



State of Ohio
Environmental Protection Agency
Division of Drinking and Ground Waters

Drinking Water Assistance Fund Annual Report Program Year 2008



September 3, 2008

**Ted Strickland, Governor
Chris Korleski, Director**

This report is printed on recycled paper

I.	Introduction	Page 1
II.	Goals and Accomplishments	Page 2
	A. Progress Toward Long-Term Goals	Page 2
	1. Maximize below-market rate loans	Page 2
	2. Target public water systems serving 10,000 or fewer	Page 2
	3. Provide financial assistance for completing source water assessments	Page 4
	4. Small and Disadvantaged Community Assistance	Page 5
	5. Development of the technical, managerial and financial capability	Page 6
	6. Construction of extensions of public water systems	Page 7
	7. Consolidation and Regionalization	Page 7
	B. Progress Toward Short-Term Goals	Page 8
	1. Ongoing environmental assistance program	Page 8
	2. Stakeholder participation	Page 8
	3. Address new regulations	Page 9
	4. Finalize disadvantaged community rules	Page 9
	C. Outputs and Outcomes	Page 9
III.	Drinking Water Assistance Fund: Loan and Set-Aside Activities	Page 11
	A. Sources of Funding for the Drinking Water Assistance Fund	Page 11
	1. Capitalization Grants	Page 11
	2. State Match	Page 11
	3. DWAF Leveraged Revenue Bonds	Page 11
	4. Investment Income	Page 12
	5. Repayments	Page 12
	B. Uses of Funds under the Drinking Water Assistance Fund	Page 12
	1. Loan Assistance Status	Page 12
	Table 1. Interest Rates and Overall Rate of Return	Page 12
	a. Binding Commitments	Page 13
	b. Project Bypass	Page 13
	c. Small Systems	Page 13
	d. Disbursements	Page 13
	e. Status of the Top 10 Systems on the PPL	Page 14
	2. Set-Aside Activity Status	Page 14
	a. Administration	Page 14
	b. Small Systems Technical Assistance	Page 15
	c. Source Water Protection Program	Page 15
	d. Capacity Development Program	Page 15

IV. FINANCIAL SUMMARY Page 17

- A. Status of Loans Page 17
- B. Loan Disbursements/Cash Draw Proportionality Page 17
- C. Set-Aside Disbursements Page 17
- D. Annual Repayment/Aging of Accounts Page 17
- E. Investments Page 17
- F. Financial Statements Page 17

V. COMPLIANCE WITH OPERATING AGREEMENT AND GRANT
CONDITIONS Page 18

Tables 2-10 following end of Section V

I. Introduction

The State of Ohio is pleased to submit this Annual Report on Ohio's drinking water state revolving fund, the Drinking Water Assistance Fund, for Program Year (PY) 2008. This Report addresses operation of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund during the time period from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. During that time, Ohio was awarded the 2007 capitalization grant.

Ohio EPA provides this report detailing the activities undertaken to reach the goals and objectives set forth in the Program Management Plans developed for PY 2008. This report documents the status of the program by; describing progress made toward long- and short-term program goals, the sources (e.g., federal grants) and uses of all funds (e.g., loans, set-asides), financial status of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund, and compliance with federal Drinking Water State Revolving Fund requirements.

Ohio Drinking Water Assistance Fund Program Year 2008 Overview

Total Capitalization Received: \$24,671,000

Number of Projects Binding Commitments: 31

Project Funding Binding Commitments: \$66,671,673

Average Interest Rate: 3.03 percent, w/o 5 yr. Loans

Small Systems Funded: 17 loans for \$23,035,916

Total Disbursements: \$70,012,949



II. Goals and Accomplishments

The long-term goals for this program were established to allow the best use of funds to assist water supply systems in meeting their goal to provide safe water to the people of Ohio, and continue operation of a revolving fund capable of providing low interest loans to these water systems on a continuing basis. Our short term goals were geared to provide the necessary structure to develop and implement the Drinking Water Assistance Fund (DWAF), identify the public water systems most in need of loan assistance, and provide a means for such systems to avail themselves of the loan program. The reporting period for this report is July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.



A. Progress Toward Long-Term Goals

- 1. Maximize below-market rate loans to eligible public water systems to fund improvements to eliminate public health threats and ensure compliance with federal and state drinking water laws and regulations;***

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #1: During the 2008 program year, there were 31 binding loan commitments totaling \$66,671,673. Of these, 25 served unique public water systems and 18 of the loans served a population of 10,000 or less. The small systems loans accounted for \$23,035,916, which is 35 percent of the funds awarded, 20 percent above the minimum 15 percent required for small systems annually.

The projects are described below by components addressed. There are 32 projects noted because one project addressed both source and storage.

Project Type by Needs Code	Number of Loans
Planning and Design	7
Distribution and Transmission Lines	15
Storage	2
Treatment/Plant	7
Source	1

As of July 1, 2007, the state anticipated having a total fund amount of \$137,424,578 for PY 2008 and opted to make \$120,000,000 available from the fund for loans during the program year. Fund composition included all or parts of previously obtained and newly available capitalization grants, the required state match for each capitalization grant and proceeds from bonds and bond anticipation notes.

2. Target technical assistance to public water systems serving 10,000 or fewer people with a technical assistance program provided by funds from the technical assistance set-aside account;

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #2: WSOS Community Action Commission, Inc, Great Lakes Rural Community Assistance Program (Ohio RCAP) continued to provide managerial and financial assistance to public water systems with populations of 10,000 or fewer. Capability assurance technical assistance focused on financial management of systems. Three types of training sessions were presented to water boards, mayors, city councils, and operators; Utility Management for Local Officials (nine trainings), Financial Management for Local Officials (seven sessions) and Asset Management, Budgeting and Rate Setting for Local Officials (seven sessions). A total of 23 courses were held, with 514 attendees from 250 systems respectively. Each one-day training session addressed issues related to: utility planning, identifying both direct and indirect operation and maintenance costs, developing budgets, cost recovery, types of financing resources, financial plan development and marketing utility products and services to customers. Copies of templates for spreadsheets of asset management are provided to each attendee on a CD, along with a hard copy of material covered in the session. The Applied Asset Management course based on U.S. EPA's Check Up Program for Small Systems (CUPSS) is expected to be implemented during PY 2009.

