control for Waste Containment Facilities, EPA/600/R-93/182, September 1993 (# 600R93182)*  
<http://nepis.epa.gov/pubtitleORD.htm> (Search 600R93182)

## **Remedial Action Guidance List**

[Contents](#)

*Guidance for Remedial Actions for Contaminated Ground Water at Superfund Sites, OSWER Directive 9283.1-2, EPA/540/G-88/003, December 1988, interim final*  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/540g-88003-s.pdf>

Guidance on Remedial Actions for Superfund Sites with PCB Contamination, OSWER Directive 9355.4-01, EPA/540/G-90/007, August 1990  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/540g-90007-s.pdf>

Guide for Decontaminating Buildings, Structures, and Equipment at Superfund Sites, EPA/600/2-85/028, March 1985 (Author: M.P. Esposito et al., hard copy/microfish available through NTIS/PB85-201234 from: <http://www.ntis.gov/>)

Handbook - Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures for Hazardous Waste Incineration, EPA/625/6-89/023, January 1990 (# 625689023), Available at: <http://nepis.epa.gov/pubindex.htm>

Handbook - Guidance on Setting Permit Conditions and Reporting Trial Burn Results - Volume II of the Hazardous Waste Incineration Guidance Series, EPA/625/6-89/019, January 1989 (# 625689019), <http://www.epa.gov/ORD/NRMRL/pubs/625689019/625689019.htm>

Seminar Publication - Requirements for Hazardous Waste Landfill Design, Construction, and Closure, EPA/625/4-89/022, August 1989 (# 625489022), Available at: <http://nepis.epa.gov/pubindex.htm>

Technical Guidance Document: Final Covers on Hazardous Waste Landfills and Surface Impoundments, EPA/530-SW-89-047, July 1989 (# 530SW89047), Available at: <http://nepis.epa.gov/pubindex.htm>

U.S. EPA Office of Emergency & Remedial Response, Comprehensive Five-Year Review Guidance, OSWER No. 9355.7-03B-P, EPA 540-R-01-007, June 2001, <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/5year/>

## **Sampling and Analysis**

[Contents](#)

*ProUCL Version 3.0 Users Guide*, EPA 600-R04-079, April 2004  
<http://www.epa.gov/esd/tscf/images/proucl3apr04.pdf>

*Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling and Analysis Plans*, U.S. ACE, EM 200-1-3, February, 2001  
<http://www.usace.army.mil/usace-docs/eng-manuals/em200-1-3/toc.htm>

## **Screening Values**

[Contents](#)

*Use of U.S. EPA Region 9 PRGs as Screening Values in Human Health Risk Assessments*, Ohio EPA DERR, April 2004  
<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/screening.pdf>

*Clarification of the Role of ARARs in Establishing Preliminary Remedial Goals under CERCLA, OSWER 9200.4-23, August 22, 1997*

<http://www.epa.gov/oerrpage/superfund/resources/radiation/pdf/aras.pdf>

## **Vapor Intrusion**

[Contents](#)

*Methodology for Vapor Intrusion Assessment, Ohio EPA\DERR*

<http://www.epa.state.oh.us/derr/rules/vapor.pdf>

*Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance), EPA530-F-02-052, November 2002*

<http://www.epa.gov/correctiveaction/eis/vapor/complete.pdf>

## **Stream and Wetland Restoration**

[Contents](#)

*National Guidance Water Quality Standards for Wetlands, U.S. EPA, July 1990*

<http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/regs/quality.html>

*Wetland Restoration, Fact Sheet (4502T), EPA/843-F-01-022e, U.S. EPA, September 2001*

[http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/pdf/restoration\\_pr.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/pdf/restoration_pr.pdf)

*National Guidance Water Quality Standards for Wetlands, U.S. EPA, July 1990.*

<http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/regs/quality.html>

*Amphibian Index of Biotic Integrity (AmphIBI) for Wetlands, Ohio EPA, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Final, Volume 7, 2004.*

[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Integrated\\_Wetland\\_Assessment\\_Program\\_Part7\\_AmphIBI\\_formatted.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Integrated_Wetland_Assessment_Program_Part7_AmphIBI_formatted.pdf)

*Vegetation Index of Biotic Integrity (VIBI) and Tiered Aquatic Life Uses (TALUs) for Ohio Wetlands, Ohio EPA, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Volume 4, 2004.* [http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART4\\_VIBI\\_OH\\_WTLDs.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART4_VIBI_OH_WTLDs.pdf)

## **Treatability Studies**

[Contents](#)

*Guide for Conducting Treatability Studies Under CERCLA, EPA/540/R-92/071a, October, 1992*

<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/540r-92071a-s.pdf>

*Guidance On Specific Types of Treatability Studies*, U.S. EPA  
<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/action/guidance/remedy/rifs/treat.htm>

## Wetland Delineation and Restoration

Contents

Fennessy, M. Siobhan, John J. Mack, Abby Rokosch, Martin Knapp, and Mick Micacchion. 2004. *Integrated Wetland Assessment Program. Part 5: Biogeochemical and Hydrological Investigations of Natural and Mitigation Wetlands*. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-5. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.

[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Part5\\_Mitigation\\_Study.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Part5_Mitigation_Study.pdf)

Micacchion, Mick. 2004. *Integrated Wetland Assessment Program. Part 7: Amphibian Index of Biotic Integrity (AmphIBI) for Ohio Wetlands*. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-7. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.

