



## OEEF Grant Reviewer Score Sheet with Comments

<b>Application #:</b> <b>Grant Type:</b> MiniGrant <b>Primary Target Audience:</b>	<b>Organization Name:</b> <b>Project Title:</b> <b>Application Status:</b>
--	--

### Reviewer 1

1. Background of Organization (10 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>The application describes the goals of the applicant organization, and any accomplishments of the applicant organization that relate to the objective(s) of the project. The applicant appears qualified and capable of carrying out the proposed project. The role of any collaborators is explicit and documented.</p> <p><b>Reviewer Comments on Background of Organization:</b></p>	10	10
2. Project Justification (15 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>The applicant has provided evidence that justifies why the project is needed by the target audience, and how the target audience will benefit from the project. The target audience is well defined. For K-12 audiences, the application clearly explains how the project supports or is aligned with the Ohio Department of Education's Academic Content Standards for the appropriate grade level.</p> <p><b>Reviewer Comments on Project Justification:</b></p>	15	15
3. Project Goals/Objectives (15 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>The objectives are clearly defined and linked to the needs of the target audience. The objectives define what will be learned. The objectives are measurable. The objectives meet one of OEEF's educational priorities.</p> <p><b>Reviewer Comments on Objectives:</b></p>	15	15
4. Activities and Time Line (15 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>The project activities are specific and sequential. The project activities will accomplish the objectives. The time line identifies the beginning and ending dates of the activities. The time line is realistic for accomplishing the activities. The application details a realistic strategy for recruiting participants.</p> <p><b>Reviewer Comments on Activities:</b></p>	15	15
5. Outcome Measurements: Initial and Long-Term Effects (10 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>Measurements have been developed that will determine whether the objectives were achieved. The measurements describe the educational outcome or the effect of the project. The instrument used to measure is appropriate.</p> <p><b>Reviewer Comments on Outcome Measurements:</b></p>	10	10
6. Dissemination (10 points)	Points Awarded	Maximum Score
<p>The application describes how information and results will be shared.</p>	10	10

**Reviewer Comments on Dissemination:**

<b>7. Budget Spreadsheet and Narrative (15 points)</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Maximum Score</b>
--	-----------------------	----------------------

The budget is accurate and clearly delineates all expenses to be funded by the OEEF. The budget is appropriate for this type of project.

15

**Reviewer Comments on Budget:**

<b>8. Reviewer Discretionary Points (10 points)</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Maximum Score</b>
---	-----------------------	----------------------

Up to 10 discretionary points may be awarded by the peer reviewer in cases where the applicant has demonstrated that the project has unique characteristics and excellent overall quality, where this distinction does not appropriately fit into the categories previously listed. The reviewer must explain in the comment section why the discretionary points were awarded.

10

**Reviewer Comments on Awarding of Discretionary Points:**

**Total points awarded by reviewer 1: 0 out of 100**