In addition to the training detailed above, a summary of other activities performed by Ohio RCAP during PY 2008 are as follows:

- Six planning and design applications completed
- Nine construction loan applications completed
- 21 pre-applications for the PPL completed
- 17 disadvantaged loan applications completed
- 26 loans were in progress at the end of PY2008
- Four systems were assisted in meeting crosscutting requirements
- 14 systems were assisted with locating and procuring funding in addition to the DWSRF
- Nine systems were assisted with determining the most affordable option to access safe drinking water
- Five systems were assisted with readiness to proceed issues
- 30 systems were assisted with capability assurance development

NEDO Small Systems Coordinator

The Compliance Assurance Coordinator position was discontinued in January 2007 due to staffing issues. Ohio EPA anticipates continuing the position duties during PY2009. This position involves providing technical assistance to water systems serving 250 or fewer customers. Activities undertaken include presenting educational programs to county health departments and providing assistance to local systems on public health based issues using the water use advisory list, significant noncompliance, and ground water under the influence of surface water lists. A primary goal is removal of systems with long-term boil advisories (> eight weeks). A secondary focus is working with public water systems that

have multiple monitoring and reporting violations in consecutive quarters using the violation database as a reference. Additional duties include visiting individual water systems to provide training and direction, and providing assistance with sample siting plans, contingency plans, detail plan process, consumer confidence reports, and corrosion control recommendations. A formalized monthly report is submitted to Central Office, detailing actions taken under set-aside activities by the NEDO agent. The monthly activity report includes information such as the number of:

- Technical assistance site visits and follow up visits
- Complaint investigations
- Technical assistance communications
- Telephone contact with the general public
- Boil advisories added and resolved at year's end

3. Provide financial assistance for completing source water assessments and wellhead protection plans (delineation, inventory, susceptibility analysis and distribution of assessment information) with funds from the wellhead protection set-aside account to promote locally developed source water protection plans;

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #3. Ohio EPA utilized the Wellhead Protection Set-aside to fund activities during PY 2008. Ohio EPA funded 9.6 full-time equivalent staff to support implementation of the wellhead protection and source water assessment activities specified in Ohio's DWSRF Intended Use Plan for PY 2008. The specific goals and objectives for the Source Water Assessment and Protection Program/Wellhead Protection Program for PY2008 are as follows:

1. Complete source water assessments for new public water systems;
2. Refine delineations in potential karst/shallow fractured bedrock hydrogeologic settings;
3. Assess and conduct ground water quality investigations to evaluate potential contaminant sources impacting source water quality for water systems with contaminant concentrations near or exceeding a MCL;
4. Encourage and provide direct technical assistance to public water systems in development and implementation of source water protection plans;
5. Conduct general public outreach and education and disseminate source water assessments to public water systems and the public via a secure web site;
6. Document local source water protection implementation strategies through development of a tracking survey;
7. Collaborate with State environmental programs to develop and implement source water protection strategies; and

8. Provide general program support activities for staff.

During PY 2008, the program focused on promoting protective strategies, conducting training workshops, providing direct technical assistance to public water system officials, developing education and outreach activities, completing source water assessment reports for new public water systems, re-delineating some of the wellfields in karst geologic settings and QA/QC of the source water assessment information geographic information system databases.

Seventy-five source water assessment reports were completed during PY 2008. Some of the previously completed source water area delineations in karst geologic settings were re-evaluated and updated for public water systems located in Central and Southwestern Ohio.

Staff conducted multi-session source water protection planning workshops in Jefferson County, Trumbull County, and Logan County. New workshops within the Chippewa Buried Valley, the Maumee River watershed, the Lower Muskingum watershed, the Sandusky River watershed, and Holmes/Wayne Counties were initiated.

In addition to the workshops, staff conducted over 80 meetings with 45 public water system operators or local source water protection teams, where they provided information and technical guidance on developing or implementing a local source water protection plan.

Thirty-eight source water protection plans developed by public water systems were received by the Agency as a result of these outreach efforts. Thirty-two of the plans were endorsed by the agency.

As of June 30, 2008, 46 percent of all community water systems covering 53 percent of the population served are substantially implementing source water protection measures under the criteria described in Ohio EPA's program.

Finally, staff responded to 455 technical assistance requests for site-specific maps showing locations of source water protection areas near existing or proposed waste or product management and storage facilities.

Additional information on the program accomplishments for each goal and objective is available in the full annual report: "Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Wellhead Protection Set-aside Annual Report, Program Year 2008", August 2008.

4. Improve the types and quantity of small and disadvantaged community assistance to reduce the financial impact of capital improvement projects on smaller systems and systems serving less affluent populations;

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #4. PY 2008 was the first program year we accepted applications and distributed funding for the disadvantaged community assistance program. The first disadvantaged community applications were due on March 15, 2007. Ten

systems qualified for disadvantaged assistance for PY 2008. Of the 10 systems, five systems received a total of six loans with the following terms:

- \$530,278 issued in zero percent interest rate loans for five years (2 P/D, 1 construction)
- \$307,071 issued in zero percent interest rate loans for 20 years (1 construction)
- \$779,375 issued in zero percent interest rate loans for 30 years (2 construction)
- One loan was issued with principal forgiveness for \$306,000. The system will receive up to 50 percent of the loan, a maximum of \$153,000.00, in forgiveness.

During PY 2008, small systems were evaluated and received affordability points based on a ratio of median household income and water rates, and were eligible for a two percent loan. Four systems were issued loans at the two percent interest rate for a total of \$7,899,638.

5. *Promote the development of the technical, managerial and financial capability of public water systems to maintain compliance with the state and federal SDWA requirements, and Ohio's Capacity Assurance Program*

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #5. Ohio has implemented the Capacity Assurance Program as required in the SDWA for all new systems and all recipients of WSRLA assistance. Rules to implement this program became effective October 1, 1999. The Agency has also developed a guidance document to assist systems in the development of an approvable Capacity Assurance Plan. This guidance became final on September 25, 2000.

The Agency must ensure that each public water system (PWS) that receives a WSRLA loan can demonstrate technical, managerial, and financial capacity. WSRLA funds cannot be used to provide any type of assistance to a PWS that lacks the technical, managerial, or financial capability to maintain SDWA compliance, unless the PWS owner agrees to implement feasible and appropriate changes in operation and maintenance practices, and in the financial management of the system. All 25 systems that received loan award during program year 2008 completed a capacity assurance plan that was evaluated by district and central office personnel from the Division of Drinking and Ground Waters and planners from the Division of Environmental and Financial Assistance. For further information on accomplishments of the capability assurance program during this program year, please see the *SFY2008 Capability Assurance Report*.

