

**OhioEPA**  
Division of Air Pollution Control

**Response to Comments  
Proposed Rule Language Comment Period**

**Rule: 3745-20-01**

**Agency Contact for this Package**

Division Contact: Paul Koval, Division of Air Pollution Control. 614-644-2270:  
[paul.koval@epa.state.oh.us](mailto:paul.koval@epa.state.oh.us)

Ohio EPA provided a 30 day comment period which ended on Friday, June 7, 2013 and held a public hearing on June 7, 2013 regarding this rule. This document summarizes the comments and questions received at the public hearing and/or during the associated comment period.

Ohio EPA reviewed and considered all comments received during the public comment period. By law, Ohio EPA has authority to consider specific issues related to protection of the environment and public health.

In an effort to help you review this document, the questions are grouped by topic and organized in a consistent format. The name of the commenter follows the comment in parentheses.

**General/Overall Concerns**

**Comment 1:**            **Strong support for Ohio’s adoption of the revised definition, recognizing the wording change back to the original asbestos rule removes misunderstanding regarding multiple demolitions and facilitates future urban blight work (submitted by the City of Youngstown, Ohio).**

**Response 1:**        Thank you for your comment.

**Rule 3745-20-01 “Definitions”**

**Comment 2:**        **Comments were received concerning the potentially serious health consequences of asbestos exposure. Steps should be taken to minimize exposure to the public from asbestos. U.S. EPA remains concerned that care must be taken when following the “residential exemption” provisions of the original asbestos NESHAP**

**within guidance previously issued by U.S. EPA (re-submitted by U.S. EPA).**

**Response 2:** Ohio EPA considered U.S. EPA's comments, however, U.S. EPA provided comments that were not directly relevant to the proposed rulemaking action. U.S. EPA did not address the issue for which Ohio EPA was adopting rules, to be aligned with the effective federal asbestos NESHAPS regulation.

**Comment 3:** **The Ohio EPA must modify the definition of "facility" to clarify that individual residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units are not considered facilities...strongly supports Ohio EPA's decision to modify the definition of "facility" in paragraph (B)(18) of OAC rule 3745-20-01 to clarify that individual residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units are not considered facilities (submitted by the Cuyahoga County Land Reutilization Corporation (CCLRC)).**

**Response 3:** Thank you for the comment.

**End of Response to Comments**