[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Integrated\\_Wetland\\_Assessment\\_Program\\_Part7\\_AmphIBI\\_formatted.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/Integrated_Wetland_Assessment_Program_Part7_AmphIBI_formatted.pdf)

Mack, John J. 2004. *Integrated Wetland Assessment Program. Part 4: Vegetation Index of Biotic Integrity (VIBI) and Tiered Aquatic Life Uses (TALUs) for Ohio wetlands*. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-4. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.

[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART4\\_VIBI\\_OH\\_WTLDs.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART4_VIBI_OH_WTLDs.pdf)

Mack, John J., M. Siobhan Fennessy, Mick Micacchion and Deni Porej. 2004. *Standardized Monitoring Protocols, Data Analysis and Reporting Requirements for Mitigation Wetlands in Ohio, v. 1.0*. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-6. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water, Wetland Ecology Group, Columbus, Ohio.

[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART6\\_Std\\_Mitigation\\_Protocols.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/PART6_Std_Mitigation_Protocols.pdf)

Wetlands Delineation Manual, Corps. of Engineers,  
1987 <http://www.wetlands.com/regis/tlpge02e.htm>

Mack, John J. 2004. *Integrated Wetland Assessment Program. Part 9: Field Manual for the Vegetation Index of Biotic Integrity for Wetlands v. 1.3*. Ohio EPA Technical Report WET/2004-9. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Wetland Ecology Group, Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.  
[http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/standardized veg field manual v1 3rev01jul04.pdf](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/wetlands/standardized_veg_field_manual_v1_3rev01jul04.pdf)

### **Treatment Wetland Construction**

U.S. EPA Guiding Principles for Constructed Treatment Wetlands: Providing for Water Quality and Wildlife Habitat, EPA 843-B-00-003, October 2000.  
<http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/constructed/>

U.S. EPA Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment and Wildlife Habitat, EPA 832-R-93-005, September 1993.  
<http://www.epa.gov/owow/wetlands/pdf/ConstructedWetlands-Complete.pdf>

Robert H. Kadlec and Robert L. Knight, *Treatment Wetlands*, Lewis Publishers, 1996.  
Hardcover  
A

Disclaimer: Please note that the links to web sites are not maintained.

ATTACHMENT C

DEED NOTICE TEMPLATE

THIS DEED NOTICE ON REAL PROPERTY ("Notice") is made on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_, by [insert the name of the titled Property Owner] whose address is \_\_\_\_\_ ("Declarant").

WITNESSETH:

**WHEREAS**, Declarant is the owner of real property more particularly described on the attached Exhibit A [requires a legal description] and identified as [insert location of property including parcel numbers, street address, County of \_\_\_\_\_] State of Ohio ("the Property"); and

**WHEREAS**, the Property is subject to Director's Final Findings and Orders (Orders) for [Choose one: Remedial Design and Remedial Action ("RD/RA"), or Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS"), or Interim Action ("IA")] issued to [Identify the Respondent] by Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) on \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of the Orders may be obtained by contacting Ohio EPA's Division of Emergency and Remedial Response at the [Insert name of appropriate District office including address and telephone number]; and

**WHEREAS**, the purpose of the Orders is [Insert details from objectives in the Orders]. [If RD/RA Deed Notice, insert: The final remedy is set forth in the Decision Document dated \_\_\_\_\_. The final remedy includes the following elements: (Identify the primary elements of the remedy)] Please contact the [Insert the name of Respondent/property owner] for additional information. [If applicable, may insert: "WHEREAS, at the time this notice was recorded, the monitoring, treatment and containment devices/systems depicted on Exhibit B (attach map) are present and must not be adversely affected."]

For as long as the Property is subject to the Orders as described herein, each instrument hereafter conveying any interest in the Property, or any portion of the Property shall contain a recital acknowledging this Deed Notice and providing the recording location of this Deed Notice upon such conveyance substantially in the following form: "The real property described herein is subject to Ohio EPA Director's Final Findings and Orders issued on \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_ as stated in the Deed Notice recorded in the \_\_\_\_\_ County Deed Records on \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_ at [insert location of the Deed Notice (e.g., "Volume \_\_, Page \_\_" or "Document Number \_\_\_\_")]" as if the same were fully set forth herein."

[Name of Property Owner]



Attachment D – Example of Land Use Self-Reporting Letter

[Date]

[Name of Ohio EPA Site Coordinator]  
Hilltop/Toth Landfill Site Coordinator  
Ohio EPA Northeast District Office  
2110 East Aurora Road  
Twinsburg, OH 44087

**Re: Land Use Self-Reporting Requirement – Hilltop/Toth Landfill, Mahoning  
County, OH – Director’s Final Findings and Orders Dated \_\_\_\_\_**

Dear [Name]:

In accordance with paragraph # 14 of the Director’s Final Findings and Orders for Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (Orders), entered in the Director’s Journal on \_\_\_\_\_, 2008, this letter is written documentation that I visually inspected that portion of the Site, as defined by the Orders, that is owned by me on [ADD DATE OF INSPECTION]. I am verifying that the integrity of the security, containment, treatment or monitoring systems [DELETE OR ADD SYSTEM COMPONENTS AS NECESSARY] that are located on that portion of the Site owned by me has not been adversely affected by any activities undertaken on my property, as of the date of my visual inspection. This letter fulfills my annual self-reporting requirement under the Orders.

Sincerely,

(Name of Land Owner)