Ohio EPA provides additional assistance to all potential loan recipients with populations of 10,000 or fewer in the completion of a Capacity Assurance Plan. Ohio RCAP, program provider of financial and managerial assistance through the Small Systems Technical Assistance Program, assisted in the development of these plans for eligible small systems. For further information on RCAP assistance provided during this program year, please see the *Program Year 2008 Small Systems Technical Assistance Set-aside Report*.

During 2008, seven new public water systems completed a capacity assurance plan. Plans for new systems are evaluated by both central and district office personnel from the Division of Drinking and Ground Waters before being accepted.

During program year 2007, Ohio EPA revised the sanitary survey process which includes more capability related questions and a new Capability Assurance Evaluation Form. During PY 2008, all community systems 250 or greater in population that received a sanitary survey had a form completed for their system. Two hundred fifty-seven Capability Assurance Evaluation Forms were completed during the program year. These forms will give us additional information necessary before proceeding with the revised capability assurance rules. During PY 2008, Ohio started to work on updating the capability assurance guidance and continued to work with the implementation efforts of the new sanitary survey process.

Through the currently enacted rules, guidance document, and additional assistance provided to eligible small systems, Ohio EPA is able to ensure that each WSRLA loan recipient demonstrates capacity assurance.

6. Fund the construction of extensions of public water systems, or if extensions are not economically feasible, the construction of new public water systems to address pockets of contaminated private water systems;

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #6. During PY 2008, Ohio funded four systems for extensions to provide safe water due to wells with bacteriological contamination. The loans were awarded to Jefferson County, Northwest Regional Water District, Greene County and Buckeye Lake. The total of these four loans is \$8,229,689.

7. Encourage the consolidation and/or regionalization of small public water systems to allow them to take advantage of the economies of scale available to larger water systems;

Progress Toward Long-Term Goal #7. During PY 2008, Ohio funded six projects which consolidated/regionalized public water systems. The six loans were awarded to Bluffton, Ottawa, Burr Oak, Northwest Regional Water District, Portsmouth and Buckeye Lake. The total of the six loans is \$13,060,084.

B. Progress Toward Short-Term Goals



- 1. Continue implementation of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund as an ongoing environmental assistance program. Subsequent years will more fully develop the capabilities of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund in development and implementation of source water protection, and assistance for disadvantaged system improvements.**

Progress Toward Short-Term Goal #1. The agency made progress in establishing the Drinking Water Assistance Fund as an effective environmental assistance program in Ohio. For the WSRLA this program year, this includes obligation of substantial portions of the available capitalization grants and state match funds. Extensive work was completed in both the source water protection program and implementing the new disadvantaged community program. See Long-Term Goals #3 and #4 for more detailed information.

- 2. Provide opportunities for meaningful public involvement in DWAF continuing implementation by public notification of the Intended Use Plan/Management Plan, Project Priority List, and hosting two public meetings per program year.**

Progress Toward Short-Term Goal #2. When seeking approval for each Management and Intended Use Plan, public notification is sent to twelve statewide newspapers and a list of interested parties indicating that a new plan is available for review. Draft plans may be obtained from Central Office by phone or e-mail request, in person at Central Office and via the Ohio EPA Web site. For PY 2008, the draft plan was made available on May 4, 2007 for 30 days. Two public meetings were held on June 6, 2007 to receive public comments from the public and water system stakeholders. In addition, written comments were also accepted during the public comment period. During the public comment period, a representative of a consulting firm submitted comments on the draft 2008 plan with concerns regarding the planned use of the wellhead protection set-aside. No changes were made to the plan as a result of the comments. Additionally, a statewide news release was issued regarding the draft IUP during May 2007 to encourage additional public participation.

The Drinking Water Advisory Committee (DWAC) was formed September 19, 2001 to help strengthen the division's drinking and ground waters programs through valuable and independent input from stakeholders. DWAC maintains between 15-20 members representing a balanced, cross-section of civic and environmental groups that have an interest in drinking water programs and issues. The group focuses on providing input on rule development, assessing and recommending improvements to new and existing programs, and identifying funding alternatives to address state and local funding needs. For PY 2008, the DWAF did not have any issues to discuss at DWAC. However, as issues arise in program development, DWAC is one method the state has available to bring issues to the stakeholder to involve them in the decision making process.

2. Promote the fund as an effective means to provide financial assistance to systems developing capital improvement projects to address new regulations and are listed by Ohio EPA as a “priority” system.

Progress Toward Short-Term Goal #3. Ohio EPA has promoted the use of WSRLA funds to meet the above goals by:

- ! Use of the WSRLA program is promoted by Ohio EPA District Office personnel. As water systems are identified that may need to replace or upgrade their equipment to meet one of the new rules, the WSRLA program is marketed to them as a means of obtaining funds to meet compliance requirements.
- ! Ohio EPA has placed information on the agency Web site so that all water systems can be familiar with the requirements of these new rules. Links have also been created from the Ohio EPA Web site, to the U.S. EPA Web site, where additional fact sheets are available. Ohio EPA also has an e-mail list service to broadly distribute program information and deadlines.
- ! The technical assistance providers used by Ohio EPA have been promoting the use of WSRLA funds as they identify water systems with compliance and infrastructure needs. They have also been distributing fact sheets, pre-applications and additional technical assistance, as needed.
- ! Ohio EPA gave multiple presentations to drinking water system personnel who attended workshops sponsored by the Operator Training Committee of Ohio, Ohio American Water Works Association and Water One Association. During PY 2008, Ohio EPA presented to approximately 2,200 water system personnel in multiple training sessions/workshops.
- ! For PY 2008, 14 priority systems representing 24 projects were on the PPL. Of the 24 projects, 5 projects were funded. They were:

System	Project Description	Compliance Issue	Loan Amount
Celina	New WTP with GAC	DDBP	\$ 6,656,333.00
Cinnamon Lake	Pilot WTP Improvements	DDBP	\$ 61,283.00
Cinnamon Lake	Design WTP Improvements	DDBP	\$ 110,206.00
Burr Oak	Line to Crooksville	DDBP	\$ 279,375.00
Russell's Point	Design WTP Upgrade	DDBP	\$ 133,022.00

4. Develop sustainable infrastructure and planning initiatives for public water systems during the 2008 program year.

Progress Toward Short-Term Goal #4. Ohio EPA added additional effective management points to the project priority list ranking criteria to encourage sustainable

infrastructure activities such as utility board training, asset management planning, water conservation and source water protection. Ohio EPA anticipates starting a workgroup during PY 2009 to discuss a new incentive program which may include an interest rate reduction for systems that complete sustainable infrastructure initiatives.

C. Outputs and Outcomes for PY 2008

- 1. Output:** The State funded 15 drinking water transmission/distribution loans in PY 2008 to construct/rehabilitate/upgrade drinking water transmission and distribution systems across the State. The total dollar amount for transmission/distribution related projects is \$26,786,465.

Outcome: The funding of these projects will result in more people getting better drinking water from current and existing treatment systems across the State.

- 2. Output:** The State funded seven drinking water treatment projects in PY 2008 to construct and/or rehabilitate drinking water treatment facilities across the State. The total dollar amount for treatment related projects is \$33,913,948.

Outcome: The funding of these projects will result in better drinking water for thousands of people across the State and, upon completion of the projects, facilities that meet all applicable permits and SDWA requirements.

- 3. Output:** The State funded two drinking water storage projects in PY 2008 to construct and/or rehabilitate drinking water storage facilities across the State. The total dollar amount for storage related projects is \$2,805,891.

Outcome: The funding of these projects will result in better drinking water for thousands of people across the State and, upon completion of the projects, storage facilities that meet all applicable permits and SDWA requirements.

- 4. Output:** The State funded one drinking water source projects in PY 2008 to construct and/or rehabilitate drinking water sources across the State. The total dollar amount for source related projects is \$677,042.

Outcome: The funding of these projects will result in better drinking water and source water that meet all applicable permits and SDWA requirements.

- 5. Output:** The State funded seven planning and design loan project during PY 2008 for future improvements across the State. The total dollar amount for the planning and design related project is \$2,488,328.

Outcome: The funding of this project will result in planning and design for future construction projects in the State.

III. Drinking Water Assistance Fund: Loan and Set-Aside Activities

In this section we provide a discussion of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund assistance activities during PY 2008. We provide details on the sources of funding in our program, the status of loan activities, and the status of set-aside activities.

A. Sources of Funding for the Drinking Water Assistance Fund

The binding commitments from the Drinking Water Assistance Fund program in this reporting period totaled \$66,671,673 (see Table 9). Since the program's inception, federal capitalization grants, state match funds, and leveraged bond proceeds have been the major sources of funding. The sources of Drinking Water Assistance Fund funding during the reporting period are described below.

1. Capitalization Grants

Prior to Program Year 2008, Ohio EPA had received 10 capitalization grants totaling \$263,757,400 from U.S. EPA. During Program Year 2008, one capitalization grant was received (September 2007) totaling \$24,671,000. Thus, the total capitalization grant funds received through the end of PY 2008 was \$288,428,400 (see Table 2).

Please refer to Table 3, [A Set-aside Balances and Expected Capitalization Grant Funding](#), attached to this report, regarding the remaining grant balances in the set-aside accounts.

2. State Match

The State of Ohio is required to match all federal capitalization grants with a state match of a least 20 percent. Since the inception of the DWAF program, the state matching funds have been provided from a number of sources including: the Ohio Water Development Authority, 2001 Bond Anticipation Notes, 2002 and 2004 State Match Bond Proceeds, and interest earned on state match accounts.

Table 2 attached to this report provides a breakdown of the match provided to date. The table shows, at the end of the reporting period, the proportion of state match to federal capitalization grants was 27.31 percent, exceeding the requirement.

3. DWAF Leveraged Revenue Bonds

Leverage bonds were issued during PY 2008 (June 2007) in the amount of \$70 million to repay the bond anticipation notes received during December 2006 from the Ohio CWSRF.

During the program year 22 loans were made from DWAF leverage bond proceeds in the amount of \$48,317,195.38.

4. Investment Income

Investment income in the amount of \$6,416,188 was earned during the reporting period.

5. Repayments

During PY 2008, one loan (total binding commitment of \$110,206) was awarded utilizing the Repayment Fund. At the end of PY 2008, the Repayment Fund balance of \$30,461,924 was available to be used for loans as needed to meet our project demand.

B. Uses of Funds under the Drinking Water Assistance Fund

The Drinking Water Assistance Fund has provided \$539,506,174 in loans to public water systems since its inception. The program has set-aside \$30,723,704 for activities which include Administration, Source Water Assessment, Small Community Technical Assistance and Wellhead Protection.

1. Loan Assistance Status

The Drinking Water Assistance Fund entered into 31 loan agreements totaling \$66,671,673 during the 2008 program year. The loans range in size from \$49,271 to \$6,080,696. The normal term for construction loans was 20 years. However, two disadvantaged community loans were awarded during PY 2008 that included a 30-year repayment term. Eight loans were awarded with a five-year term: two planning loans, five design loans, and one short-term construction loan. The overall rate of return for the long-term loans (i.e. excluding the short-term planning/design/construction loans) is an interest rate of approximately 3.03 percent (see Table 1 below).

Table 1: Interest Rates and Overall Rate of Return*

Number Loans	Loan Amount	Rate	Annual Interest
6**	\$1,616,724.00	0.00%	\$0
4	\$7,899,638.00	2.00%	\$86,194
5**	\$12,446,533.00	2.75%	\$187,871
3**	\$1,073,021.00	2.86%	\$16,191
2**	\$3,635,938.00	3.20%	*
3	\$13,613,214.00	3.25%	\$250,339
4	\$10,218,807.38	3.36%	\$194,884
5	\$16,167,798.00	3.53%	\$325,491
31+	\$66,671,673.38	3.03%	\$1,060,970

* Calculation for overall rate of return excludes the short-term (five year) loans.

** Includes five year loan(s), which are excluded from calculation.

+ One loan was awarded with a combination of zero percent funds and 2 percent funds.

During PY 2008, a total of 31 loans were awarded from the WSRLA, for a total dollar amount of \$66,671,673. The average loan amount was \$2,150,699. However, this average is probably skewed due to six relatively large loans awarded in the \$5 - \$6 million range (see Table 9). The remaining 25 loans averaged \$1,248,687. Loan

awards to large communities (>10,000) were limited to the proportionate share of 10 percent of the available annual funds, which for PY 2008 was \$12,000,000.

a. Binding Commitments

During the reporting period, the Ohio EPA entered into binding commitments totaling \$66,671,673. Of that amount, none was from state matching funds, \$48,317,195.38 was from leveraged bond proceeds, \$18,244,272 was from capitalization grant funds, and \$110,206 was from repayment funds. This brings the total binding commitments from the federal capitalization grants to \$261,340,478.13, state match \$78,369,799.53, leverage bond proceeds \$261,141,002.32, and repayments fund \$5,816,160.

b. Project Bypass

Projects may be bypassed due to (1) withdrawal of the project by the applicant, (2) the applicant's inability to meet the WSRLA requirements or (3) lack of readiness to proceed. Examples of an applicant not being ready to proceed are: lack of timely progress in completing the assistance application process, or failure to develop an approvable and implementable project. A project may also be bypassed if the loan award is projected to be delayed beyond the end of the program year. Additionally, projects in the fundable category may be bypassed as a result of the inclusion, during the program year, of fundable emergency projects as described in the Program Management Plan.

Funds available through bypassing may be awarded to qualifying projects in keeping with the loan award procedures established in the Program Management Plan.

During PY 2008, no projects were formally bypassed. Since \$120M was made available from the fund, all projects that requested funding were able to be accommodated.

c. Small Systems

During PY2008, the Drinking Water Assistance Fund awarded a total of 31 loans. Of the thirty-one loans, seventeen loans were awarded to small water systems serving a population of 10,000 or fewer. A total of \$23,035,916 was awarded to small systems during PY 2008. During PY 2008, 19.20 percent of funds made available were awarded to small communities with a cumulative percentage of 16.94 percent (see Table 5) awarded to small communities since program inception.

d. Disbursements

Since the beginning of the program through this reporting period, the total disbursements from the DWAF were \$519,076,094. This total includes the total WSRLA disbursements of \$494,605,678 and the total set-aside disbursements of \$24,470,416. Table 4, titled, WSRLA - Loan Disbursements, identifies the disbursements made from the state match account and the revolving loan account.

e. Status of the Top 10 Systems on the PPL

1. Cumberland- Project was delayed past the 2008 program year due to coordinated funding issues and anticipates funding from the WSRLA during 2009.
2. Mount Vernon- Project was self funded during PY 2008.
3. Buckeye Water District (Salineville)- System completed design work with own funds, anticipates WSRLA construction loan during PY 2009.
4. Buckeye Lake- Design loan awarded in June 2008.
5. Buckeye Lake- Construction loan was delayed due to delay in design (see above), construction loan will be awarded in PY 2009.
6. McClure- System is not ready to proceed with the reservoir project, not on 2009 PPL.
7. McClure- System is not ready to proceed with water treatment plant improvements, not on 2009 PPL.
8. Vanlue- System is not ready to proceed with new system, working on source approval currently, may return later in PY 2009.
9. Aberdeen- Loan awarded during PY 2008 for new meter installation.
10. Aberdeen- Project did not proceed during PY 2008. System needs meter data before proceeding with design project. Project expected to proceed in PY 2009 or 2010.

Two projects in the top ten received funding through the SRF during PY 2008. Two projects were funded outside of the SRF, and at least two projects are expected to be funded from the WSRLA during PY 2009.

2. Set-Aside Activity Status

a. Administration

Funds from this set-aside were used to pay salaries and associated expenses of personnel administering the Drinking Water Assistance Fund program. Ohio EPA currently collects administrative fees from loan recipients. Administrative fees collected are deposited into the Administrative Account which also contains the set-aside. Ohio EPA requires a loan origination fee of 1.0 percent of the principal of each loan originated from the WSRLA. In addition, OWDA requires a fee of 0.35 percent of the principal of the loan amount. The OWDA fees are also deposited into the Administrative Account to be utilized by the OWDA for administrative costs related to the program. Fees deposited into the Administrative Account must be used solely to defray the costs of administering the program. These fees are due at the time of the loan award. Administrative funds that remain in the account at the conclusion of the program year remain in the account to address program

administrative costs in subsequent program years.

Implementation of the Drinking Water Assistance Fund has involved the budgeting of 16.0 FTEs B 12.0 with the Division of Drinking and Ground Waters, and 4.0 with the Division of Environmental and Financial Assistance. During the past year of the program, Ohio EPA has completed the following administrative activities:

- Development of program documents
- Development of program procedures
- Solicitation of applications
- Development of comprehensive list of projects
- Evaluation of public water systems for technical, financial, and managerial capacity
- Project selection and development of IUPs
- Development of set-aside work plans
- Conduct public meetings for development of project priority lists and IUPs
- Preparation of capitalization grant applications
- Bonding for state match creation
- Oversight/implementation of Small Systems Technical Program implementation

b. Small Systems Technical Assistance

Please see the *PY2008 Small Systems Technical Assistance Set-aside Report* for further detailed information.

c. Wellhead Protection Program

Please see the *PY2008 Wellhead Protection Set-aside Report* for further detailed information.

d. Capacity Development Program

Please see the *PY2008 Capability Assurance Report* for further detailed information.

IV. FINANCIAL SUMMARY

The following discussion provides additional details on the financial management activities in the Drinking Water Assistance Fund.

A. Status of Loans

The Drinking Water Assistance Fund has entered into binding commitments for \$66,671,673 during the reporting period. Table 9, "Binding Commitments in PY 2008" shows the status of all Drinking Water Assistance Fund loans awarded during the reporting period. Information includes the following: water system name, project description, loan number, date of loan award, loan amount, interest rate and term, and the population served.

B. Loan Disbursements/Cash Draw Proportionality

The WSRLA has made \$70,012,949 in disbursements during the reporting period. Of that amount, \$42,622,387 was disbursed from leveraged bond proceeds, \$526,371 was from the state match account, \$24,804,675 was from the revolving loan account (federal capitalization grant funds), and \$2,059,516 was disbursed from other accounts. Quarterly disbursements of state matching funds and capitalization grant funds are illustrated in Table 4. We are using the rolling average method to determine federal/state proportionality as provided in 40 CFR Part 35 subpart L, subsection 35.3560(g).

C. Set-Aside Disbursements

Total set-aside disbursements from the program's inception equal \$24,470,416. The remaining set-aside balances are illustrated in Table 3 "Summary of Funds Available", attached to this report.

D. Annual Repayment/Aging of Accounts

Please refer to Section III. A. 5. of this report regarding the amount of repayments and their future intended use.

E. Investments

Please refer to Section III. A. 4 of this report regarding the amount of investment income.

F. Financial Statements

The Ohio Water Development Authority, our partner in administering the DWAF, is audited annually on a calendar year basis. The DWAF is included in that audit. The annual audit reports, including financial statements, are forwarded to U.S. EPA upon their release by the Auditor, State of Ohio. Financial Statements (Tables 6, 7, 8) for PY 2008 including a balance sheet, statement of cash flows and statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets are attached.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH OPERATING AGREEMENT AND GRANT CONDITIONS

Ohio EPA agreed to administer the Drinking Water Assistance Fund in accordance with conditions outlined in the Grant Agreements and the Operating Agreement (July 1998). The following conditions have been met as described in the operating agreement or the capitalization grant agreement and will not be described further:

- Agreement to accept payments
- Follow state laws and procedures
- Follow state accounting and auditing procedures
- Recipient accounting procedures using GAAP and auditing procedures using GAGAS
- Use of the ASAP
- Preparation of Project Priority List and Intended Use Plan annually
- Through the Ohio Water Development Authority, establish and maintain designated Drinking Water Assistance Fund accounts

Other conditions, requirements or regulations which were met during PY 2008 include: matching ASAP increases at the 20 percent level, expeditious and timely expenditure of funds, providing SRF funds only for eligible activities, compliance with SDWA requirements for all projects receiving binding commitments, the MBE/WBE requirements, compliance with other federal authorities; compliance with the State Environmental Review Process (SERP); compliance with the ASAP cash draw procedures and rules established by U.S. EPA; and the performance of an annual audit and annual review.

Table 2

Capitalization Grant Award and State Match Summary

June 30,2008

Grant Number	FFY Appropriation	Cap Grant Award Date	Cap Grant Amount	State Match Amount (20%)	Remarks
FS985954-98	1997	11-Sep-98	\$43,073,000.00	\$8,614,600.00	Provided by OWDA
FS985954-99	1998	30-Sep-99	\$22,806,200.00	\$4,561,240.00	Provided by OWDA
FS985954-00	1999	21-Sep-00	\$23,903,100.00	\$4,780,620.00	2001 BAN
FS985954-00	2000	21-Sep-00	\$24,842,200.00	\$4,968,440.00	2001 BAN
FS985954-00-1	2001	19-Sep-01	\$24,944,900.22	\$4,988,980.04	2001 BAN
FS985954-02	2002	26-Apr-02	\$24,547,600.00	\$4,909,520.00	\$261,960 by 2001 BAN and \$4,647,560 by 2002 SM Bonds
FS985954-03	2003	17-Sep-03	\$24,400,100.00	\$4,880,020.00	2002 SM Bonds
FS985954-04	2004	30-Sep-04	\$25,311,500.00	\$5,062,300.00	\$3,259,157 by 2002 SM Bonds and \$1,803,143 by 2004 SM Bonds
FS985954-05	2005	30-Aug-05	\$25,257,900.00	\$5,051,580.00	2004 SM Bonds
FS985954-06	2006	30-Sep-06	\$24,670,900.00	\$4,934,180.00	2004 SM Bonds
FS985954-07	2007	30-Sep-07	\$24,671,000.00	\$4,934,200.00	2004 SM Bonds
			\$288,428,400.22	\$57,685,680.04	Total

State Match Sources

Source	Amount
OWDA	\$13,175,840.00
2001 BAN	\$15,000,000.00
2002 SM Bonds*	\$12,786,737.00
2004 SM Bonds	\$33,814,620.00
Interest Earned	\$3,997,932.00
Total	\$78,775,129.00

27.31% Percentage of Match

as of 6/30/2008

to Capitalization Grants Received

*2002 SM Bond Proceeds

Sources and Uses of Funds \$30,076,919.70 - Cost of Issuance (286,807.33), Debt Service Reserve Fund (1,727,415) and Deposit to Debt Service Fund for retirement of Series 2001 BAN 2001 BAN (15,275,958.93)

Table 3**Set-aside Balances and Expected Capitalization Grant Funding**

May 31, 2008

FFY 2008 & 2009 Appropriation Capitalization Grants		\$24,421,000	\$24,421,000	
Set-Asides Accounts (as of May 31, 2008)	Prior Year Balances	2008 Grant Amounts	2009 Grant Amounts	Totals for Each Account
Administrative	\$3,474,588	\$976,840	\$976,840	\$5,428,268
Wellhead Protection Program	\$3,414,082	\$1,221,050	\$1,221,050	\$5,856,182
Small Systems Tech Asst	\$1,710,519	\$488,420	\$488,420	\$2,687,359

Table 4- PY 2008 Loan Disbursements

<i>PY 2008 WSRLA Loan Disbursements</i>			<i>Actual Proportionality Percentage</i>	<i>WSRLA Federal Amt</i>	<i>State Match</i>	<i>Target Proportionality Percentage</i>
<i>as of 6/30/2007</i>				<i>\$233,033,696.00</i>	<i>\$52,751,500.04</i>	<i>18.46%</i>
<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Federal</i> \$210,113,519.32	<i>State</i> \$76,281,517.59	<i>(Total SM/Fed+SM)</i> 26.64%			
<i>Disbursed</i>	\$179,978,153.50	\$72,503,161.34	28.72%			
<i>as of 9/30/2007</i>				<i>\$233,033,696.00</i>	<i>\$52,751,500.04</i>	<i>18.46%</i>
<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Federal</i> \$222,469,575.17	<i>State</i> \$76,281,517.59	<i>(Total SM/Fed+SM)</i> 25.53%			
<i>Disbursed</i>	\$186,643,979.34	\$72,977,681.00	28.11%			
<i>as of 12/31/2007</i>				<i>\$254,990,886.00</i>	<i>\$57,685,680.04</i>	<i>18.45%</i>
<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Federal</i> \$223,817,511.17	<i>State</i> \$76,281,517.59	<i>(Total SM/Fed+SM)</i> 25.42%			
<i>Disbursed</i>	\$194,255,129.22	\$73,029,532.40	27.32%			
<i>as of 3/31/2008</i>				<i>\$254,990,886.00</i>	<i>\$57,685,680.04</i>	<i>18.45%</i>
<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Federal</i> \$223,816,356.17	<i>State</i> \$76,281,517.59	<i>(Total SM/Fed+SM)</i> 25.42%			
<i>Disbursed</i>	\$198,986,354.63	\$73,029,532.40	26.85%			
<i>as of 6/30/2008</i>				<i>\$254,990,886.00</i>	<i>\$57,685,680.04</i>	<i>18.45%</i>
<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Federal</i> \$232,427,319.76	<i>State</i> \$76,281,517.59	<i>(Total SM/Fed+SM)</i> 24.71%			
<i>Disbursed</i>	\$204,782,829.27	\$73,029,532.04	26.29%			

Table 5

15% to Small Communities Calculation

Start of PY	End of PY	Total		15% of Amount Made Available	Amount to Small Coms.	PY	Cumulative Amount Made Available	Cumulative Amount to Small Comm.	Cumulative % of Amount Made Available to Small Comm.
		Amount Available in PY	Amount Made Available in PY			% of Amount Made Available to Small Comm.			
11/1/1998	6/30/1999	\$90,479,160	\$90,479,160	\$13,571,874	\$1,276,203	1.41%	\$90,479,160	\$1,276,203	1.41%
7/1/1999	6/30/2000	\$122,904,728	\$122,904,728	\$18,435,709	\$6,025,698	4.90%	\$213,383,888	\$7,301,901	3.42%
7/1/2000	6/30/2001	\$108,532,852	\$108,532,852	\$16,279,928	\$6,470,664	5.96%	\$321,916,740	\$13,772,565	4.28%
7/1/2001	6/30/2002	\$49,799,315	\$49,799,315	\$7,469,897	\$15,861,749	31.85%	\$371,716,055	\$29,634,314	7.97%
7/1/2002	6/30/2003	\$120,855,214	\$120,855,214	\$18,128,282	\$17,097,690	14.15%	\$492,571,269	\$46,732,004	9.49%
7/1/2003	6/30/2004	\$89,449,175	\$89,449,175	\$13,417,376	\$29,622,629	33.12%	\$582,020,444	\$76,354,633	13.12%
7/1/2004	6/30/2005	\$134,511,633	\$134,511,633	\$20,176,745	\$16,045,452	11.93%	\$716,532,077	\$92,400,085	12.90%
7/1/2005	6/30/2006	\$167,734,147	\$167,734,147	\$25,160,122	\$26,693,586	15.91%	\$884,266,224	\$119,093,671	13.47%
7/1/2006	6/30/2007	\$147,228,481	\$65,000,000	\$9,750,000	\$38,996,743	59.99%	\$949,266,224	\$158,090,414	16.65%
7/1/2007	6/30/2008	\$137,424,578	\$120,000,000	\$18,000,000	\$23,035,916	19.20%	\$1,069,266,224	\$181,126,330	16.94%

Table 6**Drinking Water Assistance Fund**

Balance Sheet

June 30, 2008

<u>Assets</u>	
Cash	-
Investments	122,048,893
Receivables:	
Federal and local government authorities	438,747,145
Deferred bond and note issuance expense	<u>2,273,739</u>
Total assets	<u><u>563,069,777</u></u>
 <u>Liabilities and Net Assets</u>	
Accrued interest	1,124,950
Accounts Payable	72,263
Drinking Water Assistance Fund Bonds	
State Match Series	44,977,826
Leverage Series	<u>257,120,527</u>
Total liabilities	<u>303,295,566</u>
Net Assets	<u>259,774,211</u>
Total liabilities and net assets	<u><u>563,069,777</u></u>

Table 7**Drinking Water Assistance Fund**

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

Year ended June 30, 2008

Revenues:	
Project revenue	14,372,504
Investment income	6,416,188
Contribution from U.S. EPA	26,834,215
	<u>47,622,907</u>
Expenses:	
Interest on bonds and notes	12,219,043
Amortization of bond issuance expenses	183,620
Operating expenses and other	2,334,193
	<u>14,736,856</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenses	
before non - operating revenue	32,886,051
Other	-
	<u>32,886,051</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenses	32,886,051
Net Assets at beginning of year	226,888,160
Net Assets at end of year	<u><u>259,774,211</u></u>

Table 8**Drinking Water Assistance Fund**

Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended June 30, 2008

Operating activities:

Operating expenses	(2,334,193)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>(2,334,193)</u>

Investing activities:

Proceeds from maturity or sale of investments	388,934,678
Purchase of investments	(360,513,203)
Interest received on investments, net of purchased interest	6,279,818
Interest received on projects	12,247,362
Principal collected on projects	18,214,562
Payment for construction of projects	<u>(70,012,951)</u>
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	<u>(4,849,734)</u>

Noncapital financing activities:

Interest paid on bonds and notes, net of purchased interest	(15,766,835)
Redemption of bonds and notes	(79,035,000)
Proceeds of bonds and notes	75,597,436
Bond issuance expense	(518,292)
Contribution from U.S. EPA	<u>26,834,215</u>
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	<u>7,111,524</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(72,403)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>72,403</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u><u>-</u></u>

Recon to net cash provided (used) by oper. activities:

Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenses before operating transfer	32,886,051
Adjustments:	
Investment income	(6,416,188)
Operating expenses	-
Interest on bonds and notes	12,219,043
Project revenue	(14,372,504)
Amortization of bond issuance cost	183,620
Contribution from U.S. EPA	<u>(26,834,215)</u>
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u><u>(2,334,193)</u></u>

Table 9 - Binding Commitments in PY 2008

Applicant	Project Description	Loan #	Population	Award Date	Term	Type	Rate	Loan Amount
Baltimore, Village of	Planning Study, distribution improvements	390451 01	2,903	26-Jul-07	5	Small	2.75%	\$88,041.00
Middlefield, Village of	New WTP, Arsenic reduction	390382 01	2,233	26-Jul-07	20	Small	2.75%	\$5,255,123.00
Portsmouth, City of	Miex Design/Build	390385 01	39,300	26-Jul-07	20	Standard	3.25%	\$3,852,985.00
Celina, City of	Granular Activated Carbon Facility Addition	390274 01	11,674	30-Aug-07	20	Standard	3.25%	\$6,656,333.00
Milford, Village of	Milford Wells , replace clearwell	390164 01	6,284	27-Sep-07	20	Hardship	2.00%	\$1,354,083.00
Bluffton, Village of	Bluffton Regional Waterline - 48,630 lf	390419 01	3,896	27-Sep-07	20	Small	2.75%	\$5,275,462.00
Ottawa, Village of	Waterline to Bluffton - 19,750 lf	390418 01	3,896	27-Sep-07	20	Small	2.75%	\$1,717,701.00
Aberdeen, Village of	Meter Installation Project	390388 02	1,603	25-Oct-07	20	Small	2.86%	\$797,306.00
Cinnamon Lake Utilities Assoc., Inc	Pilot Test WTP Improvements	390389 01	1,500	25-Oct-07	5	Small	2.86%	\$61,283.00
Muskingum County	Replace 7,750 ft. Raw water line	390355 01	9,520	25-Oct-07	20	Hardship	2.00%	\$660,032.00
Northwest Regional Water District	Installation of lines, Jefferson Twp.	390426 01	12,496	25-Oct-07	20	Small	2.86%	\$214,432.00
Ottawa County	Water Main Corrosion Mitigation-Ph.2	390365 01	14,500	25-Oct-07	20	Standard	3.36%	\$1,216,200.00
Wooster, City of	Intermediate Zone Tank/Booster Stn #3	390394 01	25,000	26-Oct-07	20	Standard	3.36%	\$2,128,849.00
Urbana	Phase2/3 Water Improvements	390423 01	11,613	13-Dec-07	20	Standard	3.36%	\$5,832,182.38
Wooster, City of	Two booster stations and waterline repl.	390396 01	25,000	13-Dec-07	20	Standard	3.36%	\$1,041,576.00
Cinnamon Lake Utilities Assoc., Inc	Designing WTP Improvements	390389 02	1,500	27-Mar-08	5	Small	2.75%	\$110,206.00
Columbus, City of	Champion Ave Water Main Repl.	390456 01	965,250	27-Mar-08	20	Standard	3.25%	\$3,103,896.00
Oberlin, City of	Oberlin Rehab WTP - DBPR Comp.	390335 02	8,267	27-Mar-08	20	Hardship	2.00%	\$5,106,018.00
Columbus, City of	Columbus Far East Water Line	390465 01	965,250	24-Apr-08	20	Standard	3.53%	\$2,660,703.00
Columbus, City of	Livingston Ave Water Main	390455 01	965,250	24-Apr-08	20	Standard	3.53%	\$3,517,191.00
Quincy, Village of	Design Greensand Filters	390438 01	753	26-Apr-08	5	Hardship	0.00%	\$49,271.00
Newark, City of	Emergency Power	390443 01	46,279	29-May-08	20	Standard	3.53%	\$1,130,611.00
Buckeye Lake, Village of	Design Buckeye Lake Distribution System	390191 01	2,986	26-Jun-08	5	Hardship	0.00%	\$347,985.00
Buckeye Lake, Village of	Phase I- Fee, connect to Millersport	390533 01	2,986	26-Jun-08	20	Hardship	0.00%	\$307,071.00
Burr Oak Regional Water Dist.	Crooksville project - Line Repl.	390453 01	2,602	26-Jun-08	30	Hardship	0.00%	\$279,375.00
Columbus, City of	University Area Water Main Improvements	390469 01	965,250	26-Jun-08	20	Standard	3.53%	\$2,778,597.00
Gallia Rural Water Association	Meter Upgrade	390459 01	23,699	26-Jun-08	5	Short Term	3.20%	\$1,937,418.00
Greene County Board of Comm.	Northwest Reg. North WTP Exp./Imp.	390362 01	37,653	26-Jun-08	20	Standard	3.53%	\$6,080,696.00
Jefferson County Commissioners	Waterline extension - Rush Run	390371 01	325	26-Jun-08	20	Hardship	2.00%	\$779,505.00
Jefferson County Commissioners	Waterline extension - Rush Run	390371 01	325	26-Jun-08	30	Hardship	0.00%	\$500,000.00
Mahoning Valley Sanit. Dist.	Design 3 projects	390461 01	300,000	26-Jun-08	5	Hardship	3.20%	\$1,698,520.00
Russell's Point, Village of	WTP Upgrade Design	390439 01	1,680	26-Jun-08	5	Hardship	0.00%	\$133,022.00
Total								\$66,671,673.38

Table 10 - Other Funding Sources for PY 2008 Projects

Applicant	Loan Amount	Total amount	Issue 2	CDBG	USDA	Bond	ARC	STAG	Misc.	Misc. Name
Baltimore Village of	\$88,041.00	\$88,041.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Middlefield, Village of	\$5,255,123.00	\$6,333,123.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,078,000.00	Local funds
Portsmouth, City of	\$3,852,985.00	\$3,852,985.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Celina, City of	\$6,656,333.00	\$7,378,033.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$721,700.00	\$0.00	
Milford, Village of	\$1,354,083.00	\$2,075,083.00	\$721,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Bluffton, Village of	\$5,275,462.00	\$5,275,462.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Ottawa, Village of	\$1,717,701.00	\$1,980,701.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$263,000.00	Army Corps, 594
Aberdeen, Village of	\$797,306.00	\$797,306.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Cinnamon Lake Utilities Assoc., Inc	\$61,283.00	\$61,283.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Muskingum County	\$660,032.00	\$851,132.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$191,100.00	\$0.00	
Northwest Regional Water District	\$214,432.00	\$571,180.40	\$0.00	\$355,248.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00	Water District
Ottawa County	\$1,216,200.00	\$2,699,754.00	\$325,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,158,554.00	
Wooster, City of	\$2,128,849.00	\$2,670,249.00	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$41,400.00	City Funds
Urbana	\$5,832,182.38	\$6,968,182.38	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$136,000.00	\$0.00	
Wooster, City of	\$1,041,576.00	\$1,206,576.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$165,000.00	
Cinnamon Lake Utilities Assoc., Inc	\$110,206.00	\$110,206.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Columbus, City of	\$3,103,896.00	\$3,103,896.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Oberlin, City of	\$5,106,018.00	\$5,123,711.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,693.00	Local Funds
Columbus, City of	\$2,660,703.00	\$2,660,703.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Columbus, City of	\$3,517,191.00	\$3,517,191.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Quincy, Village of	\$49,271.00	\$49,271.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Newark, City of	\$1,130,611.00	\$1,130,611.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Buckeye Lake, Village of	\$347,985.00	\$347,985.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Buckeye Lake, Village of	\$307,071.00	\$307,071.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Burr Oak Regional Water Dist.	\$279,375.00	\$279,375.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Columbus, City of	\$2,778,597.00	\$2,778,597.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Gallia Rural Water Association	\$1,937,418.00	\$1,937,418.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Greene County Board of Commissioners	\$6,080,696.00	\$6,609,896.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$529,200.00	\$0.00	
Jefferson County Commissioners	\$779,505.00	\$1,279,505.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Jefferson County Commissioners	\$500,000.00	\$1,279,505.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Mahoning Valley Sanit. Dist.	\$1,698,520.00	\$1,698,520.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Russell's Point, Village of	\$133,022.00	\$133,022.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	